

SCUFN action	Proposal submitted	SCUFN comment	Feedback from New Zealand
SCUFN34/VTC01/33	Farr KNOLL	Looks like the same sort of feature as Chilton HILL (accepted) so why is it not called a HILL?	Farr Knoll confirmed. Based on the <i>Cookbook</i> the profiles of Chilton Hill and Farr Knoll are different. Chilton Hill is not the same shape.
SCUFN34/VTC01/35 SCUFN34/VTC01/43	Ice Bird SEAMOUNT Solo SEAMOUNT	Small features that share the same base so should be one feature.	Altered to Ice Bird Peak and Solo Peak . In 2022 the NZGB will submit a proposal to SCUFN to name the whole feature Lewis Seamount. There is a strong connection between Lewis (David Henry Lewis, 1917-2002) who sailed on voyages to Antarctica on the yachts Ice Bird and Solo.
SCUFN34/VTC01/36	Kidson HILL	Should be HILLS.	Altered to Kidson Hills based on the feature being more than one hill.
SCUFN34/VTC01/38	Leachman RIDGE	Not enough length vs width, should be a HILL.	Altered to Leachman Hill . The size advised in the SCUFN proposal (20km x 10km) was incorrect. The feature is 20km x 7km, which means it doesn't meet the definition of a ridge (3:1 length to width ratio) and is a hill.
SCUFN34/VTC01/39	Lewis HILL	May be a KNOLL.	The profiles are compelling that the feature is a hill. Proposal for Lewis Hill is withdrawn . In 2022 the NZGB will submit a proposal to SCUFN to name the feature Christoffel Hill.
SCUFN34/VTC01/40	Māhia CANYON	Renaming features established in the scientific literature, even those with some negative connotations of history, makes no sense and will cause future confusion. Upslope, the name of the bay was officially gazetted as Turanganui-a-Kiwa / Poverty Bay (sic) by the New Zealand Geographic Board in 2019. If it's ok to officially retain the name 'Poverty Bay' (sic), why not 'Poverty Canyon'? See also 'Poverty Debris Avalanche' and 'Poverty Gullies' on Figure 1 of the proposal.	Māhia Canyon is confirmed. SCUFN is asked to be aware: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - of the poor connotations and cultural sensitivities associated with culturally inappropriate feature names, - of the negative cultural impact and colonial overlay that a 'Poverty' name incites, - that names are changing to recognise indigenous peoples' explorations and original names for geographic features, and

SCUFN action	Proposal submitted	SCUFN comment	Feedback from New Zealand
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New Zealand scientists have no issues with Poverty Canyon having been altered to Māhia Canyon. <p>Also:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the canyon is not hydrographically connected to Tūranganui-a-Kiwa / Poverty Bay, - the canyon is closely geographically associated with Māhia Peninsula, which is a significant feature on nearby land, <p>Noting that 'Poverty Debris Avalanche' and 'Poverty Gullies' are not official undersea feature names as they are not named for recognised feature types. Their publication in one scientific manuscript does not give sufficient justification to use or compare as associated names.</p>
SCUFN34/VTC01/41	Pelorus RIDGE	Is it a RIDGE?	<p>Pelorus Ridge is confirmed.</p> <p>The feature meets the 3:1 length to width ratio definition of a ridge in the <i>Cookbook</i>.</p>
SCUFN34/VTC01/46	Ruatōria SCARP	Queried the slight change of the scarp's direction at the western end.	<p>Feature confirmed as a scarp.</p> <p>Kevin Mackay will provide a bathymetric map to SCUFN with a higher contour interval, which will confirm the polyline submitted with the original proposal.</p>