**LIST OF DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-35.1**

(Status *at the date of 29 March 2022*)

Note: Rows highlighted in yellow indicate that the topic/naming proposal was postponed/deferred for being considered at SCUFN-35.2 (Nov 2022) (time elapsed during SCUFN35.1).

| **Decision/****Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status & Comments****(March 2022)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **Welcome Address (IHO Director Luigi Sinapi) - Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/01a |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the opening address by **IHO Director Sinapi** who stressed the importance of keeping the GEBCO spirit in SCUFN35.1 discussions to come, as SCUFN achievements clearly contribute to the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development. |  |
|  | **2** | **Opening - Introduction by SCUFN Chair – Approval of Agenda** |  |
|  | **2.2** | **Approval of the agenda** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/01b |  | **SCUFN** approved the agenda and timetable. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Quick Review of Actions from SCUFN-33 and SCUFN34-VTC01, VTC02, and VTC03** |  |
|  |  | Skipped – All former actions covered in other agenda items. |  |
|  | **3.2** | **Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of typical cases** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/02 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Secretary** to upload the “*Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature names*”, version 1.1, May 2021 on SCUFN webpage. | **By end of March 2022** |
| SCUFN35.1/03 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Members** to identify current definitions in B-6 against “*Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature names”, version 1.1, May 2021”* and provide their comments/inputs, provide references, on version 1.1, to **SCUFN Member Mackay, copy SCUFN Member Ohara**.**SCUFN Members Mackay and Ohara** to compile the comments and propose adjudication options……and then prepare a harmonized **draft version 2.0** to be proposed for formal adoption by SCUFN prior to SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 June 2022****15 Sep 2022****15 Oct 2022** (for SCUFN35.2) |
| SCUFN35.1/04 | 3.2 | Once these definitions in the Cookbook have been experimented as reference for a sufficient time (two years?), **SCUFN Generic Term Sub-Group** to submit proposals for amendments to definitions in B-6 for alignment, if appropriate. | **SCUFNxx (2024-2025)** |
| SCUFN35.1/05 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the presentation by **SCUFN Member Ivaldi** on the prototype “*Repository of Typical Cases*”(version 0.1, March 2022) and agreed on the principles. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/06 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Secretary** to upload the “*Repository of Typical Cases*” (version 0.1, March 2022) on SCUFN webpage. | **By end of March 2022** |
| SCUFN35.1/07 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Members** to provide their comments/inputs/complements on version 0.1, to **SCUFN Members Ivaldi, copy to Mackay**.**SCUFN Members Ivaldi** **and Mackay** to compile the comments and proposed adjudication options……and prepare a harmonized **draft version 1.0** to be proposed for formal adoption by SCUFN, prior to SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 June 2022****15 Sep 2022****15 Oct 2022** (for SCUFN35.2) |
| SCUFN35.1/08 | 3.2 | **SCUFN** commended **SCUFN Members Ivaldi and Mackay** for their work in the development of the Repository of Typical Cases and the Cookbook on Generic Terms. |  |
|  | **3.4** | **Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/09 | 3.4 | **SCUFN** noted the **SCUFN Member Ohara’s** recommendation on the minor features. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/10 | 3.4 | **SCUFN Member Ohara** to prepare a new integrated draft version of this recommendation (incl. Horizontal Strategy) for circulation to **SCUFN Members** for comments. | **15 July 2022** |
| SCUFN35.1/11 | 3.4 | **SCUFN Members** to provide comments to **SCUFN Member Ohara** on this draft proposal. | **No later than 15 Sept** |
| SCUFN35.1/12 | 3.4 | **SCUFN Members Ohara and Mackay** to submit a consolidated proposal for approval at SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 Oct 2022** |
|  | **3.5** | **Names of Living Persons** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/13 | 3.5 | **SCUFN** agreed on the **SCUFN Member Ohara’s** recommendation that no change is needed in B-6 for selecting names of living persons for specific term. Decisions to be made on a case-by-case basis. Outcome to be included in the Repository of Typical Cases if appropriate. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/14 | 3.5 | **SCUFN** noted the comment made by **SCUFN Member Palmer** (as ACUF representative) on the names of living persons (Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.5B refers). |  |
|  | **3.6** | **Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names…** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/15 | 3.6 | **SCUFN** noted the **SCUFN Member Ohara’s** initial recommendations on the issue addressed under this agenda item. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/16 | 3.6 | **SCUFN Members** to provide comments to **SCUFN Member Ohara** on this draft proposal (Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.6A). | **No later than 15 July** |
| SCUFN35.1/17 | 3.6 | **SCUFN Members Ohara, Coffin, and Mackay** to submit a consolidated proposal for approval at SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 Oct 2022** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/18 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to invite all proposers[[1]](#footnote-1) having ACCEPTED naming proposals to provide associated bathymetric data to the IHO DCDB[[2]](#footnote-2) (See https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/). |  |
|  | **4.1** | **From Indonesia, Pushidrosal** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/19 |  | Proposal for Yudo Sagoro [~~PEAK~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/20 |  | Proposal for Gapuro Sagoro SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/21 |  | Proposal for Aurora TERRACE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/22 |  | Proposal for Gapuro Sagoro VALLEY is NOT ACCEPTED (need for more data, and profiles). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/23 |  | Proposal for Aurora BANK is ACCEPTED (shallowest part to be indicated in the GEBCO Gazetteer). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/24 |  | Proposal for Yiew Vero HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/25 |  | Proposal for Rigel HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/26 |  | Proposal for Spica HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/27 |  | Proposal for Moro Gada [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/28 |  | Proposal for Moro Sagoro SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with polygon to be reduced in the SE. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Caladan Oceanic** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/29 |  | Proposal for Ataroa [SEAMOUNT] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/30 |  | Proposal for Anapa HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/31 |  | Proposal for Marco Polo [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/32 |  | Proposal for Brahma SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, proposer to submit another specific term with reason for choice (concerns raised about using the names of gods in the specific term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/33 |  | Proposal for Carlsberg FRACTURE ZONE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/34 |  | Proposal for Puroto SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term (perhaps to RIDGE) when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/35 |  | Proposal for Kanani HILL is ACCEPTED with proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/36 |  | Proposal for Kiakona CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/37 |  | Proposal for Nani [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice and to delete associated feature. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/38 |  | Proposal for Ahomana HILL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/39 |  | Proposal for Kalei SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/40 |  | Proposal for Gesualdo RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/41 |  | Proposal for Laeli HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/42 |  | Proposal for Poetai [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/43 |  | Proposal for Kiele KNOLL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice and modify Associated Features to read Niaochao. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/44 |  | Proposal for Cook CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/45 |  | Proposal for Peary SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING as specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in the Arctic. Proposer to suggest another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/46 |  | Proposal for Maeata HILL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/47 |  | Proposal for Aukai FRACTURE ZONE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/48 |  | Proposal for Taurua HILL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/49 |  | Proposal for Anuhea KNOLL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/50xx |  | Proposal for Leif Erikson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as HILL, and as specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in the Atlantic Ocean. Proposer to suggest another specific term.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/51 |  | Proposal for Aronnax HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term).  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/52 |  | Proposal for Vinson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/53 |  | Proposal for Richard Byrd [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as HILL, and specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in polar regions. Proposer to suggest another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/54 |  | Proposal for Tempo RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/55 |  | Proposal for Vespucci TOR is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, specific and generic terms not appropriate). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/56 |  | Proposal for Keona [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/57 |  | Proposal for Noelani RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/58 |  | Proposal for Ahohaka CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/59 |  | Proposal for Burton SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). Specific term not related to ocean sciences. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/60 |  | Proposal for Vishnu SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, proposer to submit another specific term with reason for choice (concerns raised about using the names of gods in the specific term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/61 |  | Proposal for Battuta SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/62 |  | Proposal for Zapiola HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/63 |  | Proposal for Shiva SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, proposer to submit another specific term with reason for choice (concerns raised about Gods’ names). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/64 |  | Proposal for Schoenberg RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/65 |  | Proposal for Highlander SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/66 |  | Proposal for Jijo KNOLL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/67 |  | Proposal for Aulani SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/68 |  | Proposal for Amundsen SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). Specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in polar regions. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/69 |  | Proposal for Rahiti SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/70 |  | Proposal for Agulhas Winged [~~SPUR~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposer to provide more details on the reason for choice of the specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/71 |  | Proposal for Akki KNOLL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/72 |  | Proposal for Bach SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). The specific term should be changed to Johan S. Bach.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/73 |  | Proposal for Beethoven [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/74 |  | Proposal for Berati HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/75 |  | Proposal for Berlioz [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/76 |  | Proposal for Big Roo HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/77 |  | Proposal for Brookida CALDERA is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/78 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to provide the proposer with the list of reserved pending names for the three following names (/79 to /81) for which s/he can choose a specific term or not. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/79 |  | Proposal for Central Agulhas [~~PEAK~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as HILL. New specific term to be submitted by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/80 |  | Proposal for Central Agulhas RISE is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as HILL. New specific term to be submitted by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/81 |  | Proposal for Central Agulhas KNOLL is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as HILL. New specific term to be submitted by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/82 |  | Proposal for Calafia KNOLL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/83 |  | Proposal for Creideiki KNOLL is ACCEPTED (with minimum depth to be corrected). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/84 |  | Proposal for East Agulhas [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/85 |  | Proposal for Falkland Hornburg HILL is kept PENDING, with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. Proposer to submit another specific term or accept SCUFN’s suggestion to be “Hornburg” only. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/86 |  | Proposal for Haoke [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/87 |  | Proposal for Heurkea [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/88 |  | Proposal for Hikahi [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/89 |  | Proposal for Indomed SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/90 |  | Proposal for Kaa’aka HILL is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/91 |  | Proposal for Karma CALDERA is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/92 |  | Proposal for Kawehi CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/93 |  | Proposal for Keepiru [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/94 |  | Proposal for Kithrup [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/95 |  | Proposal for Koa [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/96 |  | Proposal for Little Roo HILL is kept as PENDING with new specific term to be proposed. Additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/97 |  | Proposal for Makanee [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/98 |  | Proposal for Merahi HILL is ACCEPTED. Additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/99 |  | Proposal for [~~Meteor North Peak~~] HILL is ACCEPTED with specific term modified as Meteor North. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/100 |  | Proposal for Mobidian [~~HILL~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as KNOLL, proposer to submit another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/101 |  | Proposal for Moki [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL |  |
| SCUFN35.1/102 |  | Proposal for Monteverdi [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/103 |  | Proposal for Nika KNOLL is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/104 |  | Proposal for Novara [~~ESCARPMENT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/105 |  | Proposal for Olelo [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/106 |  | Proposal for Reykjanes HILLS is kept as PENDING. Proposer to submit another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/107 |  | Proposal for Richardson HILL is kept PENDING, proposer to provide a more appropriate specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/108 |  | Proposal for Richardson [~~MOUND~~] is kept PENDING, with generic term modified as KNOLL. Proposer to provide a more appropriate specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/109 |  | Proposal for Richardson SPUR is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/110 |  | Proposal for Roo [~~GUYOT~~] is kept PENDING with generic term modified as HILL. Proposer to submit another specific term (too many “Roo”). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/111 |  | Proposal for Tahia KNOLL is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal form to be modified (~~Dome~~). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/112 |  | Proposal for Vaehira [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal form to be modified (~~Dome~~). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/113 |  | Proposal for Valerian RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/114 |  | Proposal for Vivaldi SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/115 |  | Proposal for Walters KNOLL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/116 |  | Proposal for Walters HILLS is kept PENDING. Proposer to submit another specific term (too many “Walters”). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/117 |  | Proposal for Walters SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.3** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/118 |  | Proposal for Kalios [~~RIDGE~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as SPUR. | SCUFN34/VTC03/07 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/119 |  | Proposal for Kalumpit HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/10 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/120 |  | Proposal for Almaciga HILLS is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/14 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/121 |  | Proposal for Talisay HILL is ACCEPTED with extension of polygon (to 2800 m isobath) to be provided by proposer. | SCUFN34/VTC03/15 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/122 |  | Proposal for Hagakhak ~~Twin~~ RIDGE isACCEPTED with a note on the extension of the feature possible in the future when more data become available. | SCUFN34/VTC03/18 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/123 |  | Proposal for Bauang HILLS is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/21 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/124 |  | Proposal for San Fernando HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/22 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/125 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for Betis RIDGE isACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. | SCUFN34/VTC03/34 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/126 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for SantosSEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/36 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/127 |  | Proposal for Kamansi HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/09 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/128 |  | Proposal for Bani SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/23 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/129 |  | Proposal for Bagras ESCARPMENT isACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/16 (completed). Medium scale map was actually provided by NAMRIA 13 Jan 2022. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.4** | **From Germany, AWI** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/130 |  | **SCUFN** noted the views of the proposer who liaised with NZGB on the specific terms (Doc. SCUFN35.1-04.4B refers). **SCUFN** agreed to consider a resubmission of the proposals when pending issues on specific terms are fixed between AWI and NZGB. Following proposals, from /131 to /145 were not reconsidered at SCUFN35.1. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/131 |  | Proposal for Pare Pekapeka SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/132 |  | Proposal for Tiaki-ā-Tai SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/133 |  | Proposal for Te Honu Houkura SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/134 |  | Proposal for Te-ara-ā-Hina SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/135 |  | Proposal for Pāpāmahau SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/136 |  | Proposal for Tapuwae SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/137 |  | Proposal for Kurupounamu SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/138 |  | Proposal for Mārangaranga SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/139 |  | Proposal for Motu Whakaeke SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/140 |  | Proposal for Riwia SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/141 |  | Proposal for Ringa Raupa SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/142 |  | Proposal for Tama-nui-te-rā SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/143 |  | Proposal for Te Kakaru SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/144 |  | Proposal for Toitū-ā-Tangaroa SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/145 |  | Proposal for Te Pū Wharehuna SEAMOUNT  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.5** | **From Republic of Korea, KHOA** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/146 |  | Proposal was withdrawn by proposer. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.6** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/147 |  | Proposal for Xuyou HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/20 |
| SCUFN35.1/148 |  | Proposal for Shuqi HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/21 |
| SCUFN35.1/149 |  | Proposal for Tangbing HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/22 |
| SCUFN35.1/150 |  | Proposal for Cuiguang HILLS is ACCEPTED.Profile to be provided by the proposer. | SCUFN34/VTC01/23 |
| SCUFN35.1/151 |  | Proposal for Ganhu HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/24 |
| SCUFN35.1/152 |  | Proposal for Longbei SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/26 |
| SCUFN35.1/153 |  | Proposal for Longnan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/27 |
| SCUFN35.1/154 |  | Proposal for Longtou SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/28 |
| SCUFN35.1/155 |  | Proposal for Beike VALLEY is ACCEPTED. Additional cross profiles to be provided by proposer. Lack of data in the north. | SCUFN34/VTC01/29 |
| SCUFN35.1/156 |  | Proposal for Manao VALLEY is NOT ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/30 |
| SCUFN35.1/157 |  | Proposal for Huangjin RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/31 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.7** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/158 |  | Proposal for Farr KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/33 |
| SCUFN35.1/159 |  | Proposal for Ice Bird [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as PEAK. | SCUFN34/VTC01/35 |
| SCUFN35.1/160 |  | Proposal for Kidson [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILLS. | SCUFN34/VTC01/36 |
| SCUFN35.1/161 |  | Proposal for Leachman [~~RIDGE~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. | SCUFN34/VTC01/38 |
| SCUFN35.1/162 |  | Proposal for Lewis HILL is considered as withdrawn by the proposer for the time being. Resubmission requested if appropriate. | SCUFN34/VTC01/39 |
| SCUFN35.1/163 |  | Proposal for Māhia CANYON is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/40 |
| SCUFN35.1/164 |  | Proposal for Pelorus RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/41 |
| SCUFN35.1/165 |  | Proposal for Solo [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as PEAK. | SCUFN34/VTC01/43 |
| SCUFN35.1/166 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Ruatōria SCARP is ADOPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/46 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.8** | **From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/167a |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by China that most of proposals from Viet Nam in the sea area under the jurisdiction of China should not be considered in accordance with ROP 2.10. And voting on political sensitivity of naming proposals is not appropriate. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/167b |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by Viet Nam. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/167c |  | Following a vote (secret ballot) on the application of ROP 2.10, **SCUFN** decided to consider the proposals from Viet Nam. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/167d |  | Noting the information provided by **SCUFN Member Li** by which most of these features have already been named (with reference to B-6, clause I.D), **SCUFN** agreed to create a sub-working group, the **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D,** to assess the evidence provided by national naming authorities on this matter.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** co-led by **SCUFN Members Li and Said** to set up this group.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to submit by correspondence their TORs, objectives, assessment rules, deliverables (still to be defined, to **SCUFN Members** for endorsement.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to provide their review outcome to **SCUFN Secretary** for consideration at SCUFN35.2. | Decision**End of March****15 May****15 June****15 Sept (-60 days before**  |
| SCUFN35.1/168 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to keep the following named features (from /170 to /236), pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer in PENDING status with the intention to move those ACCEPTED to APPROVED status at the close of SCUFN35.2, if appropriate. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/169 |  | **SCUFN** invited Viet Nam to revise their proposals in the future to using associated features already existing in the GEBCO Gazetteer, if appropriate, and to provide more than one cross profile in general. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/170 |  | Proposal for Binhminh BASIN is NOT ACCEPTED. Northern limit is arbitrary. More data needed for basin limits. | SCUFN34/VTC01/47 |
| SCUFN35.1/171 |  | Proposal for Nuithanh HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/172 |  | Proposal for Ducpho HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/173 |  | Proposal for Bongson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/174 |  | Proposal for Anthach [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/175 |  | Proposal for Tuyhoa SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/176 |  | Proposal for Oloan [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/177 |  | Proposal for Songcau SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/178 |  | Proposal for Nhonhai SLOPE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, extension to north and south). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/179 |  | Proposal for Xuancanh BASIN is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/180 |  | Proposal for Dailanh SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/181 |  | Proposal for Anphu [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/182 |  | Proposal for Anchan HILL is ACCEPTED with polygon to be expanded to the east. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/183 |  | Proposal for Binnhgoc HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/184 |  | Proposal for Phudong [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/185 |  | Proposal for Phuthanh HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/186 |  | Proposal for Ninhthuy SLOPE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/187 |  | Proposal for Ninhvan CANYONS is kept as PENDING (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, V-shape of canyons). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/188 |  | Proposal for Hongom RIDGE is ACCEPTED with modification of the polygon in NW and S. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/189 |  | Proposal for Phuocdinh [~~SEACHANNELS~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as CANYONS, more data to be provided in the W part (so extension and polygon are better defined). V-shape cross profiles to be provided. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/190 |  | Proposal for Khanhson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/191 |  | Proposal for Conghai HILL is ACCEPTED with expansion of the polygon. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/192 |  | Proposal for Ninhhai RIDGE is kept as PENDING (more data needed to clearly identify generic term in NE portion). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/193 |  | Proposal for Thanhhai HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/194 |  | Proposal for Phuhai CANYONS is kept as PENDING. More data needed to clearly identify generic term, extension to NE and SW, etc. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/195 |  | Proposal for Nuiong RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/196 |  | Proposal for Hamthuan GUYOT is ACCEPTED with slight modification of the polygon around the feature. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/197 |  | Proposal for Honba VALLEY is ACCEPTED.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/198 |  | Proposal for Hiepphuoc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with extension of polygon (to 2800 m isobath) to be provided by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/199 |  | Proposal for Langa GUYOT is ACCEPTED with slight modification of the polygon. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/200 |  | Proposal for Hongphong HILL is kept PENDING, more data needed to clearly identify generic term in the extended SW area. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/201 |  | Proposal for Phuocbuu HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/202 |  | Proposal for Bongtrang HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/203 |  | Proposal for Vinhluong SEA CHANNEL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, and medium scale map to be provided if resubmitted). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/204 |  | Proposal for Phuocthuan HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/205 |  | Proposal for Binhchau [~~HILLS~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/206 |  | Proposal for Vangia [~~GUYOT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/207 |  | Proposal for Vanninh [~~GUYOT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/208 |  | Proposal for Locan [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/209 |  | Proposal for Longmy CANYON is kept as PENDING (more data, data quality). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/210 |  | Proposal for Binhba HILL is kept as PENDING (more data to be provided in NE). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/211 |  | Proposal for Camhai [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT, polygon to be modified to be expanded in the S. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/212 |  | Proposal for Vinhtho SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/213 |  | Proposal for Tiendu [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/214 |  | Proposal for Phuoctinh HILL is ACCEPTED with polygon to be extended deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/215 |  | Proposal for Longhai GUYOT is kept as PENDING. More data to be provided in the SW. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/216 |  | Proposal for Phuochoa [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/217 |  | Proposal for Tanhai SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with polygon to be extended deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/218 |  | Proposal for Anngai HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/219 |  | Proposal for Gocong HILL is kept as PENDING. More data to be provided, to consider the possible inclusion of the southern feature (out of the polygon). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/220 |  | Proposal for Longson SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, until more data is provided in the SW. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/221 |  | Proposal for Donghai HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/222 |  | Proposal for Tamphuoc [~~GUYOT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/223 |  | Proposal for Datdo HILL is ACCEPTED with slight modification of the polygon deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/224 |  | Proposal for Duyenhai [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/225 |  | Proposal for Lynhon HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/226 |  | Proposal for Thoithuan TERRACE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/227 |  | Proposal for Camduc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be modified deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/228 |  | Proposal for Batri TERRACE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/229 |  | Proposal for Anthuy HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/230 |  | Proposal for Phuoctan [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT |  |
| SCUFN35.1/231 |  | Proposal for Chogao HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (part of a larger feature). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/232 |  | Proposal for Longdien SLOPE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/233 |  | Proposal for Vinhchau HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/234 |  | Proposal for Ganhhao GUYOT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/235 |  | Proposal for Longphu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/236 |  | Proposal for Datmui [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From Malaysia, NHC** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/237a |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by China that proposals from Malaysia (and Philippines) in the sea area under the jurisdiction of China should not be considered in accordance with ROP 2.10. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/237b |  | Following a vote (secret ballot) on the application of ROP 2.10, **SCUFN** decided to consider the proposals from Malaysia (and Philippines). | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/238 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to keep the following named features (from /239 to /249), pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer in PENDING status with the intention to move those ACCEPTED to APPROVED status at the close of SCUFN35.2, if appropriate. | Decision(See also SCUFN35.1/167d) |
| SCUFN35.1/239 |  | Proposal for Kedayan [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. | SCUFN34/VTC01/51 |
| SCUFN35.1/240 |  | Proposal for Bisaya HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/241 |  | Proposal for Lundayeh [~~RIDGE~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/242 |  | Proposal for Rungus HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/243 |  | Proposal for Chengal [~~SEA CHANNEL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as CANYON. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/244 |  | Proposal for Meranti SEA CHANNEL is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as CANYON. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/245 |  | Proposal for Mawar VALLEY is kept as PENDING (more data needed to clearly identify generic term).  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/246 |  | Proposal for Senoi HILL is kept as PENDING (same feature proposed for naming by Philippines as Balabac Hill). | SCFUN Secretary informed that discussion is in progress between interested parties. |
| SCUFN35.1/247 |  | Proposal for Kimaragang RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/248 |  | Proposal for Anggerik [~~VALLEY~~] is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as CANYON (new data to be provided). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/249 |  | Proposal for Bajau RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/250 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by the Philippines. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.10** | **From Japan, JCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/251 |  | Following proposals made by JCUFN were deferred to SCUFN35.2, waiting for the outcome of Actions SCUFN35.1/15 to /17 (See agenda item 3.6). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hat RIDGE is kept asPENDING for further discussions atSCUFN34 plenary due to **SCUFN Member** **Li**’scomment related to naming proposalfor Shennong Seamount made for thesame feature by CCUFN at SCUFN31(Action SCUFN31/168 refers). | SCUFN34/VTC02/18 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Head PEAK is | SCUFN34/VTC02/20 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Shoulder RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/21 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Neck PEAK is | SCUFN34/VTC02/22 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Shoulder RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/23 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Arm RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/24 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Backbone RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/25 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Arm RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/26 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Hipbone RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/27 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for North Tail RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/28 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Hipbone RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/29 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Leg RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/30 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for South Tail RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/31 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Leg RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/32 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.11** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/252 |  | Proposal for Denglong [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. | SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.1/253 |  | Proposal for Jiangtun RIDGE is kept as PENDING until a general policy on these types of features is developed and agreed. There was a discussion that this feature can be a part of numerous abyssal hills.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/254 |  | Proposal for Nanyu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. It was noted that this feature is a complex that consisted of abyssal hills partly overlain by a voluminous bathymetric high.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/255 |  | **SCUFN Generic Term Sub-Group** to develop a general policy related to the Jiangtun RIDGE and Nanyu SEAMOUNT cases (see above). | **15 Sept** |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xianhou SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiannü SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tianqin BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Haitun SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qilin BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Liehu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tianhe SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tiange HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yuanbao SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qiongju KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qiongyao KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qiongjiu KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Wushi HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhoushu KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Huangshigong HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Heichawei KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Huichawei KNOLLS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Wuchawei HILLS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yudie KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Paixiao SEAMOUNT is |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.12** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/237b |  | Following a vote (secret ballot) on the application of ROP 2.10, **SCUFN** decided to consider the proposals from (Malaysia) and Philippines. | Decision(See SCUFN35.1/237a) |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Caoayan SEAMOUNT is | SCUFN34/VTC03/19 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Alaminos HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/24 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bolinao SEAMOUNT is | SCUFN34/VTC03/25 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Palauig HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/26 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Morong RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC03/27 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tagbanua HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/28 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Balabac HILL is (See also SCUFN35.1/246) | SCUFN34/VTC03/29 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bancalan HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/30 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Canipaan HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/31 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.13** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Mestayer SEAMOUNT is | SCUFN34/VTC03/45 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Benjamin Bowring SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Puka GUYOT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Mahetau SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for William Benham SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Helen ES Clark SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Fast-track Proposal for Tūranganui VALLEY is ... |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.14** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lupicínio HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/ |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Moraes Moreira SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Vital de Oliveira SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Santos SEA CHANNEL iskept as PENDING. Possible extensionof the geometry NE and SW to beconsidered. | SCUFN34/VTC03/56 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.15** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Jinyu HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/ |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Huapengu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tanggu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Paigu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Daimao SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meibin RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meibin SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lifa RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xianbei SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei CANYONS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiannan SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Jinyin RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhongjianxi CANYONS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lingyang SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Langong BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lingyangnan SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Chenhangdong SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Chenhang SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Guidian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Feishi HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhangxian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lanxi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Mingcheng HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hualuo RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Menghaoran HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zidian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Changfeng VALLEY is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Chunfeng VALLEY is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Changlong SEAMOUNT CHAIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Feizhu RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Longzhu SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Feilong SEAMOUNT CHAIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qifeng HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhaojun HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hualin SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hongyu RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Longmen SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiaozhenzhu SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Dazhenzhu SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Manao SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiongnan SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiongnan CANYON is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Dayuanxi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Dayuan CANYON is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yongdeng SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bianyu RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yulou RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yudai RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Pianzhou HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Sixian HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhubi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Antang CANYON is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meiji SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meijixi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bisheng SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Guangya CHANNELS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Guangya RISE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Nanan SEAMOUNT is |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.16** | **PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods** |   |
| SCUFN35.1/256 |  | With Reference to Annex C t SCUFN-34 (Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot), **SCUFN** agreed that a proposal needs to be prepared on this matter.**SCUFN Member Coffin** to circulate analysis and recommendations to SCUFN Members for comments.SCUFN Members to provide their comments to **SCUFN Member Coffin**.Consolidated submission proposal prepared by **SCUFN Member Coffin** to be circulated by Secretary for endorsement by correspondence. | Decision**15 May****30 June****15 Sept** |
|  | **4.17** | **Analysis and Recommendation with regard to Philippines, NAMRIA (Apolaki Caldera)** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/257 |  | With Reference to SCUFN34/VTC03/33, **SCUFN Members** to provide their comments on Doc. SCUFN35.1-04.17A to **SCUFN Member Lalancette**.**SCUFN Member Lalancette** supported by **SCUFN Member Coffin**, to prepare consolidated proposal based on **SCUFN Members**’ comments.**SCUFN** to make a decision at the next meeting. | **30 June****15 Sep****SCUFN35.2** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5** | **SCUFN Operational Webservices** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographic Names** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/258 |  | **SCUFN Members** to take note of the update reports available online. |  |
|  | **5.2** | **Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **5.3** | **Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/259 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the report from Marine Regions and noted the call for other Gazetteers if any. |  |
|  | **5.4** | **United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN) – List of Naming Authorities** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/260 | 5.4 | Due to lack of time, **SCUFN** invited **SCUFN Members** to take note of the reports uploaded on the SCUFN webpage. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1** | **Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database – SCUFN Operational Webservices** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/261 |  | **SCUFN** thanked **NOAA’s** and **KHOA’s developers** for being present in this face-to-face meeting in UNESCO, Paris, for their participation in the technical working sessions along the meeting, and their reports. **SCUFN** also commended the **US** and the **Republic of Korea** for their sustained funding support for SCUFN activities, for the corrective maintenance and upgrades of the GEBCO Gazetteer, and the development of the interoperability phase with SCUFN Operational Webservices (KHOA’s Beta-Gazetteer Integration in particular).  |  |
|  | **7.2** | **Development of the IHO SCUFNArchive/Repository/Submission as a component of SCUFN Operational Webservices** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/262 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the report made by KHOA. |  |
|  | **9** | **Any Other Business** |  |
|  | **9.1** | **If any** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **10** | **Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions** |  |
|  | **10.1** | **SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions andActions from SCUFN35.1** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **10.2** | **Update on the Seabed 2030 Project** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/263 |  | **SCUFN** commended the presentation made by **SCUFN Member Mackay**. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/264 |  | **SCUFN** noted that calls for data sharing sent to proposers by **Secretary** after SCUFN meetings, should be directed to IHO DCDB (preferably than to Seabed 2030), noting that the two organizations have direct links anyway. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **11** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business continuity – SCUFN-35.2 countdown** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/265 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the proposal by **SCUFN Secretary** to hold the next meeting in Monaco (28 Nov – 2 Dec 2022) *(to be confirmed)*……and agreed that the format used at SCUFN35.1 (closed sessions, working group sessions, plenary open sessions, in-person as priority but hybrid possible) was efficient. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/266 |  | **SCUFN Members** to consider hosting SCUFN36 in 2023 and to inform **SCFUN Secretary** on possible dates and venue. | **No later than 15 July** (for 2023 budget preparation) |
| SCUFN35.1/267 |  | Noting the backlog, **SCUFN** agreed that new submission proposals received by the **SCUFN Secretary** from the end of SCUFN35.1 should be considered at SCUFN36 (2023) only. | Decision |
|  | **12** | **Closing address by Mr. Julian Barbière (IOC), Head, MarinePolicy and Regional Coordination Section – Ocean Decade Focal Point** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/268 |  | **SCUFN** noted the kind appreciation from Mr. Julian Barbière on the outstanding achievements of SCUFN as well as the impressive in-kind contributions (sustained funding) of the supporting organizations (NOAA, KHOA) to GEBCO Gazetteer and SCUFN Operational Webservices. |  |
|  | **13** | **Conclusion** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/269 |  | **SCUFN Chair** thanked the IOC and IHO staff for their support, SCUFN Members and all observers for their active participation. He confirmed his intention to step down from his position at the end of SCUFN35.2. |  |

1. As reported by SCUFN Member Mackay under agenda item 10.2, data already provided to Seabed 2030 for AWI, NZGB and Caladan Oceanic. Work in progress for Brazil. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/SubmittingMarineGeophysicalData.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-2)