**LIST OF DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-34 VTC01**

(Status *at the date of 07 January 2021*)

Notes: SCUFN34 VTC01 agenda was limited to the review of the proposals, not considered at, but received prior to, SCUFN33.

In the table below, “green” (pass), “yellow” (minor correction), and “red” (fail) flags are the outcome of the pre-review by SCUFN Members of the proposals undertaken through the SCUFN operational webservices (SCUFN OWS) prior to the VTC session.

| **Decision/**  **Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status & Comments**  **(Jan 2021)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **2** | **Opening - Introduction by SCUFN Chair – Approval of Agenda** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/01 |  | **SCUFN** approved the agenda and timetable, and agreed on the process described by the Chair for reviewing the submitted proposals as efficiently as possible:   * proposals reviewed in the chronological order of agenda items, from 4.1 to 4.11, * 1st sequence limited to all-green flagged proposals only… * then, 2nd sequence, back to agenda item 4.1, all green+yellow flagged proposals only… * then, 3rd sequence, back to agenda item 4.1, quick review of remaining proposals (green+yellow+red) to identify the main reasons for being rejected, and proposals to be postponed for being [updated if appropriate and] reviewed at plenary SCUFN34 as agreed at SCUFN33. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
|  | **4.1** | **From Canada, Canadian Hydrographic Service** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/02 |  | Proposal for Orphan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. Comment to be added by **Secretary** in the GEBCO Gazetteer: “named as Donnell Seamount in ACUF”.  For the future, Proposer to be reminded to use last Edition of B-6 and its new Proposal Form. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/03 |  | Proposal for Orphan Seamount and Knoll PROVINCE is NOT ACCEPTED (main reason: rationale for a 'province' is not compelling). | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/04 |  | Fast-track Proposal for J-Anomaly RIDGE is ADOPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/05 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Fogo [~~SEAMOUNT CHAIN~~] is ADOPTED with the generic term changed to SEAMOUNTS. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/06 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Frankfurt SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/07 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Algerine SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/08 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Birma SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/09 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Mount Temple SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/10 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Carpathia SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/11 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Shredder SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/12 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Screech SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/13 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Touten SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the polygon in the North and West, and number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/14 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Scruncheon SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/15 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Dipper SEAMOUNT is ADOPTED with reduction of the number of polygon coordinates. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.2** | **From Republic of Korea, KHOA** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/16 |  | Proposal for KOPRI SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/17 |  | Proposal for Yigyugyeong SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING (B-6, Art III.D, mutual consultation encouraged prior to further review). | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/18 |  | Proposal for Jeongyakjeon SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.3** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/19 |  | Proposal for Boyi KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/20 |  | Proposal for Xuyou HILL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/21 |  | Proposal for Shuqi HILL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/22 |  | Proposal for Tangbing HILL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/23 |  | Proposal for Cuiguang HILLS is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/24 |  | Proposal for Ganhu HILL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/25 |  | Proposal for Douli KNOLL is ACCEPTED with Fig. 6 to be replaced and sent to Secretary. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/26 |  | Proposal for Longbei SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/27 |  | Proposal for Longnan SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/28 |  | Proposal for Longtou SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/29 |  | Proposal for Beike VALLEY is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/30 |  | Proposal for Manao VALLEY is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/31 |  | Proposal for Huangjin RIDGE is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/90 |  | For efficiency reasons, **Dr Li Sihai, SCUFN Member** to consider the possibility of liaising with CCUFN to provide them with the detailed reasons for keeping some PENDING names. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.4** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/32 |  | Proposal for Chilton HILL is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/33 |  | Proposal for Farr KNOLL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/34 |  | Proposal for Herriott HILL is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/35 |  | Proposal for Ice Bird SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/36 |  | Proposal for Kidson HILL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/37 |  | Proposal for Laing HILL is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/38 |  | Proposal for Leachman RIDGE is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/39 |  | Proposal for Lewis HILL is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/40 |  | Proposal for Māhia CANYON is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/41 |  | Proposal for Pelorus RIDGE is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/42 |  | Proposal for Skey HILL is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/43 |  | Proposal for Solo SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/44 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Matakaoa RE-ENTRANT is ADOPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/45 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Ruatōria RE-ENTRANT is ADOPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/46 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Ruatōria SCARP is kept as PENDING. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/89 |  | For efficiency reasons, **Dr Kevin Mackay, SCUFN Member** to consider the possibility of liaising with NZGB to provide them with the detailed reasons for keeping some PENDING names. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.5** | **From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/47 |  | The 70 following proposals made by Viet Nam and located in the South China Sea were kept as PENDING (B-6, Art. III.D, mutual consultation requested by SCUFN Members). | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/48 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statements made by China, Malaysia, and Viet Nam on the matter. | Decision |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/49 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to upload the written statements on the SCUFN34 webpage, when available. | Received from China and Malaysia. Uploading in progress. |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/50 |  | Noting the legitimacy of SCUFN to deal with any proposal as long as the feature is located beyond the external limit of the territorial sea, **SCUFN Members** agreed to tentatively clarify by consensus the SCUFN internal decision-making process for features located in so-called “mutual areas of interest” prior to SCUFN34 VTC02 and to address this issue at the beginning of SCUFN34 VTC02 for decision.  **SCUFN Secretary**, in liaisonwith **SCUFN Chair/Vice-Chair** to initiate the work. | SCUFN34 VTC02  ASAP |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bình Minh BASIN |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Núi Thành HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Đức Phổ HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bồng Sơn SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for An Thạch SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Tuy Hòa |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Ô Loan SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Sông Cầu SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Nhơn Hải ESCARPMENT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Xuân Cảnh BASIN |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Đại Lãnh SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for An Phú SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for An Chấn HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bình Ngọc HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phú Đông SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phú Thạnh HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Ninh Thủy ESCARPMENT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Ninh Vân CANYONS |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Hòn Gốm RIDGE |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phước Dinh SEA CHANNELS |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Khánh Sơn SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Công Hải HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Ninh Hải RIDGE |  |
|  |  | Proposal for An Hải SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Thanh Hải HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phú Hài CANYONS |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Núi Ông RIDGE |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Hàm Thuận GUYOT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Hòn Bà GAP |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Hiệp Phước SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for La Ngà SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Hồng Phong HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phước Bửu SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bông Trang HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Vĩnh Lương SEA CHANNEL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phước Thuận HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bình Châu HILLS |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Vạn Giã SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Vạn Ninh SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Lộc An SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Long Mỹ CANYON |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bình Ba HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Cam Hải SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Vĩnh Thọ SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Tiên Du SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phước Tỉnh SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Long Hải SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phước Hòa SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Tân Hải SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for An Ngãi SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Gò Công HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Long Sơn SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Đông Hải HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Tam Phước SEAMOUNT GROUP |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Đất Đỏ HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Duyên Hải SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Long Vĩnh SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Lý Nhơn HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Thạnh Phú SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Thới Thuận HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Cam Đức SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Ba Tri SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for An Thủy SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Phước Tân SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Chợ Gạo HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Long Điền ESCARPMENT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Vĩnh Châu HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Gành Hào SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Long Phú RIDGE |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Đất Mũi SEAMOUNT |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.6** | **From Malaysia, NHC** |  |
| SCFUN34/51 |  | The 11 following proposals made by Malaysia and located in the South China Sea were kept as PENDING (B-6, Art. III.D, mutual consultation requested by SCUFN Members). | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Kedayan HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bisaya HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Lundayeh RIDGE |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Rungus HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Chengal SEA CHANNEL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Meranti SEA CHANNEL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Mawar VALLEY |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Senoi HILL |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Kimaragang RIDGE |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Anggerik VALLEY |  |
|  |  | Proposal for Bajau RIDGE |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/91 |  | For efficiency reasons, **First Admiral** **Dr Najhan, SCUFN Member** to consider the possibility of liaising with NHC to provide them with the detailed reasons for keeping some PENDING names. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.7** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/52 |  | Proposal for Almirante Câmara CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/53 |  | Proposal for Andiroba HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/54 |  | Proposal for Asmus [~~BANK~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to GUYOT. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/55 |  | Proposal for Cananéia CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/56 |  | Proposal for Curumim CANYON is ACCEPTED with modification of the total relief to be sent by the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/57 |  | Proposal for Gilberto Amado HILL is ACCEPTED.  The sentence something like “As long as the feature is identified as seamount, the data is not necessary 100 %” to be incorporated in the SCUFN Cookbook by **Kevin MacKay** and **Roberta Ivaldi**. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/58 |  | Proposal for Goitacá CANYON is ACCEPTED with checking of the total relief to be made by the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/59 |  | Proposal for Grussaí CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/60 |  | Proposal for Guaraná HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/61 |  | Proposal for Itapemirim CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/62 |  | Proposal for João Pessoa SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/63 |  | Proposal for Motoki HILL is kept as PENDING, more information requested to Brazil. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/64 |  | Proposal for Paiva Carvalho SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/65 |  | Proposal for Palma SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  Regarding the acceptable data coverage as expressed by the **SCUFN Chair**: (1) the data only with single-beam sounding will not be accepted; (2) the data with a combination of single-beam and multi-beam soundings will be accepted. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/66 |  | Proposal for [~~Professor~~ Nonato] SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with specific term changed to [Nonato] only. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/67 |  | Proposal for São Francisco SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/68 |  | Proposal for São Tomé [~~CANYON~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term changed to [CANYONS]. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/69 |  | Proposal for Tabajara CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/70 |  | Proposal for Tamoio CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/71 |  | Proposal for Temiminó CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/72 |  | Proposal for Tucumã HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/73 |  | Proposal for Tupinambá CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/74 |  | Proposal for Tupiniquim CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/75 |  | Proposal for Vema TERRACE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/76 |  | Proposal for Vitória CANYON is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/77 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Dogaressa BANK is kept as PENDING, more information requested. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.8** | **From Russian Federation, GINRAS** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/78 |  | Proposal for Agapova SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/79 |  | Proposal for Pushcharovsky FRACTURE ZONE is ACCEPTED. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From Chile, SHOA** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/80 |  | Proposal for Piloto Pardo GUYOT is NOT ACCEPTED (duplication of name, already named Sars Seamount). **Secretary** to update the information in the Gazetteer with comments on the discovery, complementary surveys, and the fact, it actually qualifies for a Guyot. |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/81 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to upgrade the GEBCO Gazetteer with the information on Sars Seamount (based on the research and inputs made by **Prof. Mike Coffin, SCUFN Member**). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.10** | **From USA, Scripps Institution of Oceanography** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/82 |  | Proposal for Walter Munk GUYOT is ACCEPTED. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.11** | **From Serbia, Mr. Zoran Ilitz** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/83 |  | Proposal for Serpent RIFT is NOT ACCEPTED (not B-6 compliant). |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/84 |  | Proposal for Communist Revolution CRATER CHAIN is NOT ACCEPTED (not B-6 compliant). |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/85 |  | Proposal for Fenris CRATER CHAIN is NOT ACCEPTED (not B-6 compliant). |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/86 |  | Proposal for Galina's MAELSTROM is NOT ACCEPTED (not B-6 compliant). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/87 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to keep the proposers informed of the outcome of SCUFN34 VTC01 and encourage them to provide corresponding bathymetric data to the IHO DCDB. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **6** | **Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/92 |  | In view of the preparation of Ed. 4.3.0 of B-6 in the future, **SCUFN** agreed to consider the possibility to add a clause on specific terms such as “*The use of acronyms for specific terms of undersea feature names is discouraged, but may be considered, if the suggested name is otherwise deemed appropriate.*” | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **11** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business Continuity** |  |
| SCUFN34/VTC01/88 |  | **SCUFN** agreed on having SCUFN34 VTC02 (7 June 2021) in accordance with e-SCUFN Letter 02/2020. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |