

DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-31

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
	1	Opening and Administrative Arrangements	
SCUFN31/01		In the application of RoP 2.5, K. Dobrolyubova was considered as stepping down from SCUFN full Membership (missing 2 consecutive meetings). IOC Secretariat to prepare a call for SCUFN Membership vacancy for 1 IOC representative with the aim to select upcoming Member by March 2019 , so new nominee can participate in the preparation of, and in the SCUFN32 meeting (2019).	Decision Dec. 2018
	2	Introduction by SCUFN Chair – SCUFN ROPs - Approval of Agenda	
SCUFN31/02		SCUFN Chair to report at the next GCC meeting in Nov. that a sentence aiming to encourage the provision of bathymetric data by proposers has been incorporated in the new proposed Edition of B-6 which is submitted to the GGC for endorsement.	Nov. 2018
SCUFN31/03		SCUFN approved the agenda and timetable	Decision
SCUFN31/04		SCUFN Members endorsed the amendment to Art. 2.8 of SCUFN TORs and ROPs (deadline for submission is 60 days prior to SCUFN meetings).	Decision
SCUFN31/05.1		SCUFN Sec. to submit an amendment of SCUFN TORs and ROPs for approval to GGC iaw with Art. 9 of the GGC TORs, copy to IOC Sec.	End of October 2018 (Done)
	3	Matters remaining from Previous Meetings	
	3.1	Review of Actions from SCUFN-30 and transfer to the relevant agenda items	
SCUFN31/05.2 (former SCUFN30/10&11 and SCUFN29/69&70)		In the absence of a response from Anamar, proposals for Doña Idelisa Passage and Las Jorobadas Passage, are NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/06		Following concerns raised by NZGB in Doc. SCUFN31-03.1C, Kevin to draft a short procedure for SCUFN Members so they can edit and make editorial changes into the GEBCO Gazetteer as appropriate, while ensuring a good level of quality assurance (history of changes, limitations, formal report and changes approval at following plenary SCUFN meetings, etc.)	By correspondence (1st draft Jan 2019 for circulation to SCUFN Members), then approval at SCUFN32
SCUFN31/07 (former SCUFN30/08&29/13)		<p>Roberta/SCUFN Chair to pursue the creation of a repository of typical cases (“cook book”) aiming to help for the consistency of the decision making process within SCUFN, according to the presentation given at SCUFN31</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Subgroup to define the list of content of the repository of typical cases - Approval by SCUFN Members - List of typical cases as known and template to solve them (title, example, decision made, recommendation) - Approval by SCUFN Members and then submission draft «repository» to SCUFN32 	<p>By end of Dec 2018</p> <p>By end Feb 2019</p> <p>By June 2019</p> <p>By Aug 2019</p>
SCUFN31/08 (Former SCUFN30/106)		SCUFN Generic Term Subgroup (Yas, Han, Kevin, Trent, Roberta) to prepare a strawman paper proposing a general strategy and possible guidelines defining the optimal horizontal resolution between undersea features that are eligible for naming. (Aim: clutter reduction, inflation, consistency of naming with associated features, better management, scale-dependent feature naming, etc.)	First draft to be discussed at SCUFN32
SCUFN31/09 (Former SCUFN30/121)		SCUFN Members to consider the possibility of upgrading the quality of the geometry of existing major undersea features of the GEBCO Gazetteer during intersessional period (10 features /year/member), using the EDIT mode (See also section 3.3 of Annex B to Doc. SCUFN31-07.2B).	Report at SCUFN32
	4	Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period	
	4.1	From Ascension Island Government	

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/10		Proposal for Young Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision Email sent on 23 Oct. to Ascension
	4.2	From USA, Center for Coastal & Ocean Mapping/Joint Hydrographic Center, University of New Hampshire	
SCUFN31/11		Proposal for Savaqatigiik Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
	4.3	From Costa Rica, Comisión Nacional de Nomenclatura	
SCUFN31/12		Proposal for Cordillera Submarina Volcanica del Coco is NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision
	4.4	From New Zealand, New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)	
SCUFN31/13		Proposal for Graveyard Knolls is ACCEPTED, with a note in this region there are other named features located within the polygon, which are not in the GEBCO Gazetteer.	Decision
SCUFN31/14		Proposal for Herekino Bank is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/15		Proposal for Akaroa Valleys was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/16		Proposal for Bligh Valley was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/17		Proposal for Bounty Shelf was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/18		Proposal for Cathedral Banks was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/19		Proposal for Cook Fracture Zone was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/20		Proposal for Hikurua / de Surville Canyon was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/21		Proposal for North Ritchie Trough was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/22		Proposal for Papanui Canyon was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/23		Proposal for Pārengarenga Canyons was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/24		Proposal for Pickersgill Ridge was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/25		Proposal for South Ritchie Trough was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/26		Proposal for Viti Canyons was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/27		Proposal for West Ngātoro Knolls was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED.	Decision
	4.5	From USA, NOAA	
SCUFN31/28		The 44 proposals by US/NOAA are NOT ACCEPTED, as they do not meet the minimum standards for proposals as given in B-6 (cross profiles, etc.). Noting the work in progress in ACUF, SCUFN Chair/Trent to inform the proposer and recommend a way forward for further submission at SCUFN32.	Decision SCUFN32
	4.6	From Japan, Japanese Committee on Undersea Feature Names (JCUFN)	
SCUFN31/29		Proposal for Higashi-Mokusei Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/30		Proposal for Minami-Mokusei Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/31		Proposal for Oki-no-Tori Shima Hill is kept as PENDING with a new specific term consistent with other names surrounding the area to be proposed.	SCUFN32
SCUFN31/32		Proposal for Kita-Tennosei Seamount is ACCEPTED .	Decision
SCUFN31/33		Proposal for Tenkai Escarpment is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/34		Proposal for Tenkai Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/35		Proposal for Kaimei Escarpment is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/36		Proposal for Meiose Rise is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/37		Proposal for Shisonohoshi Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/38		Proposal for Soeboshi Escarpment is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/39		Proposal for Kensakiboshi Escarpment is ACCEPTED.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/40		Proposal for Hokushin Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/41		Proposal for Ohitsujiza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/42		Proposal for Oushiza Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the South, provided that it remains a seamount.	Decision
SCUFN31/43		Proposal for Futagoza [Seamount] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamounts and the polygon modified to include the extension.	Decision
SCUFN31/44		Proposal for Shishiza Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the South.	Decision
SCUFN31/45		Proposal for Otomeza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/46		Proposal for Tenbinza Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the South.	Decision
SCUFN31/47		Proposal for Iteza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/48		Proposal for Yagiza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/49		Proposal for Mizugameza [Seamount] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hill, and the polygon cut off in the North	Decision
SCUFN31/50		Proposal for Kujiraza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/51		Proposal for Koinuza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/52		Proposal for Oinuza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/53		Proposal for Tomoza Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/54		Proposal for Rashinbanza Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/55		Proposal for Karasuza [Seamount] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed as a Hill, and the proposal slightly modified (max. depth).	Decision
SCUFN31/56		Proposal for Usagiza Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/57		<p>Proposal for Hoburehoshi [Terrace] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Basin....</p> <p>...noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Dashu Basin, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), CCUFN and JCUFN to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting. (See also decision SCUFN31/160).</p>	<p>Decision</p> <p>SCUFN32</p>

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/58		Proposal for Nansei-Kensakiboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/59		Proposal for Akaboshi Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/60		Proposal for Kita-Kazahayahoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/61		Proposal for Sekitanbukuro Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon limited to the North.	Decision
SCUFN31/62		Proposal for Nishi-Yanbaruhoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/63		Proposal for Habunohoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/64		Proposal for Habunohoshi Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/65		Proposal for Kita-Tochinohoshi Seamounts is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/66		Proposal for the <i>new</i> Nishi-Tennosei Seamount is ACCEPTED SCUFN Secretary to delete the <i>former</i> Nishi-Tennosei Seamount (small feature located in the far west of Tennosei Seamount) to be deleted from the GEBCO Gazetteer Database.	Decision SCUFN32
SCUFN31/67		Proposal for Magamo Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/68		Proposal for Yoshigamo Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/69		Proposal for Suzugamo Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/70		Proposal for Kurogamo Seamounts is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/71		Proposal for Umiushi Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/72		Proposal for Naka-Yatagarasu Guyot is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/73		Proposal for Ko-Yatagarasu [Guyot] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount.	Decision
SCUFN31/74		Proposal for O-Yatagarasu Guyot is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/75		Proposal for Matsumaru Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/76		Proposal for Tsukamoto Guyot is ACCEPTED with correction to be made in the proposal form for the 3D map.	Decision
SCUFN31/77		Proposal for Aoki Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/78		Proposal for Ganeko Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/79		Proposal for Magoshichi-no-Hoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/80		Proposal for Mizutani Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/81		Proposal for O-Hitode Guyot is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/82		Proposal for Ko-Hitode Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/83		Proposal for Yabe Seamounts is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to put Marcus-Wake Seamount Chain as the associated feature.	Decision
SCUFN31/84		Proposal for O-Unoashi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/85		Proposal for Ko-Unoashi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/86		Proposal for Kozure-Unoashi Seamounts is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/87		Proposal for Utashiro Guyot is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/88		Proposal for Akiyoshi Guyot is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/89		Proposal for Ono [Ridge] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount.	Decision
SCUFN31/90		Proposal for Kishindo Seamounts is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to include profiles crossing both features and the inclusion of the associated feature.	Decision
SCUFN31/91		Proposal for Honda Guyot is ACCEPTED with the proposal form to be slightly modified to include the associated feature.	Decision
SCUFN31/92		Proposal for Bateira Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/93		Proposal for Shittaka Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/94		Proposal for Hanzawa Guyot is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/95		Proposal for Chin-anago Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/96		Proposal for Tanakadate Seamounts is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/97		Proposal for Nakano Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/98		Proposal for Amefurashi Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/99		Proposal for Ryugunotsukai Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/100		Proposal for Keishin Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/101		Proposal for O-Hakucho-no-Tamago Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/102		Proposal for Ko-Hakucho-no-Tamago Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/103		Proposal for Fukuro-unagi Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/104		Proposal for Chochin-anko Spur is ACCEPTED.	Decision
	4.7	From the Republic of Korea, KHOA	
SCUFN31/105		Proposal for KIOST Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/106		Proposal for Haedal Seamounts is ACCEPTED, but the proposer is encourage to consult with the NZGB, since this feature is located within the Ross Sea.	Decision
SCUFN31/107		Proposal for Uljin [Canyon] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Valley.	Decision
SCUFN31/108		KHOA to provide the corresponding shp files with geographic coordinates.	Dec. 2018
	4.8	From the Republic of Palau	
SCUFN31/109		Proposal for Baungor Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/110		Proposal for Bsall Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/111		Proposal for Berdebed Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/112		Proposal for Besodech Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/113		Proposal for Bsukel Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/114		Proposal for Chedui Basin is ACCEPTED, with modification of the polygon to include the basin located to the southwest.	Decision
SCUFN31/115		Proposal for Chelbesoi Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/116		Proposal for Chelbuil Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/117		Proposal for Chetitel Basin is ACCEPTED with extension of the polygon in the SW region to include the proposed Merebas Basin, as one single feature.	Decision
SCUFN31/118		Proposal for Katuu Tiau Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/119		Proposal for Mokas Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/120		Proposal for Merebas Basin is NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/121		Proposal for Ochaieo Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/122		Proposal for Yaus Basin is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/123		Proposal for Belochel Ridge is ACCEPTED	Decision
SCUFN31/124		Proposal for Biid Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/125		Proposal for Charmbedel Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/126		Proposal for Charmuderenges Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/127		Proposal for Chebacheb Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/128		Proposal for Chermelachull Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/129		Proposal for Chesisebasech [Ridge] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Seamount and a new specific term to be modified accordingly by the proposer ¹ .	Decision SCUFN32
SCUFN31/130		Proposal for Chesisebangiau Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/131		Proposal for Chersoches Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/132		Proposal for Kedam Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/133		Proposal for Dudek Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/134		Proposal for Sechosech Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/135		SCUFN Generic Term Subgroup (Yas, Han, Kevin, Trent, Roberta) to propose amendments to the definitions of RIDGE, SEAMOUNT, CANYON vs VALLEY providing more geometric criteria. Subsequent information to be provided to the UFNPT.	Deadline for submission proposals to SCUFN32
SCUFN31/136		Proposal for Laiib Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/137		Proposal for Chesisualik Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/138		Proposal for Ulerratel Ridge is ACCEPTED, with the Reason for Choice to be updated for avoiding duplication with Reason for Choice for Uek Ridge (Slaty-Legged Crake twice).	Decision Dec. 2018

¹ In order to agree with the protocol of seamount names after Palauan mountains.

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/139		Proposal for Uek Ridge is ACCEPTED, with the Reason for Choice to be updated for avoiding duplication with Reason for Choice for Uek Ridge (Slaty-Legged Crake twice).	Decision Dec. 2018
SCUFN31/140		Proposal for Roischesar Peak is ACCEPTED, with Feature Description field to be completed, and a polygon to be provided.	Decision Dec. 2018
SCUFN31/141		Proposal for Roisersuul Peak is kept as PENDING with Feature Description field to be completed, and cross section to be moved to the right peak (on the West of the current cross-section).	Decision Dec. 2018
SCUFN31/142		Proposal for Malk Peak is NOT ACCEPTED (minor feature, generic term not appropriate).	Decision
SCUFN31/143		Proposal for Eldoech Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be enlarged to the West and South part, and the central point to be included in the shp files (a primary geometry should be included in any cases – See list of allowed geometries and B-6 Appendix A, section 2.2).	Decision Dec. 2018
SCUFN31/144		Proposal for Ngellitel Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/145		Proposal for Roismelech [Seamount] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Ridge and a new specific term modified accordingly by the proposer.	Decision SCUFN32
SCUFN31/146		Proposal for Ngertebechel Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon extended to the West.	Decision
SCUFN31/147		Proposal for Chetiruir Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/148		Proposal for Kelbael Seamount is kept as PENDING... ...noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Qiufen Seamount, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), CCUFN and Palau to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting. (See also decision SCUFN31/161).	Decision SCUFN32

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/149		<p>Proposal for Roisemiangel Seamount is kept as PENDING...</p> <p>...noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Hanlu Seamount, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), CCUFN and Palau to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting. (See also decision SCUFN31/161).</p>	<p>Decision</p> <p>SCUFN32</p>
SCUFN31/150		<p>SCUFN noted that proposals from Palau missed larger scale maps, small scale maps showing the relationship with some land features, colour pattern on graphics depicting the bathymetry, and annotated contour lines, as well as the description of the shape of proposed features.</p>	<p>Decision</p>
	4.9	From China, CCUFN	
SCUFN31/151		<p>Proposal for Penxi [Ridge] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Seamount Province, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Viet Nam) iaw B-6, Art. III.D.</p>	<p>Decision</p>
SCUFN31/152		<p>Proposals #4 (Wangheng Hill) to #10 (Zhanchuan Hill) are kept as PENDING, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Malaysia, and other coastal States) iaw B-6, Art. III.D.</p>	<p>Decision</p> <p>SCUFN32</p>
SCUFN31/153		<p>Proposal for Longbei Seamount is kept PENDING, with the proposal form modified to display Longtou Seamount and under the conditions that Longtou Seamount is submitted at SCUFN32 (Longtou Seamount is the central point of the cardinal points used for the specific term.</p>	<p>Decision</p> <p>SCUFN32</p>
SCUFN31/154		<p>Proposal for Longnan Seamount is kept as PENDING, with the proposal form modified to display Longtou Seamount and under the conditions that Longtou Seamount is submitted at SCUFN32 (Longtou Seamount is the central point of the cardinal points used for the specific term.</p>	<p>Decision</p> <p>SCUFN32</p>
SCUFN31/155		<p>Proposal for Zhongnan Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to be modified and completed for the Reason for Choice.</p>	<p>Decision</p>

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/156		Proposal for Shenhu [Canyons] is ACCEPTED with generic term changed to Canyon Province, and proposal form to be modified to provide the location of Shenhu Shoal.	Decision
SCUFN31/157		Proposal for Qinjiushao Hill is kept as PENDING, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Philippines) iaw B-6, Art III.D, and the proposal to be modified to explain the logical way for the specific term (provision of the Index Map of Famous Scientists). Provision of an addition cross-profile is also requested.	Decision SCUFN32
SCUFN31/158		Proposal for Dushi Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/159		Proposal for Xiaoshu Basin is kept as PENDING, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Japan, Palau.) iaw B-6, Art. III.D.	Decision
SCUFN31/160		Proposal for Dashu Basin is kept as PENDING... ...noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Hoburehoshi Basin, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), CCUFN and JCUFN to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting. (See also decision SCUFN31/57).	Decision SCUFN32
SCUFN31/161		Proposals #8 (Xiaoshu Basin) to #17 (Xiaoxue Hill) are kept as PENDING, proposer to resubmit with possible new specific term as part of a group (Solar terms) in accordance with the draft guidelines agreed at the meeting. See also decisions SCUFN31/159 (for Xiaoshu Basin), 31/160 (for Dashu Basin), 31/148 (for Qiufen Seamount) and 31/149 (for Hanlu Seamount).	Decision
SCUFN31/162		Proposal for Nangong Hill is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/163		Proposal for Juyue Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/164		Proposal for Zichun Hills is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/165		Proposals #21 (Kongzi Seamount) to #33 (Lierlang Ridge) are kept as PENDING, with the specific term to be modified to be in relation to Antarctic research, geography, and features.	Decision
SCUFN31/166		Proposal for Zuoqiuming Hill is NOT ACCEPTED (generic term impossible to be determined, insufficient information, specific term not appropriate).	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/167		Proposal for Cangjie Hill is NOT ACCEPTED (minor feature).	Decision
SCUFN31/168		Proposal for Shennong Seamount is kept as PENDING. In accordance with B-6, Introduction, 2.ii), SCUFN invites CCUFN to consider the possibility of changing the name (such as “Hat Ridge”) already known for this feature in international peer-review scientific publications.	Decision SCUFN32
SCUFN31/169		Proposal for Gande Deep is NOT ACCEPTED (minor feature).	Decision
SCUFN31/170		Proposal for Tianlong Seamounts is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/171		Proposal for Longdeng Hill is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/172		Proposal for Wenwang [Seamount] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Ridge, and polygon to be extended to Danfu Hill in one feature.	Decision
SCUFN31/173		Proposal for Danfu Hill is NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/174		Proposal for Futian Basin is NOT ACCEPTED (already as part of the abyssal plain).	Decision
SCUFN31/175		Proposal for Datian Basin is NOT ACCEPTED (already as part of the abyssal plain).	Decision
SCUFN31/176		Proposal for Fengming Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to be modified for the Reason of Choice (peacock) and Associated Features to be indicated.	Decision
SCUFN31/177		Proposals #45 (Zigong Seamount) to #72 (Siqin Knoll), excepted #52 (Ciluo Guyots) ¹ and # 60 (Yuangu Knoll) ² , are kept as PENDING and put in the reserve list, with the specific terms to be revised iaw additional guidance about the grouping of specific categories, and to be in relation with marine sciences.	Decision
SCUFN31/178		SCUFN developed and agreed on provisional guidance in addition to B-6, II.A.6 depicting the way of grouping specific (term) categories of features. IHO Sec. to consult the GGC Secretary for the inclusion of this paragraph, as a Revised version of the proposed Ed. 4.2.0 of B-6 submitted to the endorsement of GGC at GGC35.	Decision 28 Oct. 2018 for GGC35 (Done)

². See decisions SCUFN31/213 and 31/214.

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
	4.10	From Brazil, DHN	
SCUFN31/179		Proposal for Bigarella Seamount is ACCEPTED (DHN to provide the short text for Reason for Choice to SCUFN Secretary).	Decision
SCUFN31/180		Proposal for Bigarella Hill is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/181		Proposal for Japaratus Canyon is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/182		Proposal for Real Canyon is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/183		Proposal for Vaza-Barris [Province] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Canyons.	Decision
	4.11	From Philippines, NAMRIA	
SCUFN31/184		Proposal for Katmon Hill is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Cuiquiao Hill at SCUFN29).	Decision
SCUFN31/185		Proposal for Isabela Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Tianbao Seamount at SCUFN29).	Decision
SCUFN31/186		Proposal for Yakal Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/187		Proposal for Banaba Seamount is kept as PENDING (Application of B-6, I.E, see Decisions SCUFN29/59 and SCUFN29/129).	Decision
SCUFN31/188		Proposal for Mayapis Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Jujiu Seamounts at SCUFN29).	Decision
SCUFN31/189		Proposal for Kalantas Seamount is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/190		Proposal for Mahogany Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Jinghao Seamount at SCUFN29).	Decision
SCUFN31/191		Proposal for Bicol Saddle is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/192		Proposal for Bicol [Shelf] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Slope and the polygon slighted modified.	Decision
SCUFN31/193		Proposal for East Luzon Trough is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be extended to the North.	Decision
SCUFN31/194		Proposal for Isabela Ridge is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/195		Proposal for Molave [Saddle] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Gap.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/196		Proposal for Molave [Spur] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed as a Rise.	Decision
SCUFN31/197		Proposal for Narra Saddle is NOT ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/198		Proposal for Narra Spur is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the SE part.	Decision
SCUFN31/199		Proposal for Palanan Saddle is NOT ACCEPTED (considered as an extension of East Luzon Trough).	Decision
	5	Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies	
	5.1	Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographical Names.	
	5.2	Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)	
		-	
	5.3	Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN	
SCUFN31/200		Marine Regions to consider the possibility of providing SCUFN Sec. with the list of possible issues (anomalies, discrepancies...) once a year (February) for quality assurance purposes.	Permanent
SCUFN31/201		Marine Regions to consider the possibility of contributing to the work of the UFN Project Team as subject matter expert.	
	5.4	United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN)	
SCUFN31/202		Trent to consider the possibility of representing the IHO and SCUFN at the next UNGEGN meeting	29 April – 3 May 2019
SCUFN31/203		SCUFN Members and Observers , to update the List of Naming Authorities which is now available on www.iho.int > ...> SCUFN > Miscellaneous	Permanent
	6	Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6	
		Report and Proposals from the Generic Term Sub Group on definitions (Sub group)	

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
		Progress report on the preparation of the draft new Edition of Publication B-6 (Secretary) – Submission to GGC XXXV (See. Doc.GGC35-5-1.1)	
SCUFN31/204		IHO Sec. to issue an IHO CL seeking the approval of IHO Member States on the proposed Ed. 4.2.0 of B-6, after endorsement at GGC35.	Dec 2018
		Update of Appendix A of B-6 (Yas Ohara)	
	7	Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names	
	7.1A&B	Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database.	
SCUFN31/205		Noting that funding had been secured by NOAA, but also the impossibility to make significant upgrades for interfacing with scufnsubmission.org and scufnreview.org, SCUFN agreed to encourage NOAA to pursue the regular maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer, at least for about 3 years, while KHOA continues to develop an integrated system.	
	7.2	Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names).	
SCUFN31/206		SCUFN Members to consider the recommendations in section 3.3 in Annex B of Doc. SCUFN31-07.2B, as part of former Action SCUFN30/121 (See SCUFN31/09).	March 2019
	7.3	Interoperability and Prototyping	
SCUFN31/207		SCUFN Members to continue experimenting scufnsubmission.org, scufnreview.org, and the Beta-Gazetteer developed by KHOA and report to Han.	March 2019
SCUFN31/208		KHOA to develop a transition plan (tasks - what, who, when?, including continuity of services offered to current users of the GEBCO Gazetteer, liaison with NOAA) preparing to the commissioning of the Beta-Gazetteer (target date 2021-22) and to submit it to SCUFN at the next meeting for further consideration.	SCUFN32

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/209		JCUFN/IHO Sec. to test <u>in operational mode</u> , the integrated system developed by KHOA, for a couple of undersea feature names prior to the next meeting.	SCUFN32
	8	Undersea Feature Names Project Team (UFNPT)	
	8.1	UFNPT Report and Road-map.	
SCUFN31/210		SCUFN endorsed the work plan of the UFNPT presented at SCUFN31.	Decision
SCUFN31/211		UFNPT to consider the possibility to submit recommendations at HSSC-12 on the way forward for the development of S-100-based solutions for UFN.	HSSC-12
	9	Any Other Business	
	9.1	Introduction to the GEBCO Seabed 2030 Project	
SCUFN31/212		Kevin to maintain liaison with the GEBCO Seabed 2030 Project for SCUFN matters and report at SCUFN meetings on the actions to be considered as part of SCUFN programme of work.	Permanent
	9.2	Proposals from CCUFN reviewed under Any Other Business	
SCUFN31/213		Proposal for Ciluo Guyots is ACCEPTED.	Decision
SCUFN31/214		Proposal for Yuangu Knoll is ACCEPTED, polygon to be revised.	Decision
	9.3	Proposal for an experimental procedure for a fairer and more efficient reviewing process of UFN proposals at SCUFN meetings	
SCUFN31/215		SCUFN to experiment the provisional reviewing procedure suggested by the SCUFN Sec. at their next meeting (groups of 10 UFN proposals/country, starting with “green” under scufnreview, rotating cycle) – See Doc. SCUFN31-09.3A	SCUFN32
	10	SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions	
	10.1	SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN31	
SCUFN31/216		SCUFN endorsed the draft SCUFN Work Plan 2019-20 to be submitted to GGC35.	Decision

Decision/ Action	Agenda Item	Details	Deadline & Status (Oct. 2018)
SCUFN31/217		Periodic status report on this list of decisions and actions, to be requested/distributed by the Secretary on 30 Dec. 2018 , 30 March 2019 , and 30 June 2019 .	
	11	Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings	
SCUFN31/218		SCUFN welcomed the offer made by Malaysia and China for hosting SCUFN32, and decided to retain Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (Tentative dates: 5 – 9 August 2019).	Decision
	12	Election of Chair and Vice-Chair	
SCUFN31/219		<p>SCUFN Members elected Dr Hyun-Chul Han [IOC] as Chair and Dr Yasuhiko Ohara [IHO] as Vice-Chair.</p> <p>In accordance with RoP 2.2, SCUFN Sec. to report on the results of the election to GGC for endorsement.</p>	<p>Decision</p> <p>Nov. 2018, GGC35 (Done)</p>
	13	Conclusion	
SCUFN31/220		Following on a suggestion made by Dr Hans Werner Schenke in his closing speech, SCUFN agreed to add the name of Dr Galina Agapova in the list of reserved specific-terms, for naming an important undersea feature in the future.	Decision