**LIST OF DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-35.2**

(Version 1.0, status *at the date of 12 December 2022*)

Note: ….

| **Decision/**  **Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status & Comments**  **(December 2022)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **Welcome Address (IHO Secretary-General) - Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/01 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the opening address by the **IHO Secretary-General** stressing the importance of undersea feature naming activities for mankind and appealing for balanced positions and mutual understanding in the work to come during the week. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/02 |  | After careful considerations of the effective participation of **SCUFN Members** in SCUFN meetings since 2020, concerning their involvement in the intersessional work, recognizing the benefit of commitments for some in research campaigns at sea, noting the pandemic situation in 2020 and 2021 that led to several sub-meetings in VTC format, **SCUFN** agreed that there was no need to apply ROP 2.5 to anyone yet. **SCUFN Membership** to be reconsidered at the next meeting, so all **Members** are kindly invited to secure their physical participation in 2023. | Decision  SCUFN-36 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **2** | **Logistic and Admin Arrangements - Approval of Agenda & Timetable** |  |
|  | **2.2** | **Approval of the Agenda and Timetable** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/03 |  | **SCUFN** approved the agenda and timetable with a minor change in order to consider sequentially, for consistency and efficiency purposes, all agenda items involving naming proposals located in the South China Sea. The sequence approved is as follows: agenda items 4.8, 4.9, 4.12, 4.15, 4.10, 4.11, 4.13, 4.14, 4.16, 4.17, 4.2, 7.2, etc. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Review of Actions from SCUFN-33, SCUFN-34 and SCUFN-35.1 and transfer to the relevant agenda items (if appropriate)** |  |
|  |  | Skipped – All former and pending actions covered in other agenda items. |  |
|  | **3.2** | **3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Cookbook for Generic Terms – Repository of Typical Cases** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/04 | 3.2 | **SCUFN** notedthe version 1.0, October 2022, “*Repository of Typical Cases*”, and decided to start using it, as a living document, at every SCUFN meeting and update it after each session as appropriate (maintenance: **All**, versions in force managed by **SCUFN Members Ivaldi/Mackay**).  **SCUFN Secretary** to upload it to the SCUFN webpage as an experimental living experimental document “for SCUFN Members only”, noting that various cases can be reviewed already by SCUFN Members prior to SCUFN-36. Proposed amendments to be submitted to managers **Ivaldi/Mackay** for their consideration. | Decision  Permanent  **SCUFN-36 (- 2 months)** |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCUFN35.2/05 | 3.2 | While recognizing that the last version of the *Cookbook on Generic terms* is a very useful tool for SCUFN routine activities, **SCUFN** agreed that it was necessary to clarify the future of this *Cookbook* in relation to other similar initiatives by the scientific community (such as Harris et al’s *Geomorphology of the oceans,* Marine Geology, 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.01.011) and B-6. This decision was also made in light of SCUFN being made aware of the work accomplished by the Norway (MAREANO), Ireland (INFOMAR), UK (MAREMAP), and Australia (Geoscience Australia) Geomorphology Working Group known as MIM-GA (see Dove et al., 2020, 10.5281/ZENODO.4075248).  Noting the various comments and concerns raised by **SCUFN Members**, **SCUFN** agreed to put on hold version 1.2 October 2022 of the *Cookbook for generic terms* and decided not to make it available on the main SCUFN webpage for the moment (could create confusion to the proposers between formal definitions in B-6 and Cookbook).  **SCUFN** invited **SCUFN Member Mackay** to make a proposal at the next meeting on the way forward for this Cookbook, on the existing relationship and possible coordination with multi-initiatives by the scientific community and the national geological surveys for developing a “standard” on generic terms that should be aligned with B-6 definitions (incremental development, eventually through a new Edition) and the SCUFN Cookbook for generic terms. | Decision  Decision  **SCUFN-36** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3.3** | **Report and Recommendations of the SCUFN Sub-group on Generic Terms** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/06 | 3.3 | On the issue “*Features consisting a part of abyssal hills*” **SCUFN** agreed with **SCUFN Member Ohara**’s recommendation (case-by-case assessment for the time being, use of neutral colour scale, eventually rainbow colour scale, and multiple cross-profiles to be provided in proposals).  To be considered in future edition of B-6. | Decisions  (Decisions SCUFN35.1/253, /254 and Action SCUFN35.1/255 refer) |
|  | **3.4** | **Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/07 | 3.4 | **SCUFN** noted **SCUFN Member Ohara**’s Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations including Horizontal Strategy on Minor Features.  **SCUFN** agreed on the recommendation related to Minor Features to be included in a future Edition of B-6 (Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.4A refers) (“*SCUFN does not have any minimum size for features to be named. However as with terrestrial features, undersea features generally less than a few hundred meters across are usually not named except in special case that are usually based on historical and/or scientific significance. In these special circumstances, the proposer of the name of a minor undersea feature would need to describe the reason for proposing a minor feature in a proposal*”.) | Decision  **SCUFN-36** |
| SCUFN35.2/08 | 3.4 | On the issue related to the definition of an optimal horizontal resolution strategy between features, noting the development of automated feature detection tools in progress, knowing the GEBCO grid resolution (target Seabed 2030), **SCUFN** agreed that a Project Team (lead: **SCUFN Member Coffin**, supported by **Ms Anna Hendi (UFN PT Chair)**, **Ms Britt Lonneville (Marine Regions),** and in liaison with **NOAA (Ms Jennifer Jencks/Mr Chris Slater, as GEBCO Gazetteer Operator**) to propose some recommendations at the next meeting (Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.4 refers). | **SCUFN-36** |
|  | **3.6** | **Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/09 | 3.6 | **SCUFN** noted the **SCUFN Member Ohara**’s consolidated proposal of integrated version of recommendations, in particular proposals for variant or alternate names.  However, **SCUFN** considered that more operational criteria need to be defined to improve the robustness of the decision-making process in the future. Based on Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.6A considered as version 1.0, an enhanced version (led by **SCUFN Member Kimeli**) to be provided prior to the next meeting. Meanwhile decisions to be made on a case-by-case basis. | **SCUFN-36 (- 2 months)** |
|  | **3.7** | **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/10 | 3.7A | **SCUFN** noted **SCUFN Member Li**’s presentation on the progress of the work of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D in drafting the TORs related to the assessment of evidence provided by national naming authorities and agreed that more work was needed to reach consensus on a final operational version. | Decision  Ref: SCUFN35.1/167d |
| SCUFN35.2/11 | 3.7A | The **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** welcomed two new Members. The Sub-group is now composed of **SCUFN Members Li, Said (co-leaders), Coffin, and Palmer**. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.2/12 | 3.7A | **SCUFN** instructed the **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to include in the TORs:  **-** the criteria by which priority should be given to internationally recognized peer-review publications;  - the practical operational implementation procedure to make this assessment (who does what, when, and how?). | Decision |
| SCUFN35.2/13 | 3.7A | Once SCUFN35.2/12 is complete, **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to circulate by correspondence the new version of consolidated TORs: objectives, assessment rules, operational procedure, deliverables to **all SCUFN Members**, for input/comments.  **All SCUFN Members** to provide input/comments **to SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D.** | **End of February 2023**  **End of March 2023** |
| SCUFN35.2/14 | 3.7A | Once comments/input are adjudicated by **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D**, **SCUFN Secretary** to submit them to **SCUFN Members** by correspondence for final approval.  **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to be disbanded, procedure enters into force and can be implemented for proposals on the agenda of SCUFN-36. | **End of May 2023 (deadline to vote by correspondence: no later than end of June 2023)**  **SCUFN-36 (- 2 months)** |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCUFN35.2/15 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** noted the statement by **China** by which all proposals related to the rationale for the creation of Sub-group B-6 I.D should be kept as pending until a conclusion is reached by the sub-group. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/16 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** noted the statement by **Viet Nam** by which the names had already been ACCEPTED at SCUFN35.1. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/17 | 3.7B | After fruitful discussions, noting the objectives of the meeting clearly announced at the opening (no backlog at the end), considering that it was not realistic to wait for the outcome of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D, **SCUFN** agreed to approve the change of status of the technically preliminaryACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1 from **Malaysia,** the **Philippines,** and **Viet Nam**, and, already pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer (i.e. status PENDING to be moved to APPROVED). |  |
| SCUFN35.2/18 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** noted the following statement by **China** by which all remaining proposals (deferred from SCUFN35.1), having similar characteristics (location) to the preliminary ACCEPTED names, should be reviewed on an equal basis according to SCUFN's mandate and B-6 Guidelines, Article I.A in particular (features located outside the external limits of the territorial sea).  **SCUFN** noted the following statement by **Viet Nam** by which priority for naming features should be given to coastal States within their EEZ and ECS.  **SCUFN** closed the discussion afterwards and confirmed its decision on the way forward. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/19 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** accepted the provision proposed by the **Secretary** that all ACCEPTED proposals at SCUFN35.2 will be uploaded into the GEBCO Gazetteer with APPROVED status. If it appears that duplicate names were ACCEPTED for the same feature (by mistake), this will be reported at the next meeting for consideration; meanwhile, these duplicate names will remain in the GEBCO Gazetteer with PENDING status. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/20 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to invite all proposers[[1]](#footnote-1) having ACCEPTED naming proposals to provide associated bathymetric data to the IHO DCDB[[2]](#footnote-2) (See https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Caladan Oceanic** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/21 |  | Proposal for Robert Dill HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/41 and SCUFN35.1/79 |
| SCUFN35.2/22 |  | Proposal for du Fresne KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/41 and SCUFN35.1/100 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.8** | **From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/23 |  | Proposal for Nuithanh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/171 |
| SCUFN35.2/24 |  | Proposal for Ducpho HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/172 |
| SCUFN35.2/25 |  | Proposal for Bongson HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/173 |
| SCUFN35.2/26 |  | Proposal for Anthach HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/174 |
| SCUFN35.2/27 |  | Proposal for Tuyhoa SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/175 |
| SCUFN35.2/28 |  | Proposal for Oloan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/176 |
| SCUFN35.2/29 |  | Proposal for Songcau SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/177 |
| SCUFN35.2/30 |  | Proposal for Dailanh SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING (conflict with other feature Qifeng HILL submitted by **CCUFN**), recommending a joint submission preferably using a neutral specific term. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/180 |
| SCUFN35.2/31 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statements by **China** and **Viet Nam** on the issues raised in the discussion about Qifeng HILLl and Dailanh SEAMOUNT (anteriority of one proposal over another). |  |
| SCUFN35.2/32 |  | Proposal for Anphu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/181 |
| SCUFN35.2/33 |  | Proposal for Anchan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/182 |
| SCUFN35.2/34 |  | Proposal for Binhngoc HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/183 |
| SCUFN35.2/35 |  | Proposal for Phudong HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/184 |
| SCUFN35.2/36 |  | Proposal for Phuthanh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/185 |
| SCUFN35.2/37 |  | Proposal for Hongom RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/188 |
| SCUFN35.2/38 |  | Proposal for Khanhson HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/190 |
| SCUFN35.2/39 |  | Proposal for Conghai HILL is ACCEPTED | Ref: SCUFN35.1/191 |
| SCUFN35.2/40 |  | Proposal for Thanhhai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/193 |
| SCUFN35.2/41 |  | Proposal for Nuiong RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/195 |
| SCUFN35.2/42 |  | Proposal for Hamthuan GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/196 |
| SCUFN35.2/43 |  | Proposal for Honba VALLEY is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/197 |
| SCUFN35.2/44 |  | Proposal for Hiepphuoc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/198 |
| SCUFN35.2/45 |  | Proposal for Langa GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/199 |
| SCUFN35.2/46 |  | Proposal for Phuocbuu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/201 |
| SCUFN35.2/47 |  | Proposal for Bongtrang HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/202 |
| SCUFN35.2/48 |  | Proposal for Phuocthuan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/204 |
| SCUFN35.2/49 |  | Proposal for Binhchau HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/205 |
| SCUFN35.2/50 |  | Proposal for Vangia HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/206 |
| SCUFN35.2/51 |  | Proposal for Vanninh RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/207 |
| SCUFN35.2/52 |  | Proposal for Locan RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/208 |
| SCUFN35.2/53 |  | Proposal for Camhai GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/211 |
| SCUFN35.2/54 |  | Proposal for Vinhtho SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/212 |
| SCUFN35.2/55 |  | Proposal for Tiendu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/213 |
| SCUFN35.2/56 |  | Proposal for Phuoctinh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/214 |
| SCUFN35.2/57 |  | Proposal for Phuochoa HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/216 |
| SCUFN35.2/58 |  | Proposal for Tanhai SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/217 |
| SCUFN35.2/59 |  | Proposal for Anngai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/218 |
| SCUFN35.2/60 |  | Proposal for Donghai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/221 |
| SCUFN35.2/61 |  | Proposal for Tamphuoc HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/222 |
| SCUFN35.2/62 |  | Proposal for Datdo HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/223 |
| SCUFN35.2/63 |  | Proposal for Duyenhai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/224 |
| SCUFN35.2/64 |  | Proposal for Lynhon HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/225 |
| SCUFN35.2/65 |  | Proposal for Camduc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/227 |
| SCUFN35.2/66 |  | Proposal for Anthuy HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/229 |
| SCUFN35.2/67 |  | Proposal for Phuoctan GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/230 |
| SCUFN35.2/68 |  | Proposal for Vinhchau HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/233 |
| SCUFN35.2/69 |  | Proposal for Ganhhao GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/234 |
| SCUFN35.2/70 |  | Proposal for Longphu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/235 |
| SCUFN35.2/71 |  | Proposal for Datmui HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/236 |
| SCUFN35.2/72 |  | Proposal for Ninh Vân CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED (recommendation to submit a new proposal for a single CANYON PROVINCE with possible extension to the North, and including Phước Dinh CANYONS). | Ref: SCUFN35.1/187 |
| SCUFN35.2/73 |  | Proposal for Phước Dinh CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/189 |
| SCUFN35.2/74 |  | Proposal for Ninh Hải RIDGE is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be extended to the NE. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/192 |
| SCUFN35.2/75 |  | Proposal for Phú Hài CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/194 |
| SCUFN35.2/76 |  | Proposal for Hồng Phong HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/200 |
| SCUFN35.2/77 |  | Proposal for Long Mỹ CANYONS is kept PENDING requesting mutual consultation or joint proposal with **China** (Features identical to Guangya SEA CHANNELS). | Ref: SCUFN35.1/209 |
| SCUFN35.2/78 |  | Proposal for Bình Ba [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILLS. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/210 |
| SCUFN35.2/79 |  | Proposal for Long Hải [GUYOT] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILL, and polygon to be extended to greater depths. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/215 |
| SCUFN35.2/80 |  | Proposal for Gò Công [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILLS, with the polygon to be extended to the NE to include the last feature. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/219 |
| SCUFN35.2/81 |  | Proposal for Long Sơn HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/220 |
| SCUFN35.2/82 |  | **SCUFN** noted the confirmation made by **Viet Nam** by which specific terms should be written using Vietnamese orthography – diacritical marks and word segmentation - (to be confirmed by **Viet Nam** **no later than 31 December 2022**). **Viet Nam** to provide their final list of ACCEPTED names with the preferred spelling (diacritics or no diacritics) to the **Secretary**. | Decision  **31 December 2022** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From Malaysia, NHC** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/83 |  | **SCUFN** approved eight technically preliminary ACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1, to be published in the GEBCO Gazetteer (status PENDING to be moved to APPROVED). | Ref: SCUFN35.1/238 |
| SCUFN35.2/84 |  | Proposal for Kedayan KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/239 |
| SCUFN35.2/85 |  | Proposal for Bisaya HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/240 |
| SCUFN35.2/86 |  | Proposal for Lundayeh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/241 |
| SCUFN35.2/87 |  | Proposal for Rungus HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/242 |
| SCUFN35.2/88 |  | Proposal for Chengal CANYON is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/243 |
| SCUFN35.2/89 |  | Proposal for Meranti CANYON is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/244 |
| SCUFN35.2/90 |  | Proposal for Kimaragang RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/247 |
| SCUFN35.2/91 |  | Proposal for Bajau RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/249 |
| SCUFN35.2/92 |  | Proposal for Senoi HILL is kept PENDING. (same feature proposed for naming by the **Philippines** as Balabac HILL). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.10** | **From Japan, JCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/93 |  | **SCUFN** reviewed the following proposals made by JCUFN deferred from SCUFN35.1 with reference to the recommendations in the agenda item 3.6.  **SCUFN Member Ohara** introduced the rationale for specific terms making reference to Godzilla Megamullion published in Elsevier and other international peer-reviewed scientific articles in international journals (number of citations, 1st publication in 2001, etc). | See agenda item 3.6 |
| SCUFN35.2/94 |  | Proposal for Hat RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/18 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/95 |  | Proposal for Head PEAK is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/20 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/96 |  | Proposal for West Shoulder RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/21 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/97 |  | Proposal for Neck PEAK is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/22 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/98 |  | Proposal for East Shoulder RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/23 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/99 |  | Proposal for West Arm RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/24 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/100 |  | Proposal for Backbone RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/25 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/101 |  | Proposal for East Arm RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/26 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/102 |  | Proposal for West Hipbone RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/27 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/103 |  | Proposal for North Tail RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/28 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/104 |  | Proposal for East Hipbone RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/29 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/105 |  | Proposal for West Leg RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/30 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/106 |  | Proposal for South Tail RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/31 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/107 |  | Proposal for East Leg RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/32 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.11** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/108 |  | **SCUFN** reviewed proposal for Jiangtun RIDGE made by CCUFN deferred from SCUFN35.1 in application of the general policy adopted under agenda item 3.3. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/255  See agenda item 3.3 |
| SCUFN35.2/109 |  | Proposal for Jiangtun RIDGE is ACCEPTED with an extension of the polygon to the West. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 and SCUFN35.1/253 |
| SCUFN35.2/110 |  | Proposal for Xianhou SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING, more data to be provided by the proposer (extension SE, two cross-profiles). | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/111 |  | Proposal for Xiannü SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/112 |  | Proposal for Tianqin BASIN is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/113 |  | Proposal for Haitun SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/114 |  | Proposal for Qilin BASIN is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/115 |  | Proposal for Liehu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/116 |  | Proposal for Tianhe SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/117 |  | Proposal for Tiange HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/118 |  | Proposal for Yuanbao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be reduced in the NW. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/119 |  | Proposal for Qiongju KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/120 |  | Proposal for Qiongyao KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/121 |  | Proposal for Qiongjiu KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/122 |  | Proposal for Wushi HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/123 |  | Proposal for Zhoushu KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/124 |  | Proposal for Huangshigong HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/125 |  | Proposal for Heichawei KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/126 |  | Proposal for Huichawei KNOLLS is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/127 |  | Proposal for Wuchawei HILLS is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/128 |  | Proposal for Yudie KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/129 |  | Proposal for Paixiao SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to the NNE). | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.12** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/130 |  | Proposal for Caoayan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/19 |
| SCUFN35.2/131 |  | Proposal for Alaminos HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/24 |
| SCUFN35.2/132 |  | Proposal for Bolinao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with a modification the polygon. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/25 |
| SCUFN35.2/133 |  | Proposal for Palauig HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/26 |
| SCUFN35.2/134 |  | Proposal for Morong RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/27 |
| SCUFN35.2/135 |  | Proposal for Tagbanua HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/28 |
| SCUFN35.2/136 |  | Proposal for Balabac HILL is kept PENDING. (same feature proposed for naming by **Malaysia** as Senoi HILL). | **SCUFN-36**  Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/29 and SCUFN35.1/246 |
| SCUFN35.2/137 |  | Proposal for Bancalan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/30 |
| SCUFN35.2/138 |  | Proposal for Canipaan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/31 |
| SCUFN35.2/139 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement by the **Philippines** (priority for naming features should be given to coastal States within their EEZ and ECS). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.13** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/140 |  | Proposal for Mestayer SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING (more detailed explanations needed, missing data in the South for decision making, different maps). | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/45 |
| SCUFN35.2/141 |  | Proposal for Benjamin Bowring SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/142 |  | Proposal for Puka GUYOT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/143 |  | Proposal for Mahetau SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data coverage needed). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/144 |  | Proposal for William Benham SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/145 |  | Proposal for Helen ES Clark SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data coverage needed, specific term to be changed as already existing Clark SEAMOUNT (2). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/146 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Tūranganui VALLEY is ADOPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.14** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/147 |  | Proposal for Lupicínio HILL is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/148 |  | Proposal for Moraes Moreira SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/149 |  | Proposal for Vital de Oliveira SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/150 |  | Proposal for Santos SEA CHANNEL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/56 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.15** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/151 |  | Proposal for Jinyu HILL is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be modified. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/152 |  | Proposal for Huapengu HILL is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be reduced in the SW and new shp file to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/153 |  | Proposal for Tanggu HILL is ACCEPTED with a corrected shp file to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/154 |  | Proposal for Paigu HILL is ACCEPTED, proposal form to be corrected (change from the West to the East of Mauritius, use Central Indian Ridge), polygon to be reduced to avoid overlap in the NE. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/155 |  | Proposal for Daimao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/156 |  | Proposal for Meibin ~~RIDGE~~ is kept PENDING with the generic term modified as [SPUR] until a more consistent proposal is made for the entire feature, including Meibin SEAMOUNT eventually. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/157 |  | Proposal for Meibin SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/158 |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei BASIN is NOT ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/159 |  | Proposal for Lifa RIDGE is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be extended to the SE to include the second feature. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/160 |  | Proposal for Xianbei SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/161 |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED as such (due to the existing associated main feature Macclesfield Bank). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/162 |  | Proposal for [~~Zhongshabei CANYONS~~] is ACCEPTED with the name modified to [Macclesfield CANYON PROVINCE] and an additional information to be noted in the Gazetteer: Also known as Zhongshabei CANYON PROVINCE in some publications.  **SCUFN Secretary** to add the polygon to be provided by **CCUFN** for Zhongshabei PLATEAU (proposal initially planned by CCUFN, not appropriate anymore) around the existing feature Macclesfield BANK. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/163 |  | Proposal for Xiannan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/164 |  | Proposal for Jinyin RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/165 |  | Proposal for Zhongjianxi [~~CANYONS~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as CANYON PROVINCE and the polygon to be extended. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/166 |  | Proposal for Lingyang SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/167 |  | Proposal for Langong BASIN is ACCEPTED with the polygon extended to the North and the aligned proposal form (new map) to be provided to the **Secretary** by the proposer. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/168 |  | Proposal for Lingyangnan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/169 |  | Proposal for Chenhangdong SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/170 |  | Proposal for Chenhang SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with a reduction of the polygon in the NW part. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/171 |  | Proposal for Guidian SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon extended to the NE and the aligned proposal form (new map) to be provided to the **Secretary** by the proposer. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/172 |  | Proposal for Feishi [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILLS. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/173 |  | Proposal for Zhangxian SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/174 |  | Proposal for Lanxi SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/175 |  | Proposal for Mingcheng HILL is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/176 |  | Proposal for Yian SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/177 |  | Proposal for Hualuo RIDGE is ACCEPTED with the polygon extended to the SE to include the feature. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/178 |  | Proposal for Menghaoran [~~HILL]~~ is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as RIDGE. Data, map and polygon extension to the South to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/179 |  | Proposal for Zidian [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as SPUR. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/180 |  | Proposal for Changfeng [~~VALLEY~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as TROUGH. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/181 |  | Proposal for Chunfeng VALLEY is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as TROUGH. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/182 |  | Proposal for Changlong [~~SEAMOUNT CHAIN~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as RIDGES. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/183 |  | Proposal for Feizhu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/184 |  | Proposal for Longzhu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with a revised shp file of the polygon, consistent with the maps, to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/185 |  | Proposal for Feilong [~~SEAMOUNT CHAIN~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as RIDGES. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/186 |  | Proposal for Qifeng HILL is kept PENDING (conflict with other feature Dailanh SEAMOUNT submitted by **VHO**), recommending a joint submission preferably using a neutral specific term. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/187 |  | Proposal for Zhaojun HILL is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/188 |  | Proposal for Hualin SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. This case to be captured and included in the *Repository of Typical Cases* (more than 50% of the feature has more than 1000 m elevation) (**Ivaldi/Mackay**). See also Yongdeng SEAMOUNT case below. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/189 |  | Proposal for Hongyu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/190 |  | Proposal for Longmen SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/191 |  | Proposal for Xiaozhenzhu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. Every reference to Nanhai Basin should be omitted. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/192 |  | Proposal for Dazhenzhu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon reduced in the North and the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/193 |  | Proposal for Manao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. Every reference to Nanhai Basin should be omitted. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/194 |  | Proposal for Xiongnan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. Every reference to Nanhai Basin should be omitted. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/195 |  | Proposal for Xiongnan CANYON is kept PENDING, more cross-profiles to be provided. Recommendation valid for most of the **CCUFN** proposals (**CCUFN** to take action for new proposals to come)). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/196 |  | Proposal for Dayuanxi [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as SPUR. New shp file aligned with the map to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/197 |  | Proposal for Dayuan CANYON is kept PENDING, more cross-profiles to be provided. Recommendation applicable to most proposals (**CCUFN** to take action for new proposals to come). **Proposer** should also consider the use of specific term Nares from the associated major feature Nares BANK. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/198 |  | Proposal for Yongdeng SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/199 |  | Proposal for Bianyu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/200 |  | Proposal for Yulou RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/201 |  | Proposal for Yudai RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/202 |  | Proposal for Pianzhou HILL is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/203 |  | Proposal for Sixian HILL is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/204 |  | Proposal for Zhubi SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/205 |  | Proposal for Antang CANYON is kept as PENDING, more cross-profiles to be provided. Recommendation applicable to most proposals (**CCUFN** to take action for new proposals to come). **Proposer** should also consider the use of specific term Reed from the associated major feature Reed BANK. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/206 |  | Proposal for Meiji SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING, with the specific term to be modified (Existing feature Meiji SEAMOUNT in the GEBCO Gazetteer). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/207 |  | Proposal for Meijixi SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING, with the specific term to changed and reason for choice to be aligned with it. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/208 |  | Proposal for Bisheng [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is kept PENDING, with the specific term to be modified, and the generic term to be modified as RIDGE. More data to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/209 |  | Proposal for Guangya SEA CHANNELS is kept PENDING requesting for mutual consultation or joint proposal with **Viet Nam** (Features identical to Long Mỹ CANYONS). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/210 |  | Proposal for Guangya [~~RISE~~] is ACCEPTED with the specific term to be modified with the generic term modified as SPUR. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/211 |  | Proposal for Nanan SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING with the specific term to be modified. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.16** | **PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/212 |  | With Reference to Annex C to SCUFN-34 (Soga vs Saga GUYOT Alba vs Albo GUYOT, and Del Cano GUYOT), **SCUFN** noted recommendations by **SCUFN Member Coffin**. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/256 |
| SCUFN35.2/213 |  | The Soga GUYOT is replaced by Saga GUYOT while specific term Soga remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in the GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/214 |  | The Alba GUYOT is replaced by Albo GUYOT while specific term Alba remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/215 |  | The Del Cano GUYOT is replaced by Elcano GUYOT while specific term Del Cano remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/216 |  | The Del Cano RISE is replaced by Elcano RISE while specific term Del Cano remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.17** | **Analysis and Recommendation with regard to Philippines, NAMRIA (Apolaki Caldera)** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/217 |  | **SCUFN** noted analysis and recommendation by **SCUFN Member Lalancette** with regard to Apolaki CALDERA. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
| SCUFN35.2/218 |  | Proposal for Apolaki CALDERA is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
| SCUFN35.2/219 |  | Proposal for Loro SPUR is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.18** | **Report of the work made during the inter-sessional period** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/220 |  | **SCUFN** noted the report on preloading in the Gazetteer of all names from the proposals submitted to SCUFN35.1 & 35.2 by **SCUFN Secretary**. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5** | **Liaison with Other Geographical Naming Bodies** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographic Names** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/221 |  | **SCUFN Members** took note of the update report available online. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.2** | **Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **5.3** | **Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/222 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the report from Marine Regions. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.4** | **United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN) – List of Naming Authorities** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/223 |  | **SCUFN** took note of the short verbal report made by **SCUFN Member Palmer**, who acts as liaison officer with UNGEGN on behalf of the IHO. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1** | **Monitoring the relationships with NCEI and KHOA to maintain and/or improve the Gazetteer** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/224 |  | **SCUFN** noted report on monitoring the relationships with NCEI and KHOA to maintain and/or improve the Gazetteer by **SCUFN Secretary**. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7.2** | **Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names)** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/225 |  | **SCUFN** noted report on updating Gazetteer from undersea feature naming decisions and actions from SCUFN-34 & 35.1 by **SCUFN Secretary**. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/226 |  | **SCUFN** noted report on monitoring the list of PENDING names by **SCUFN Secretary**. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/227 |  | **SCUFN** noted the report for regulating SCUFN work by correspondence and with reference to Doc. SCUFN35.2-07.2E agreed on the modalities suggested by **SCUFN Secretary** with no need to amend the SCUFN Rules of Procedure since SCUFN considers that ROP 2.9 still applies for work by correspondence.  **SCUFN Secretary** to upload the modalities on the SCUFN webpage in addition to SCUFN TORs and ROPs. | Decision  January 2023 |
| SCUFN35.2/228 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed report on training on GEBCO Gazetteer of IHO Secretariat’s personnel by **SCUFN Secretary**. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **9** | **Any Other Business** |  |
|  | **9.1** | **If any** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/229 |  | **SCUFN** invited **CCUFN (China), JCUFN (Japan), NHC (Malaysia)** to consider the possibility of testing the SCUFN OWS / Submission interface operated by KHOA (**Republic of Korea**) for a few naming proposals in 2023 and report back on the testing and recommendations at the next meeting. | June 2023  SCUFN-36 |
|  | **10** | **Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions** |  |
|  | **10.1** | **SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN35.2** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **10.2** | **Update on the Seabed 2030 Project** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
| SCUFN35.2/230 |  | **SCUFN** noted that calls for data sharing sent to proposers by **SCUFN Secretary** after SCUFN meetings, should be directed to IHO DCDB (preferable to Seabed 2030), noting that the two organizations have direct links anyway. | Permanent |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **11** | **SCUFN Membership** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/231 |  | **SCUFN** thanked outgoing **SCUFN Chair Han** and **SCUFN** **Member** **Lalancette** and welcomed **Lee** and **Dwiyana** as new SCUFN Members. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/232 |  | In accordance with the ROP, **SCUFN Member Ohara** in his position of Vice-Chair, will act as Chair until the election of Chair and Vice-Chair at SCUFN36. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/233 |  | **SCUFN** noted that nominations for SCUFN Chair and Vice-Chair positions will have to be provided to **SCUFN Secretary** no later than two months prior to SCUFN36. Election planned at the end of SCUFN36. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **12** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business continuity** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/234 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the offer from **Australia (Australian Hydrographic Office)** to hold the next meeting in 2023 (6 – 10 November 2023) in Australia, with Sydney preferred.  …and agreed that the format used at SCUFN35.2 (closed opening and closing sessions, working group sessions, open plenary sessions, in-person) was efficient. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/235 |  | **SCUFN** welcome the offers and noted the possible options for future SCUFN meetings from 2024 onwards (**Republic of Korea**, **Indonesia**, and **Kenya** –*to be confirmed*). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **13** | **Conclusion** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/236 |  | **SCUFN Chair** thanked the IHO staff for their support, SCUFN Members and all observers for their active participation. |  |

1. As reported by SCUFN Member Mackay under agenda item 10.2, data already provided to Seabed 2030 for OOO, NZGB and Caladan Oceanic. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/SubmittingMarineGeophysicalData.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-2)