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**35th SCUFN MEETING**

**Parts 1 and 2**

**SUMMARY REPORT**

***Notes****: 1) Paragraph numbering is the same as in the agenda(s) (Annex A)*

*2) All documents referred to in these minutes are available from the SCUFN page of the IHO website (*[*www.iho.int*](http://www.iho.int/) *> Committees & WGs > IRCC > GEBCO > SCUFN > 35th Meeting) and are not duplicated in the report for the sake of brevity.*

##### *Annexes:*

 *A Agenda and Timetable, Part 1 and Part 2*

 *B List(s) of Decisions and Actions arising from SCUFN-35, Part 1 and Part 2*

*Note 1*: All Decisions and Actions below lead to the Annex B (main table of Decisions and Actions) using bookmarks. When in Annex B, press **ALT+ left Arrow** on your keyboard to get back to the original section in the main part of the report.

 *Note 2*: To facilitate the understanding of the chronology of discussion topics and subsequent decisions and actions of SCUFN35 (Part 1 and Part 2), this Summary Report is divided into two main sections, covering respectively Part 1 and Part 2 of the SCUFN35 meeting. As shown in Annex A, the two parts of the meeting had the same structure with a half-day closed session at the beginning and at the end of each part. The attendance of these working closed sessions, arranged to prepare the plenary meeting sessions and/or refine some decisions and actions *after* the plenary meeting sessions, was limited to SCUFN Members only. The outcome of these closed sessions is not reported as such in this document; it is simply integrated under the agenda items of the plenary sessions, when appropriate.

##### --

At the end of its previous virtual meeting in November 2021 (SCUFN34 VTC03) SCUFN agreed to arrange the 35th meeting of the IHO-IOC GEBCO Sub-Committee on Undersea Feature Names (SCUFN) in 2022 exceptionally into two parts, due to the backlog of proposals and the uncertainties with regard to the possibility of having the next meeting early 2022 in St. Petersburg, Russian Federation. The decision was made to have:

* Part 1 – hosted by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO (IOC), at its headquarters in Paris (hybrid format), from 14 to 18 March 2022;
* Part 2 – hosted by the IHO Secretariat in Monaco (in-person format), from 28 November to 2 December 2022.

The two parts of the meeting were chaired by Dr Hyun-Chul Han (IOC Representative) from the Korean Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM – Republic of Korea). Assistant Director Yves Guillam (SCUFN Secretary) represented the IHO Secretariat. Technical support for running the meetings was provided by IHO staff (Mr Rémy Roquefort)[[1]](#footnote-1), and the Project Officer (Mr Insung Park) seconded to the IHO by the Republic of Korea.

**Part 1**

**1. Welcome Address (IHO Director Luigi Sinapi) – Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)**

*Docs: SCUFN35-01A List of Documents (Secretary)*

 *SCUFN35.1-01B List of Participants;* [*Part 1*](https://iho.int/uploads/user/Inter-Regional%20Coordination/GEBCO/SCUFN/SCUFN35/SCUFN35.1_2022_01B_List_of_Participants.pdf) *(Secretary)*

 *SCUFN35-01C SCUFN Membership and Observers List (Secretary)*

 *Introduction of upcoming SCUFN Members*

Part 1 was attended by about 51 registered participants (18 in-person), with ten SCUFN Members out of 12 (five in-person). Observers, and subject matter experts from Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Philippines, Republic of Korea, United States of America, Viet Nam, Marine Regions (also Chair of the S-130 Project Team), and Mr Toshihiko Chiba from the Marine Policy and Regional Coordination Section of the IOC also participated in this Part 1.

In his opening virtual address, IHO Director Luigi Sinapi commended SCUFN, Chair and Members, as this Sub-Committee of GEBCO had shown incredible resilience and responsiveness to requests from around the world during the last two years of the pandemic, meeting four times remotely through inter-sessional meetings. He also invited SCUFN to keep focused on the objectives, with a fair and smart application of Guidelines and Rules of Procedure in the spirit of GEBCO for the sake of undersea features naming. He stressed the fact that SCUFN work is an internationally recognized activity and can be considered as a component of the global initiatives in favour of the oceans launched at all levels, first of all the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development, to move from the “*Ocean we have to the Ocean we want*”.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/01a**](#SCUFN35_1_001a)

For those attendees who were not familiar with SCUFN matters, the Chair kindly provided a short brief on the objectives of the meeting, on the rules of procedure, and on the way naming proposals are assessed with their specific and generic terms “flagged” (red, yellow, green) in advance of plenary sessions by SCUFN Members, using the “scufnreview” tools (<https://scufn.ops-webservices.kr/>). Supported by the Secretary, the Chair also gave a short summary of the outcome of the closed session arranged on Monday 14 March and thanked the representatives of NOAA, KHOA, and Marine Regions who also had a side-technical meeting.

**2. Logistic and Administrative Arrangements - Approval of Agenda & Timetable**

The IOC provided excellent arrangements for the hybrid meeting (double independent screens, IT support for the management of the Zoom platform and wifi access, catering, building access, badges, etc.).

**2.2 Approval of the agenda and timetable**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-02.2A Agenda and Timetable (Secretary)*

The SCUFN Secretary went through the timetable explaining that Part 1 programme included, not only the review of naming proposals - which remained the top priority -, but also some corporate matters (GEBCO Gazetteer software, SCUFN Operations Web Services, improvement of the decision-making process in SCUFN, etc.), in order to benefit from the joint physical participation of the developers from NOAA and KHOA. Two sub-groups (Repository of Typical Cases, and Web Services) were invited to meet for a technical working session on Wednesday 16 March afternoon, a slot also offered to those participants who were required to show a certified PCR test result before their return flights.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/01b**](#SCUFN35_1_001b)

**2.3 SCUFN TORs and Proposed Amendments if any**

*Doc: SCUFN34-01D Terms of Reference and Rules of Procedure (Chair/Secretary) – Proposed Amendments if any*

No proposal for amendments was received prior to the meeting.

**3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings**

**3.1 Review of Actions from SCUFN-33 and SCUFN34**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-03.1A List of Actions from SCUFN-33 and Status (Secretary)*

 *SCUFN35.1-03.1B List of Actions from SCUFN-34 VTC01 and Status (Secretary)*

 *SCUFN35.1-03.1C List of Actions from SCUFN-34 VTC02 and Status (Secretary)*

 *SCUFN35.1-03.1D List of Actions from SCUFN-34 VTC03 and Status (Secretary)*

The status of the list of decisions and actions of previous meetings had been made available on the SCUFN35 webpage prior to the meeting. Members were invited to take note of progress on implementation of these Decisions and Actions. They were also informed that pending actions had been recently transferred to the relevant additional agenda items of the Part 1 meeting (agenda items 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 for instance).

**3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of typical cases – Towards a Decision Tree**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-03.2A Cookbook for Generic Terms of Undersea Features - Repository of Typical Cases*

*SCUFN35.1-03.2B Towards a decision tree*

**3.2A** It was acknowledged that there had been no significant progress in the development of an operational version of the *Cookbook for Generic Terms of Undersea Feature Names*, (status of version 1.1 dated May 2021, still unclear). A new set of actions was decided with deadlines prior to the Part 2 meeting.

** Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/02 to SCUFN35.1/05**](#SCUFN35_1_002)

As for the *Repository of Typical Cases*, the working session on the 3rd day was quite fruitful, and SCUFN Member Ivaldi was, at the end of the week, in a position to deliver a presentation on the status of this critical piece of work for the improvement of the decision-making process in SCUFN.

As for the *Cookbook* mentioned before, and in order to move to an operational version of it, a new set of actions was decided.

** Decision and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/06 to SCUFN35.1/08**](#SCUFN35_1_006)

**3.2B** One reminder of the challenging discussions that occurred at SCUFN33 and throughout 2021 during SCUFN34 VTC sessions, in relation to a recommendation in B-6 by which *“There is significant benefit to be gained from* ***mutual consultation by all interested parties*** *in preparing and submitting proposals to SCUFN. National naming authorities are encouraged* ***to consult*** *on undersea features names* ***in their mutual areas of interest*** *prior to submitting proposals to SCUFN.*” (B-6, Ed. 4.2.0, Section III.D). This created a situation where SCUFN was unable to make any final decision on a significant number of naming proposals which were therefore either deferred or kept as *pending*.

To circumvent the issue, a “*decision tree[[2]](#footnote-2)*” based on the location of naming proposals (within national EEZ limits, in national ECS, official national limits, claimed, disputed, etc.) was developed in 2021 by the SCUFN Secretary, supported by the Chair and Vice-Chair. However, the discussion for further development of this tool was put on hold due to serious and sensitive concerns raised by some SCUFN Members that could not be discussed efficiently via emails or in VTC meetings only.
At SCUFN Part 1, with most of SCUFN Members participating and as an outcome of the closed session, the SCUFN Secretary presented the conclusion of this specific task and the way forward, as summarized below:

“There is actually:

* No consensus on the tentative development (2021) and experimentation for two years of a *decision-tree* based on the location of the feature;
* No consensus on the « *mutual consultation* » process to become a pre-condition for undersea feature names located in areas of mutual interest; Section B-6, III.D is *de facto* not applicable;
* No consensus to apply SCUFN ROP 2.10 systematically every time there is a single objection as it is not in the spirit of GEBCO and marine knowledge for future generations…

There is:

* Agreement that it is not in SCUFN mandate, scope, and capacity to conduct an in-depth legal analysis of every case;
* Agreement on the general principle to simply apply existing Guidelines (B-6) and SCUFN ROP in force.

The only possible way forward is therefore described as follows:

* In the SCUFN review phase (SCUFN Operations Web Services), if there is a single « red » objection based on the location of the feature, the Chair may call for a vote (secret ballot) among SCUFN Members (in accordance with SCUFN ROP 2.9) on the question: «*should the naming proposal considered as politically sensitive or not?* »
	+ if simple majority of votes is YES, then the proposal is not considered (SCUFN ROP 2.10 applies).
	+ if simple majority is NO, SCUFN is entitled to review the proposal in application of Guidelines B-6, Section I.A.”

No further action was deemed necessary to pursue the “*decision tree*” option.

**Action SCUFN34/VTC03/05  closed**

This process was then applied with success to the relevant naming proposals listed in the agenda of Part 1 (see paragraphs 4.8, 4.9 and 4.12).

**3.3 SCUFN Sub Group on Generic Terms**

There was no specific action to report from this Sub-Group as such.

**3.4 Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy**

SCUFN Member Ohara provided some recommendations and a set of actions was decided with the aim to conclude at the subsequent Part 2 of the meeting.

** Decision and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/09 to SCUFN35.1/12**](#SCUFN35_1_009)

**3.5 Names of Living Persons**

SCUFN Member Ohara provided some recommendations (case-by-case approach, no change needed in B-6) that were agreed.

** Decisions** [**SCUFN35.1/13 and /14**](#SCUFN35_1_013)

**3.6 Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names, or have antecedent specific names that remain in common use in the scientific literature**

SCUFN thanked again SCUFN Member Ohara for his hard work and for sharing his initial views on the matter.

A new set of actions was decided with deadlines prior to the Part 2 meeting. This had an immediate effect for the consideration of the naming proposals made by JCUFN which were deferred.

** Decision and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/15 to SCUFN35.1/17**](#SCUFN35_1_015)

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/251**](#SCUFN35_1_251)

**4. Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period**

*Note 1*: The status of proposed undersea feature names is now classified as ACCEPTED, ADOPTED, NOT ACCEPTED, and PENDING (definitions available in [SCUFN34 Report](https://iho.int/uploads/user/Inter-Regional%20Coordination/GEBCO/SCUFN/SCUFN34/SCUFN34_2021_P_EN_Report_v1.1_5Jan2022.pdf), paragraph 3.2A).

*Note 2*: In order to remain concise, the decisions and actions, which are self-explanatory in general, are reported in Annex B only. Specific comments are made below only when necessary.

*Note 3*: In the lists of decisions and actions, it is indicated in the column “Status & comments” that a number of proposed names are already “*entered in* [the GEBCO] *Gazetteer*”. This is the normal internal procedure used by the SCUFN Secretariat to enter them on stand-by status (READY) for quality control/quality assurance purposes. As soon as they are ACCEPTED by SCUFN, this procedure allows them to be swiftly moved to the APPROVED status in the GEBCO Gazetteer database. They are kept in this buffer zone until a decision is made for two years. In the case they are NOT ACCEPTED, they are deleted.

--

The permanent actions related to the geometries and the encouragement of releasing bathymetric data to the IHO Data Centre for Digital Bathymetry (DCDB), as indicated in B-6, Ed. 4.2.0, were reiterated at the start of agenda item 4 by the Secretary as they are to all proposers after the plenary sessions when reporting to them on the outcome.

** Action** [**SCUFN35.1/18**](#SCUFN35_1_018)

**4.1 From Indonesia, Pushidrosal**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.1A Proposals (10) from Indonesia, Pushidrosal*

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/19 to SCUFN35.1/28**](#SCUFN35_1_019)

**4.2 From USA, Caladan Oceanic**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.2A Proposals (88) from USA, Caladan Oceanic*

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/29 to SCUFN35.1/117**](#SCUFN35_1_029)

**4.3 From Philippines, NAMRIA**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.3A Proposals (12) from Philippines, NAMRIA*

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/118 to SCUFN35.1/129**](#SCUFN35_1_118)

**4.4 From Germany, AWI**

*Docs: SCUFN35.1-04.4A Proposal (15) from Germany, AWI*

 *SCUFN35.1-04.4B Note from Germany, AWI dated 3 March 2022*

Following a note from the proposer received by the Secretariat, indicating that the proposer was still in contact with the NZGB (requesting more time to consider the naming proposals in liaison with native tribes of the Chatham Islands[[3]](#footnote-3)), proposals SCUFN35.1/131 to /145, were not reconsidered at the Part 1 meeting.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/130**](#SCUFN35_1_130)

**4.5 From Republic of Korea, KHOA**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.5A Proposal (1) from Republic of Korea, KHOA*

This proposal was withdrawn by the proposer before the opening of the meeting.

**4.6 From China, CCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.6A Proposals (11) from China, CCUFN*

 *SCUFN35.1-04.6B Letter from the China Committee on Undersea Feature Names (CCUFN)*

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/147 to SCUFN35.1/157**](#SCUFN35_1_147)

SCUFN also noted the Statements made by China as provided in its CCUFN Letter dated 14 March 2022.

**4.7 From New Zealand, NZGB**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.7A Proposals (9) from New Zealand, NZGB*

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/158 to SCUFN35.1/166**](#SCUFN35_1_158)

**4.8 From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office (VHO)**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.8A Proposals (67) from Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office*

At the beginning of this agenda item, based on the Statements made by China (see paragraph 4.6 above) and Viet Nam (naming proposals for features located in disputed areas), there were again considerable discussions within SCUFN on the possibility for such a technical sub-committee to review any proposal located in the South China Sea (SCS).

Thanks to the upstream preparation work achieved in the closed session and the anticipation of such a scenario, SCUFN Chair decided to apply the process adopted at the beginning of the plenary session of Part 1 (see paragraph 3.2B above).

A vote (secret ballot) on the applicability of SCUFN ROP 2.10 was arranged in accordance with SCUFN ROP 2.9, and SCUFN Members voted almost unanimously for going ahead with the technical review of the naming proposals located in the SCS.

** Decisions** [**SCUFN35.1/167a, /167b, and /167c**](#SCUFN35_1_167a)

If most of the proposals were accepted from a technical point of view, they were soon challenged by SCUFN Member Li requesting the application of clause I.D of B-6, since the features were already named by China (principle of anteriority). Since it was unclear whether these names were already recognized by the scientific community, a sub-group was set up at Part 1 to clarify the criteria by which this principle of anteriority should be used (e.g., peer-review international scientific publications). A set of actions was decided with deadlines to ensure that this sub-group would be operational before Part 2.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.1/167d**](#SCUFN35_1_167d)

Notwithstanding this new issue, SCUFN agreed that Part 2 should be the deadline for deciding on the final status, in the GEBCO Gazetteer, of these undersea feature names.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.1/168**](#SCUFN35_1_168)

All naming proposals were finally reviewed and decisions were made by SCUFN, including a recommendation to Viet Nam (VHO) to use in proposal forms in the future, when appropriate, the associated features already existing in the GEBCO Gazetteer.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/169**](#SCUFN35_1_169)

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/170 to SCUFN35.1/236**](#SCUFN35_1_170)

**4.9 From Malaysia, NHC**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.9A Proposals (11) from Malaysia, NHC*

Due to the location of some features, since the naming proposals by Malaysia were challenged as well, the process agreed for the naming proposals by Viet Nam (see paragraph 4.8 above) was applied in the same way.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/237a and /237b**](#SCUFN35_1_237a)

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/238**](#SCUFN35_1_238)

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/239 to SCUFN35.1/249**](#SCUFN35_1_239)

A Statement by the Philippines was noted during the various discussions that occurred under this agenda item. The SCUFN Secretary also informed the participants that discussions were in progress between interested parties with regard to the same feature, the naming of which being proposed by Malaysia (Senoi Hill) and the Philippines (Balabac Hill).

** Decisions and Actions and** [**SCUFN35.1/250**](#SCUFN35_1_250)

**4.10 From** **Japan, JCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.10A Proposals (14) from Japan, JCUFN*

It was agreed to defer all the proposals made by JCUFN to Part 2, waiting for the outcome of Actions SCUFN35.1/15 to /17 (see agenda item 3.6).

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/251**](#SCUFN35_1_251)

**4.11 From China, CCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.11A Proposal (23) from China, CCUFN*

Most proposals were deferred, while it appeared that two of them raised the need for establishing a general policy applicable to features related to abyssal hills.

The task was allocated to the SCUFN Generic Term Sub-Group.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/252 to /255**](#SCUFN35_1_252)

**4.12 From Philippines, NAMRIA**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.12A Proposals (9) from Philippines, NAMRIA*

 *SCUFN35.1-04.12B Position of the Philippines Government on the request to conduct "mutual consultation"*

Due to the location of some features, since the naming proposals by Philippines were challenged as well, the process agreed for the naming proposals by Viet Nam (see paragraph 4.8 above), was applied in the same way.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/237b**](#SCUFN35_1_237b)

There was insufficient time during the meeting to assess the proposals, so assessment was deferred to Part 2.

The position of the Philippines, as provided in its Letter from the Permanent Delegation to UNESCO dated 1 March 2022, was duly noted. To briefly summarize for a better understanding, Philippines considers that the clause III.D in Publication B-6 by which proposers are invited to engage in *mutual consultation* for features located in *mutual areas of interest* is not applicable when limits are precisely disputed. It turned out that there was at least an implicit consensus by the interested parties not to apply this clause since it is not applicable in practice.

**4.13 From New Zealand, NZGB**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.13A Proposals (7) from New Zealand, NZGB*

Due to time limitations, all proposals were deferred to Part 2.

**4.14 From** **Brazil, DHN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.14A Proposals (4) from Brazil, DHN*

Due to time limitations, all proposals were deferred to Part 2.

**4.15 From** **China, CCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN*

Due to time limitations, all proposals were deferred to Part 2.

**4.16 PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods - Proposals for Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot**

With Reference made to the Annex C of the SCUFN34 report, and as a result of the intersessional work, SCUFN was requested to make some final decisions with regard to possible existing misspelling mistakes/inconsistencies on some feature names (Soga *versus* Saga Guyot, Alba *versus* Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot).

A set of actions with deadlines was decided with the aim to conclude at the subsequent Part 2 of the meeting.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.1/256**](#SCUFN35_1_256)

**4.17 From Philippines, NAMRIA - Follow-up SCUFN34/VTC03/33 on Apolaki Caldera**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.17A Apolaki Caldera - Analysis and Recommendation*

Following up on Action SCUFN34/VTC03/33, a preliminary analysis of the technical issues raised by the naming proposal (Apolaki Caldera) made by the Philippines in 2021, was provided by SCUFN Member Lalancette. After some complementary discussions, SCUFN agreed that a consolidated proposal needed to be developed.

A set of actions with deadlines was decided with the aim to conclude at the subsequent Part 2 of the meeting.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.1/257**](#SCUFN35_1_257)

**5. Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies**

**5.1 Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the U.S. Board on Geographic Names**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.1A Report of ACUF*

SCUFN Member Palmer, reporting on behalf of ACUF and SCUFN, noted his report. It is worth noting in this report that the existing periodic report from Marine Regions, including cross-checking the consistency of feature names (spelling, location, etc.) available from different sources (GEBCO Gazetteer, ACUF, Canada, etc.), is used for quality assurance. This level of cooperation is extremely appreciated by SCUFN.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/258**](#SCUFN35_1_258)

**5.2 Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)**

*Left blank intentionally.*

**5.3 Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.3A Report of Marine Regions*

SCUFN noted the Marine Regions Geographic (Unique) IDentifier concept (MRGID), the call made by Marine Regions for integrating other Gazetteers (if any), as well as inconsistencies reported in various databases.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/259**](#SCUFN35_1_259)

Although this action is not explicitly identified, the Secretariat will investigate in 2023 the possibilities of studying the inconsistencies reported by Marine Regions, prior to SCUFN36.

**5.4 United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN)**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.4A Report of UNGEGN*

Due to time limitations, participants were invited to consult the report kindly provided by SCUFN Member Palmer, as UNGEGN Liaison with IHO, which was available online.

SCUFN noted the adoption of the UNGEGN Strategic Plan and Programme of Work 2021-2029, which encourage strengthening liaison relationships with other international bodies, such as the IHO.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/260**](#SCUFN35_1_260)

**6. Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-xx*

*Left blank intentionally.*

**7. Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names**

**7.1 Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database - – SCUFN Operations Web Services**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-07.1A Report by NOAA/NCEI on maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer (NOAA)*

 *SCUFN35.1-07.1B Interoperability with SCUFN Operations Web Services (NOAA Rep - KHOA)*

SCUFN commended NOAA, KHOA, and the developers for the excellent work made during the pandemic (several updates of the Gazetteer until v4.3.6, display of Gazetteer version information, “elevation” superseded by “depth” on the Gazetteer display, development of the API in the GEBCO Gazetteer for the interconnection with SCUFN Operations Web Services).

Their reports were very enlightening, in particular for new participants, to understand that behind the scene, there is a maintained software backbone.

SCUFN noted that annual funding of both KHOA SCUFN Operations Web Services and the GEBCO Gazetteer was essential and should continue for corrective actions and improvements of the interoperable systems.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/261**](#SCUFN35_1_261)

**7.2 Development of the IHO SCUFN Archive/Repository/Submission as a component of SCUFN Operations Web Services**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-07.2A Report by KHOA on the development of the IHO SCUFN Archive/Repository/Submission. Work Plan*

KHOA delivered a status report on the developments in progress (SCUFN Archive in particular). The need to move as quickly as possible to a full integrated *operational* version of the systems was stressed by the Secretary. This is not the case yet. KHOA agreed that there was still a significant amount of work to achieve integration. This would require considering some simplifications in the work flow.

The status report was welcome. Pending experimentation prior to any official commissioning, some key decision points are expected to be proposed at SCUFN36.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.1/262**](#SCUFN35_1_262)

**8.** **Undersea Feature Names Project Team (UFNPT)**

*Left blank intentionally.*

**9. Any Other Business**

**9.1 If any…**

*Left blank intentionally.*

**10. SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions**

**10.1 GEBCO Guiding Committee**

The Chair thanked the Secretary who represented SCUFN at the Intersession GEBCO Guiding Committee (GGC) meeting held in virtual format on the 2nd of February 2022. He also informed that the Vice-Chair would represent SCUFN at the GGC37 meeting in April 2022.

With another GGC38 meeting planned early November 2022, the Chair informed that SCUFN would not be in a position to provide any complementary update prior to the SCUFN35 Part 2 meeting.

**10.2 Seabed 2030**

*Doc: SCUFN35.1-10.2A Seabed 2030 Update*

SCUFN Member Mackay, reporting also as Head of the South and West Pacific Data Centre, provided a very useful update on the Nippon-Foundation GEBCO Seabed 2030 project.

In response to a question raised by the Secretary on the provision of bathymetric data supporting undersea feature names, it was clearly indicated that the most efficient option was for promoting a data flow directly from the proposer to the IHO Data Centre for Digital Bathymetry (IHO DCDB).

In relation to the “horizontal strategy” issue for naming features (agenda item 3.4 refers), the Secretary also pointed out the ambition of Seabed 2030 to develop depth-dependent grid resolution (see Doc in Reference, slide 4) and the need to adopt a consistent approach.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/18, /263, and /264**](#SCUFN35_1_263)

**11. Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business Continuity**

At the end of the meeting, SCUFN welcomed the offers made by SCUFN Members to host future meetings in Australia and Kenya and the proposal from the Secretary to hold Part 2 of SCUFN35 at the IHO Secretariat in Monaco.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/265, and /266**](#SCUFN35_1_265)

Noting the significant number of naming proposals that were deferred during Part 1 and the remaining pending naming proposals, SCUFN agreed unanimously that new naming proposals received by the Secretariat from the end of Part 1 of SCUFN35, should be postponed, not to Part 2 of SCUFN35, but to SCUFN36 only.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.1/267**](#SCUFN35_1_267)

**12. and 13. Closing Address and Conclusion**

Representing the host, Mr. Julian Barbière (IOC), Head, Marine Policy and Regional Coordination Section – Ocean Decade Focal Point, highlighted the outstanding achievements of SCUFN during the week despite the significant and complex issues that were overcome in the end. He also noted the important in-kind contributions (sustained funding) of the supporting organizations (NOAA, KHOA) to the GEBCO Gazetteer and SCUFN Operations Web Services, and cooperation with Marine Regions.

The Chair closed the meeting, thanking all participants for their active participation and expecting many physically present at Part 2 to facilitate the decision-making process and put SCUFN in a much better position to conclude with the pending naming proposals. He also confirmed his intention to step down from his Chair position at the end of Part 2.

** Decisions** [**SCUFN35.1/268**](#SCUFN35_1_268)**, and** [**/269**](#SCUFN35_1_269)

**Part 2**

**1. Welcome Address (IHO Secretary-General Dr Mathias Jonas) – Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)**

*Docs: SCUFN35-01A List of Documents (Secretary)*

*SCUFN35.2-01B List of Participants;* [*Part 2*](https://iho.int/uploads/user/Inter-Regional%20Coordination/GEBCO/SCUFN/SCUFN35/SCUFN35.2_2022_01B_List_of_Participants.pdf) *(Secretary)*

*SCUFN35-01C SCUFN Membership and Observers List (Secretary) - Introduction of upcoming SCUFN Members*

Part 2 was attended by about 24 registered participants, with 8 SCUFN Members out of 12 and observers or subject matter experts from China, Türkiye, Japan, Philippines, United States of America, and Viet Nam, the US Advisory Committee on Undersea Feature Names (ACUF), and Marine Regions.

Dr Mathias Jonas, Secretary-General of the IHO, opened the meeting and made a point on the spirit to adopt for meeting the main objective of the meeting, being resolution of the entire backlog; otherwise it would not be possible to accept new proposals in 2023 and onwards.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/01**](#SCUFN35_2_001)

The Chair welcomed new SCUFN Member Lorena Sampaio (IOC branch, Brazil) and all participants were invited to introduce themselves since it was the first full in-person meeting since August 2019 (SCUFN32). New selected Members were also present. The Secretary reminded the SCUFN Members that the participation of some of them in the next SCUFN36 in 2023 was expected so that they don’t fall under the application of SCUFN ROP 2.5, noting that the only exception granted to Members for not being present in a meeting is when they are committed in a research/survey campaign at sea. This should not prevent them from reviewing the naming proposals that are available online on the SCUFN Operations Web Services, and from undertaking the specific actions, if any, in their remit.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/02**](#SCUFN35_2_002)

**2. Logistic and Administrative Arrangements - Approval of Agenda & Timetable**

As a precautionary measure, all participants were invited to get tested (compulsory Covid antigen auto-test) before entering the Conference room in the IHO Secretariat. By SCUFN Circular Letter 02/2022, the Secretariat had also announced that the Conference room capacity would be limited to about 30 participants only.

With regard to the participation of Observers, despite a reminder of the SCUFN ROP 2.7 (“*Observers from IHO and/or IOC Member States may attend meetings. Attendance shall normally be limited to one observer per Member State.*”), with exception granted for interpreters if needed, the Secretary made a point of order to one Member State that was present with five delegates: such a situation must be avoided by all means at future SCUFN meetings, in the strict respect of equity with other delegations.

**2.2 Approval of the agenda and timetable**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-02.2A Agenda and Timetable (Secretary)*

The agenda and timetable were adopted with a minor change in the schedule in order to review all naming proposals located in the South China Sea sequentially.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/03**](#SCUFN35_2_003)

**3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings**

**3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of typical cases – Cookbook for Generic Terms of Undersea Features**

*Docs: SCUFN35.2-03.2A Update on the Status of the Cook Book – “Repository of Typical Cases”*

*SCUFN35.2-03.2B Comments and Recommendations for Cookbook on Generic Terms*

**3.2A** Following up on decisions and actions from Part 1, SCUFN Member Ivaldi (not present due to a research campaign in Antarctica) had provided an updated version of the *Repository of Typical Cases*. Version 1.0 October 2022 is now commissioned as a living document for SCUFN Members only, for operational use. Maintenance to be managed by SCUFN Members Ivaldi/Mackay, based on “typical” cases captured at SCUFN meetings.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/04**](#SCUFN35_2_004)

**3.2B** Following up on decisions and actions from Part 1, new elements and concerns were brought to the attention of SCUFN Members with regard to the definitions in *Cookbook on Generic Terms* and its status for SCUFN activities (compliance with B-6, authoritative status when other definitions exist in scientific publications, etc.). The importance of this *Cookbook* for the legitimacy of SCUFN in the future was both stressed and recognized, in particular in the view of the increasing development of tools for automated detection of undersea features.

However, while recognizing the importance of developing robust definitions and descriptions of generic terms for the sake of SCUFN, the Secretary requested that particular attention is made to the effective resources available within SCUFN to meet a certain level of ambition. SCUFN Member Mackay (not present for personal reasons), as lead of the team in charge of the *Cookbook,* was invited to make some proposals on the way forward for this Cookbook at the next meeting.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/05**](#SCUFN35_2_005)

**3.3 Update on the general policy on “Features consisting a part of abyssal hills”**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.3A Update on the general policy on “Features consisting a part of abyssal hills”*

Following up on decisions and actions from Part 1, the recommendations made by SCUFN Member Ohara were agreed. It was also noted that some elements should be captured for being included in a future edition of B-6 (definitions).

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/06**](#SCUFN35_2_006)

**3.4 Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.4A Proposal of integrated version of recommendations including Horizontal Strategy on Minor Features*

Following up on decisions and actions from Part 1, the recommendations made by SCUFN Member Ohara were agreed in general. A set of actions was however decided to optimize the horizontal strategy component of the recommendations, with Seabed 2030 (depth-dependent grid resolution) as a target while taking into account computer and screen display technology/methodology constraints.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/07**](#SCUFN35_2_007)**, and** [**/08**](#SCUFN35_2_008)

**3.6 Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names, or have antecedent specific names that remain in common use in the scientific literature**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.6A Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations*

SCUFN thanked SCUFN Member Ohara for the provision of a consolidated version of his recommendations, already discussed at Part 1. Some progress was acknowledged but there was no real consensus on the proposed way forward since the “case-by-case” seems the most likely option. However, a majority of SCUFN Members favoured the option of using “Alternate” names in the Information section of the GEBCO Gazetteer.

SCUFN Members agreed that some more work was needed to develop a more robust policy. Contacted by email, SCUFN Member Kimeli (not present due to administrative reasons) agreed to lead this task and to propose a new version of the policy for decision prior to the next meeting.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.2/09**](#SCUFN35_2_009)

**3.7 SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D**

*Docs: SCUFN35.2-03.7A Outcome of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D including the draft TORs and assessment of evidences provided by national naming authorities*

 *SCUFN35.2-03.7B[[4]](#footnote-4) Consequences on ACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1, pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer (status PENDING to be moved to APPROVED)*

**3.7A**. SCUFN Member Li provided a status report on the establishment of the so-called SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D by which it appeared that no consensus between the co-leaders had been reached on its TORs.
SCUFN agreed that more work was needed and two other SCUFN Members volunteered to participate and instructed the Sub-group to give the highest weight to names identified in recognized peer-review international scientific publications. A set of actions was decided accordingly.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/10 to /14**](#SCUFN35_2_010)

**3.7B.** In order to avoid a second postponement of final decisions affecting all the pre-technically-accepted names made at Part 1, SCUFN agreed at the beginning of Part 2:

 - on the main objective of the meeting (no backlog at the end and all pending names cleared);

- on the decision-making process, which was in good spirit, to only use the Rules of Procedure and Guidelines in force at the beginning of the meeting, providing the acceptance of their intrinsic uncertainties. In other words, it was unrealistic to wait for possible technical arguments provided by the SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D. This possibility was eventually postponed to SCUFN36.

Despite numerous statements (China, Malaysia, Philippines, and Viet Nam), a consensus based on the principle of equal treatment of the proposals wherever the feature is located was finally reached to move forward.

The statements made by interested parties covered different areas: from UNCLOS considerations[[5]](#footnote-5) to B-6 Guidelines (SCUFN’s mandate on reviewing features located outside the territorial sea, inapplicability of mutual consultation), precedent decisions (names already technically ACCEPTED at Part 1, and implicit advantages of SCUFN Members, since their supporting national organizations are aware of the naming proposals before SCUFN meetings, while the observers are not).

One participant argued that the implicit principle “first come, first served basis” (anteriority) is advantageous to the nations and organizations that support the activities of their SCUFN Members because they know, before any other nations and organizations, what features, including their locations, have been submitted for review. The Chair supported by the Secretary reported on the procedure to inform the coastal States which are listed with a “\*” in the SCUFN List of Naming Authorities maintained by the Secretariat on the SCUFN webpage. He also invited SCUFN Members not to encourage implicitly and unconsciously some sort of surveying-undersea feature naming *race*, as the consequences for SCUFN would become totally unmanageable.

In conclusion, the decision to move forward with a focus on technical issues only affecting naming proposals, was decisive for the sake of SCUFN35 Part 2, and SCUFN in general.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/15 to /19**](#SCUFN35_2_015)

These decisions and actions should be noted carefully since they are likely the most decisive decisions and actions on the decision-making process within SCUFN made for many years to escape a never-ending, inextricable situation.

**4. Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period**

The permanent actions related to the geometries and the encouragement of releasing bathymetric data to the IHO Data Centre for Digital Bathymetry (DCDB), as indicated in B-6, Ed. 4.2.0, were reiterated at the start of agenda item 4 by the Secretary as they are to all proposers after the plenary sessions when reporting to them on the outcome.

** Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/20**](#SCUFN35_2_020)**, and** [**/230**](#SCUFN35_2_230)

**4.2 From USA, Caladan Oceanic**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.2A Proposals with alternative specific terms proposed (16) from USA, Caladan Oceanic*

 *SCUFN35.2-07.2D Monitoring the Pending Names - USA, Caladan Oceanic*

Thanks to the intersessional work and based on discussions among the Secretariat, the proposer, and SCUFN Members, reported in Doc. SCUFN35.2-07.2D, for two pending issues (Actions SCUFN35.1/79 and /100 refer), all proposals from Caladan Oceanic were cleared.

** Decisions** [**SCUFN35.2/21**](#SCUFN35_2_021) **and** [**/22**](#SCUFN35_2_022)

**4.8 From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office (VHO)**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.8A Proposals (48) from Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office*

Most naming proposals by Viet Nam were accepted with no change. Those pre-accepted at Part 1 had their status in the GEBCO Gazetteer agreed to be moved to APPROVED.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/23**](#SCUFN35_2_023) **to** [**/81**](#SCUFN35_2_081)

For one feature (Dailanh Seamount), claimed as being already named (or proposed) by China (Qifeng Hill), VHO as proposer was invited to consider a joint proposal with CCUFN at the next meeting. That was also the case for Long Mỹ Canyons (same feature as Guangya Sea Channels proposed by China).

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/30**](#SCUFN35_2_030)**,** [**/31**](#SCUFN35_2_031)**,** [**/77**](#SCUFN35_2_077)**,** [**/186**](#SCUFN35_2_186)**, and** [**/209**](#SCUFN35_2_209)

The Secretariat also requested Viet Nam to keep the Secretariat informed on its decision with regard to the spelling of specific terms to be used in the GEBCO Gazetteer (Vietnamese orthography, using diacritics or not).

** Action** [**SCUFN35.2/82**](#SCUFN35_2_082)

**4.9 From Malaysia, NHC**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.9A Proposals (8) from Malaysia, NHC*

All proposals from Malaysia were accepted with the exception of Senoi Hill, due to pending discussions with the Philippines which had proposed Balabac Hill for the same feature. Those, pre-accepted at Part 1, had their status in the GEBCO Gazetteer agreed to be moved to APPROVED.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/83**](#SCUFN35_2_083) **to** [**/92**](#SCUFN35_2_092)

**4.10 From** **Japan, JCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.10A Proposals (14) from Japan, JCUFN*

After justifications on specific terms provided by SCUFN Member Ohara (with reference made to agenda item 3.6), all proposals made by JCUFN deferred from Part 1 were accepted.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/93 to /107**](#SCUFN35_2_093)

**4.11 From China, CCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.11A Proposals (1 + 20) from China, CCUFN*

Thanks to the general policy adopted under agenda item 3.3, most proposals from CCUFN deferred from Part 1 were accepted. A couple of them were not accepted or kept pending, in the absence of sufficient data.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/108 to /129**](#SCUFN35_2_108)

**4.12 From Philippines, NAMRIA**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.12A Proposals (9) from Philippines, NAMRIA*

All proposals from the Philippines, with the exception of Balabac Hill (see paragraph 4.9 above), were accepted.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/130 to /138**](#SCUFN35_2_130)

The statement by the Philippines (priority for naming features should be given to coastal States when features are located in their areas of jurisdiction such as EEZ, ECS.) was duly noted. With reference made to SCUFN Letter 01/2018 dated 6 March 2018 sent to the Permanent Delegation of Philippines to UNESCO, the Chair supported by the Secretary responded that, at this time, this interpretation - which seems to be based on common sense -, is not supported by the SCUFN Rules of Procedure and B-6 Guidelines in force; noting that it is neither recognized as a right as such by UNCLOS.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/139**](#SCUFN35_2_139)

**4.13 From New Zealand, NZGB**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.13A Proposals (7) from New Zealand, NZGB*

Two of seven proposals were accepted or adopted. The others were kept pending or not accepted in general due to missing data to ensure a clear determination.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/140 to /146**](#SCUFN35_2_140)

**4.14 From** **Brazil, DHN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.14A Proposals (4) from Brazil, DHN*

All the proposals deferred from Part 1 were accepted.

** Decisions** [**SCUFN35.2/147 to /150**](#SCUFN35_2_147)

**4.15 From** **China, CCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN*

All proposals deferred from Part 1 were considered and most were accepted, with some changes (generic term in general) where appropriate. Facing recurrent difficulties in the assessment of a significant number of naming proposals, SCUFN invited CCUFN to make sure that they provide more cross-profiles in its future submissions.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/151 to /211**](#SCUFN35_2_151)

For one proposal (Zhongshabei Canyons), SCUFN decided with the agreement of the proposer to keep the feature but change the name (Macclesfield Canyon Province in this case) while accepting to use the Additional Information field of the GEBCO Gazetteer to include some indication of the original proposal (however, with the generic term modified in this example, finally to read Zhongshabei Canyon Province). This opens the door to some more flexible solutions (see paragraph 3.6 as well).

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.2/162**](#SCUFN35_2_162)

*Post-meeting note*: This proposal (Zhongshabei Canyons) was later cancelled by the proposer (CCUFN’s email received by SCUFN Secretary dated 31 January 2023 refers). After careful consideration and Chair’s agreement, the future of “Macclesfied Canyon Province” naming alternative proposal will be considered at SCUFN36, as a follow-up on Decision and Action SCUFN35.2/162.

For the reasons given before (see paragraph 4.8), the proposals for Qifeng Hill and Guangya Sea Channels were kept pending.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/30**](#SCUFN35_2_030)**,** [**/31**](#SCUFN35_2_031)**,** [**/77**](#SCUFN35_2_077)**,** [**/186**](#SCUFN35_2_186)**, and** [**/209**](#SCUFN35_2_209)

Two proposals (Hualin Seamount, Yongdeng Seamount) were deemed worthy for inclusion in updating the *Repository of Typical Cases*.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/188**](#SCUFN35_2_188)**, and** [**/198**](#SCUFN35_2_198)

--

During the review process of features located in the South China Sea, SCUFN noted that some specific terms had been selected by the proposers for undersea features, with geographical/cardinal references made to the *national* specific names of some disputed land masses (islands, reefs, shoals) of the South China Sea. To avoid involvement of sovereignty disputes, such names would not be accepted by SCUFN.

This being said, the review of the naming proposals submitted by China, Malaysia, Philippines, and Viet Nam located in the South China Sea resulted in a positive outcome, concluding one of the most challenging phases that SCUFN has faced for many years, thanks to the good spirit of the meeting participants in general and the format of the meeting (in-person).

**4.16 PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods - Proposals for Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.16A Proposals for Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot*

Thanks to the consolidated recommendations made by SCUFN Member Coffin, SCUFN was in a position to finalize the decisions related to several features. The option to keep a “variant” name in the Additional Information section of the GEBCO Gazetteer was retained.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/212 to /216**](#SCUFN35_2_212)

**4.17 From Philippines, NAMRIA - Follow-up SCUFN34/VTC03/33 on Apolaki Caldera**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.17A Apolaki Caldera - Analysis and Recommendation*

The consolidated proposal made by SCUFN Member Lalancette was agreed for Apolaki Caldera. As a consequence, SCUFN decided also to accept Loro Spur.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/217 to /219**](#SCUFN35_2_217)

**4.18 Preloading in the Gazetteer of all names from the proposals submitted to SCUFN-35.1 & 35.2**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.18A Preloading all proposals submitted to SCUFN35, Part 1 and Part 2*

The Secretary reported on the intersessional work made for preloading all naming proposals from Part 1 and Part 2 in the GEBCO Gazetteer (names having the EDIT status, accessible to SCUFN Members only after login).

Throughout Part 2, it appeared that it was very convenient for SCUFN Members to view these “potential” named features in their geographical context in the GEBCO Gazetteer. This may reveal some important duplication and/or inconsistencies with existing features. Together with the SCUFN review process (provided that the up-to-date database of the GEBCO Gazetteer is duplicated in SCUFN Operations Web Services), these tools are also very useful to check on (existing) possible associated features in various proposals.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/220**](#SCUFN35_2_220)

**5. Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies**

**5.1 Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the U.S. Board on Geographic Names**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.1A Report of ACUF*

Dr Leigha Peterson, new ACUF Secretary, supported by SCUFN Member Palmer, also as ACUF Executive Secretary, provided an update on the activities of ACUF which was very welcome and useful.

On the question about on how to best coordinate resolution of differences between the GEBCO Gazetteer and ACUF database (e.g., Gorda Ridge vs. Gorda Ridges – see Table 3), SCUFN agreed on ACUF’s recommendation, promoting the continued and coordinated dialog in response to the work Marine Regions does in monitoring and comparing undersea feature names data.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/221**](#SCUFN35_2_221)

**5.3 Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.3A Report of Marine Regions*

SCUFN welcomed the update provided by Marine Regions, in particular the useful comparisons made by Marine Regions between different Gazetteers for quality assurance, and the contact established with JCUFN for a possible integration of its national Gazetteer into Marine Regions in the future.

Some questions were raised on the different numbers of named features in the ACUF and GEBCO Gazetteer databases (5904 versus 4755 names, respectively). For a fair comparison, clarification was requested for the next meeting on the number of deleted features and hydrocarbon platforms/fields in ACUF, in case these might account for the difference in the total number of named features.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/222**](#SCUFN35_2_222)

**5.4 United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN) – List of Naming Authorities**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.4B List of Naming Authorities*

**5.4A**. A short verbal report on UN GEGN was provided by SCUFN Member Palmer. The 3rd Session of the UN GEGN is planned at the UN Headquarters, New York, from 1 to 5 May 2023.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/223**](#SCUFN35_2_223)

**5.4B.** Due to new participants present in Part 2, the Secretary introduced the *List of Naming Authorities* available on the SCUFN webpage. Participants were invited to consult it, and provide updates as appropriate.

**6. Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-xx*

*Left blank intentionally.*

**7. Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names**

**7.1 Monitoring the relationships with NCEI and KHOA to maintain and/or improve the Gazetteer**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-07.1A Monitoring the relationships with NCEI and KHOA to maintain and/or improve the Gazetteer (Secretary)*

SCUFN Members were invited to note the progress made in the maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer and the flowchart detailing all interactions between all actors involved in the processing of naming proposals. Some corrections and suggested improvements of the SCUFN OWS were suggested by the Secretariat for KHOA’s consideration (Annex A of Doc. 07.1A).

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/224**](#SCUFN35_2_224)

**7.2 Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names)**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-07.2B Updating Gazetteer from undersea feature naming decisions and actions from SCUFN-34 & 35.1*

 *SCUFN35.2-07.2D Monitoring the list of PENDING names*

 *SCUFN35.2-07.2E Regulating SCUFN work by correspondence*

 *SCUFN35.2-07.2F* [*Training on GEBCO Gazetteer*](https://iho.int/uploads/user/Inter-Regional%20Coordination/GEBCO/SCUFN/SCUFN35/SCUFN35.2_2022_07.2F_EN_Training_on_GEBCO_Gazetteer.pdf)

**07.2B** and **07.2D**. SCUFN reviewed the comprehensive reports prepared by the Secretariat reflecting the work made by correspondence with Members and Proposers, and also to progress with some pending issues before Part 2.

Thanks to the efforts of all parties involved, all pending issues were resolved throughout the meeting, and subsequent decisions made.

In application of the procedure by which pending proposals are stored for two years only in the SCUFN archive and the GEBCO Gazetteer database, the Secretariat also reported on the names that were deleted before Part 2 since related issues were not solved.

** Decisions** [**SCUFN35.2/225**](#SCUFN35_2_225) **and** [**/226**](#SCUFN35_2_226)

**07.2E.** During the pandemic, a significant amount of work was made by correspondence, with decisions made sometimes using the silence procedure, a way which was considered not always totally satisfactory for complex issues. A proposal for regulating the work by correspondence was therefore submitted at Part 2 by the Secretariat. The proposal modalities were agreed in general, including decisions to be made by voting procedure when no consensus is reached. In that case, SCUFN Members agreed that there was no need to propose amendments to the SCUFN ROPs since ROP 2.9 still applies by correspondence.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.2/227**](#SCUFN35_2_227)

**07.2F.** As reported under Doc. 07.2B, the data flow for processing naming proposals and archiving them is becoming more and more complex and time consuming. Noting that the permanent expertise for sustainable services on this matter relies on the IHO Secretariat’s permanent staff, two fruitful training sessions for the IHO Secretariat’s personnel were arranged in 2022. During the training sessions, some anomalies were detected by the “trainees” and improvements suggested.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.2/228**](#SCUFN35_2_228)

**9. Any Other Business**

**9.1 IHO SCUFN Digital Archive on the SCUFN Operations Web Services (operated by KHOA)**

*Doc: SCUFN35.2-09.1A IHO SCUFN Digital Archives on the SCUFN Operations Web Services (operated by KHOA)*

KHOA kindly accepted in 2019 to develop a SCUFN Digital Archive as a component of the general SCUFN Operations Web Services (SCUFN OWS) operated by KHOA. The Secretariat reported on the review of the different functions that are now in service. Suggestions for improvement are offered in Annex A of the Doc. in reference for KHOA’s consideration.

In order to reduce the IHO Secretariat’s workload, the Chair also invited CCUFN, JCUFN, and NHC to test the SCUFN OWS / Submission interface for new naming proposals in 2023 and report back at the next meeting. This interface is not operational yet, not publicly available yet, so it cannot be commissioned and announced as part of new operational procedures. A comprehensive test phase is needed and criteria for granting the commissioning need to be identified.

** Decision and Action** [**SCUFN35.2/229**](#SCUFN35_2_229)

**10. SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions**

**10.1 SCUFN Programme of Work – List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN35 Part 2**

*Left blank intentionally.*

**10.2 Seabed 2030**

** Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/20**](#SCUFN35_2_020)**, and** [**/230**](#SCUFN35_2_230)

**11. SCUFN Membership**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| At the end, the Chair thanked Dr Marie-Françoise Lalancette (IHO branch, France) for her contributions and welcomed incoming Members (Dr Oke Dwiyana, IHO branch, Indonesia, and Dr Hyun Suk Lee, IOC branch, Republic of Korea). The Vice-Chair and Secretary thanked Dr Han, stepping down from his Chair position, for his long commitment and expertise in SCUFN since 2006 and his outstanding achievements as Chair since 2018.The Chair thanked the IHO staff for their excellent support and hospitality during the week, including for the antigen auto-tests. Dr Ohara, Vice-Chair, will be Acting Chair through the SCUFN36 meeting in 2023. |  |

An election for the position of Chair and Vice-Chair is planned at the end of SCUFN36.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/02**](#SCUFN35_2_002)**, and** [**/231 to 233**](#SCUFN35_2_231)

**12. Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business Continuity**

The Acting Chair thanked Australia for its offer to host the next meeting in 2023 (6 to 10 November in Sydney), and noted the other proposals for 2024 onwards with the Republic of Korea, Indonesia, and the pending offer from Kenya which still needs to be confirmed.

** Decisions and Actions** [**SCUFN35.2/234**](#SCUFN35_2_234) **and** [**/235**](#SCUFN35_2_235)

For budget and planning reasons, the Secretariat expects to receive confirmation on possible dates and venues for 2024 **no later than 1 September 2023**.

**13. Closing Address and Conclusion**

The outgoing Chair closed the meeting, thanking IHO staff and all participants for their active participation in this meeting and for some of them for their support throughout the years. A photographic compilation of Dr Han’ SCUFN “career” was kindly prepared by the Vice-Chair.

The closed session on the last day was very fruitful since it gave the opportunity to fine-tune the wording of some sensitive decisions and actions.

At the end, Dr Han stepped down from his Chair position with a well-deserved and shared sense of accomplishment. After an exhausting week, all SCUFN Members got together one last time for…a very appreciated and relaxing “working lunch”.

** Decision** [**SCUFN35.2/236**](#SCUFN35_2_236)



# 34th SCUFN MEETING

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IOC, UNESCO, Paris, France**  | **Hybrid** | **Part 1** | **14 – 18 March 2022** |

# 35th SCUFN MEETING – Part I (SCUFN-35.1)

**IOC, UNESCO, Paris, France**

**14 – 18 March 2022**

# AGENDA and TIMETABLE (UTC+1, CET)

*(version 10 March 2022)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Monday 14 March 2022** | **SCUFN-35 – Day 1** |
| 09:30 – 10:30 | Meeting room setting, layout and IT testing (IOC / IHO Secretariat Staff only) |
| 10:30 – 12:00 | Chair’s Preparation Meeting (Chair/Vice-Chair/Secretary only) |
| 11:30 – 12:00 | IT Testing Session for VTC participants (IOC / IHO Secretariat Staff only) |
| 12:00 – 13:00 | Lunch Break |
| 13:30 – 14:00 | Arrival and welcome of in-person participants (IOC Staff, IHO Staff). |
| IOC Side Room III | **Side Technical Meeting on SCUFN Operational Webservices and Interoperability with GEBCO Gazetteer, GEBCO Gazetteer Maintenance, Liaison with Marine Regions, ACUF and other portals… Development of SCUFN Archive and Repository** |
| 14:00 – 17:00 | (Subject Matters Experts and Developers only from NOAA, KHOA, IHO Secretariat Staff)Objectives: *Technical meeting/workshop. Discussions. Preparation of Presentation, Recommendations, Proposals to be delivered in Plenary, on Thursday 17 March morning session: Background, Status, Main Achievements, Issues, Recommendations, Actions to be considered by SCUFN.* |
|  |  |
| IOC Main Room IX | **SCUFN-35.1 – Day 1 - Session 1 – Closed Session[[6]](#footnote-6)** |
| 14:00  | **2. Opening – Logistic and Admin Arrangements - Introduction by SCUFN Chair - Approval of Agenda** **2.2 Approval of the agenda and timetable***Doc: SCUFN35.1-02.2A Agenda and Timetable of the closed session.* |
| 14:10  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** **3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of Typical Cases - Towards a Decision Tree***Doc: SCUFN35.1-03.2A Update on the Status of the Cook Book – Repository of Typical Cases and its Annex – “Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature names”, version 1.1, May 2021 (Chair/Ivaldi/Mackay).*Main Objectives:To unlock the dead-end situations that SCUFN will face in the open plenary session during the week, and after, if we do nothing…1. Formal approval of the Annex version 1.1, May 2021 after confirmation that there is no contradiction with definitions in current Edition 4.2.0 of B-6 in force, way forward for commissioning, publicity on the SCUFN webpage. Then, operational tool in force for decision-making for experimentation for 2 years, date of effect 15 March 2022 (tbc) .., preparation for 2 years of a subsequent amendments to B-6 to be approved at the SCUFN / IRCC meetings in 2025.2. What about the main Repository of Typical Cases. List of Use Cases. Decisions made when these use-cases occurred. Status. Way forward? |
| 15:30 – 15:50 | **Coffee break** |
| 15:50  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings (cont.****3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of typical cases - Towards a decision tree***Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.2B Discussion on Working Paper by SCUFN Secretary – “Towards a Decision Tree” and Review of SCUFN Members’ comments and opinions. Way forward (SCUFN Secretary/All).*Main Objectives:To unlock the dead-end situations that SCUFN will face in the open plenary session during the week and after if we do nothing…1. Explanation by SCUFN Secretary of the background, discussions on the various options, drafting amendments on the existing version if deemed appropriate, then formal approval of a decision-tree. Then, operational tool in force for decision-making for experimentation for 2 years, date of effect 15 March 2022 (tbc) .., preparation for 2 years of subsequent amendments to B-6 to be approved at the SCUFN / IRCC meetings in 2025. |
| 17:00 | **SCUFN-35.1 – End of Session 1 and Day 1** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tuesday 15 March 2022** | **SCUFN-35 – Day 2** |
|  | **https://unesco-org.zoom.us/j/92369418960****Meeting ID: 923 6941 8960****Passcode: 259836** |
| IOC Main Room IX | **SCUFN-35.1 – Day 2 - Session 2 – Plenary Open Session** |
| 09:00  | **1. Welcome Address (IHO Director Luigi Sinapi) - Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)***Doc: SCUFN35.1-01A List of Documents (Sec.)**SCUFN35.1-01B List of Participants (Sec.)**SCUFN35.1-01C SCUFN Membership and Observers List*Main Objectives:For those who are not familiar on SCUFN matters, short brief on the objectives of the meeting, the rules, summary of the outcome of the closed session arranged on Monday 14 March (Chair, supported by Secretary). |
| 09:30  | **2. Logistic and Admin Arrangements - Approval of Agenda & Timetable** **2.2 Approval of the agenda and Timetable***Doc: SCUFN35.1-02.2A Agenda and Timetable.*Notes: Logistic and Admin Arrangements (IOC Staff and Secretary).Quick fly-through the Agenda and Timetable, and call for AOB if any. Reminder VTC procedures and all statements to be provided in written format to Secretary in advance and for the record (adcs@iho.int). Recording of sessions by Secretariat for internal purposes only.  |
| Skipped  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings****3.1 Review of Actions from SCUFN-33 and transfer to the relevant agenda items (if appropriate)***Doc: SCUFN35.1-03.1A, B, C and D List of Actions from SCUFN-33, -34 and Status (Sec.)*Notes: Members are invited to take note of the progress on the implementation of Decisions and Actions. Pending actions are transferred to the relevant agenda items. |
| 0940  | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered** Notes: in chronological order of reception, new or revised proposals received after deadline for submission to SCUFN-34 VTC03 (2021) but before deadline for submission to SCUFN-35.1 (2022). Then, proposals deferred or kept pending at previous meetings (SCUFN-33 and -34) in chronological order.Reminder: pending names are kept “pending” for 2 years only.Objectives: no backlog at the end of 2022.**4.1 From Indonesia, Pushidrosal***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.1A Proposals (10) from Indonesia, Pushidrosal***4.2 From USA, Caladan Oceanic** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.2A Proposals (88) from USA, Caladan Oceanic***4.3 From Philippines, NAMRIA***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.3A Proposals (12) from Philippines, NAMRIA*References: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC03/07 (Kalios), /09 (Kamansi), /10 (Kalumpit), /14 (Almaciga), /15 (Talisay), /16 (Bagras), /18 (Hagakhak), /21 (Bauang), /22 (San Fernando), /23 (Bani), /34 (Betis), /36 (Santos).**4.4 From Germany, AWI***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.4A Proposal (15) from Germany, AWI* *SCUFN35.1-04.4B Note from from Germany, AWI dated 3 March 2022*Reference: Action SCUFN33/36.**~~4.5 From Republic of Korea, KHOA~~***Doc: ~~SCUFN35.1-04.5A Proposal (1) from Republic of Korea, KHOA~~*Proposal withdrawn by proposer.Reference: Action SCUFN34/VTC01/17. |
| **1030 - 1050** | **Coffee break** |
|  | **4.6 From China, CCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.6A Proposals (11) from China, CCUFN*References: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC01/20, …/31. |
|  | **4.7 From New Zealand, NZGB***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.7A Proposals (9) from New Zealand, NZGB*References: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC01/33, …/46 and Doc. SCUFN34-03.3A.  |
|  | **4.8 From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.8A Proposals (67) from Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC01/47. |
|  |  |
| **1230-1330** | **End of Session 2 - Lunch break – Session 3** |
| 1340 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.8 From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office (cont.)***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.8A Proposals (67) from Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC01/47.**4.9 From Malaysia, NHC***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.9A Proposals (11) from Malaysia, NHC*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC01/51, /91.**4.10 From Japan, JCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.10A Proposal (14) from Japan, JCUFN*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC02/18 and decisions, SCUFN34/VTC02/20, …/32. |
| **1530 - 1550** | **Coffee break** |
| 1550 | **4.11 From China, CCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.11A Proposal (23) from China, CCUFN*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| **1700** | **SCUFN-35.1 – End of Day 2 – End of Session 3** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Wednesday 16 March 2022** | **SCUFN-35 – Day 3** |
|  | **https://unesco-org.zoom.us/j/92369418960****Meeting ID: 923 6941 8960****Passcode: 259836** |
| IOC Main Room IX | **SCUFN-35.1 – Day 3 - Session 4 – Plenary Open Session** |
| 09:00  | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered****4.12 From Philippines, NAMRIA***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.12A Proposals (9) from Philippines, NAMRIA*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC03/19 (Caoayan), /24 (Alaminos), /25 (Bolinao), /26 (Palauig), /27 (Morong), /28 (Tagbanua), /29 (Balabac), /30 (Bancalan), /31 (Canipaan).**4.13 From New Zealand, NZGB***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.13A Proposals (7) from New Zealand, NZGB*References: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC01/45 (Mestayer), … and the other deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03. **4.14 From Brazil, DHN***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.13A Proposals (4) from Brazil, DHN*References: Deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03 (Lupicínio, etc.). **4.15 From China, CCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN*References: Deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03 (Jinyu …to Nanan).  |
| **1030 - 1050** | **Coffee break** |
|  | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered****4.15 From China, CCUFN (cont.)** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN*References: Deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03 (Jinyu …to Nanan).  |
| **1230-1330** | **End of Session 4 - Lunch break – Technical Working Sessions 5**  |
| 1340 – 1700 with coffee break in the middle-Main IOC Room IX and Side-meeting Room III | Systematic and comprehensive review of all pending corporate actions from SCUFN-33 and SCUFN-34 by relevant technical sub groups working in parallel and preparation of report, recommendations and proposals to be delivered at Day 4.Sub Group 1 – SCUFN Generic Term Sub Group (lead: Dr Ohara).Sub Group 2 – Cookbook and its Annex on Generic Terms (lead: Mackay, Ivaldi).Sub Group 3 – Follow-on Monday afternoon technical session on SCUFN Webservices, Archive, Submission, Interoperability.Note: this session can also be used as an opportunity for those who need to make a PCR tests in a lab before their travel back home. |
| **1700** | **End of Day 3 and Sessions 5** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Thursday 17 March 2022** | **SCUFN-35 – Day 4** |
|  | **https://unesco-org.zoom.us/j/92369418960****Meeting ID: 923 6941 8960****Passcode: 259836** |
| IOC Main Room IX | **SCUFN-35.1 – Day 4 - Session 6 – Plenary Open Session** |
| 0900 | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings (cont.****3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of Typical Cases - Towards a Decision Tree***Doc: SCUFN35.1-03.2A Update on the Status of the Cook Book – Repository of Typical Cases and its Annex – “Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature” (Lead: Mackay, Ivaldi)**Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.2B Discussion on Working Paper by SCUFN Secretary – “Towards a Decision Tree” and Review of SCUFN Members’ comments and opinions. Way forward (SCUFN Chair/Secretary).*Note: outcomes of the discussion on Monday 14 March afternoon**3.3 SCUFN Sub Group on Generic Terms***Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.3A – Report and Recommendations of the SCUFN Sub Group on Generic Terms*Note: outcome of the technical meeting on Wednesday 16 March afternoon.**3.4 Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy***Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.4A – Report and Recommendations of the Vice-Chair on Minor Features.*Note: Follow-up on SCUFN34/VTC03/13 (minor features) and SCUFN33/42. |
| 1000 | **7. Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names****7.1 Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database – SCUFN Operational Webservices***Doc: SCUFN35.1-07.1A Report by NOAA/NCEI on maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer (NOAA) and interoperability with SCUFN Operational Webservices (NOAA Rep./KHOA Rep./Secretariat).*Note: outcome of the discussion at the side-technical meeting on Monday 14 March afternoon |
| **1030 - 1050** | **Coffee break** |
|  | **7.2 Development of the IHO SCUFN Archive/Repository/Submission as a component of SCUFN Operational Webservices***Doc: SCUFN35.1-07.2A Report by KHOA on the development of the IHO SCUFN Archive/Repository/Submission. Work Plan (KHOA/Secretariat)* |
| 1100 | **5. Liaison with Other Geographical Naming Bodies****5.1 Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographical Names***Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.1A Report of ACUF Activities (Trent, tbc)***5.2 Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)***Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.2A Report of the NZGB Undersea Names Committee (K. Mackay tbc)***5.3 Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.3A Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN (Britt Lonneville)***5.4 United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UN GEGN)***Doc: SCUFN35.1-05.4A Report from UNGEGN (Trent tbc)* *SCUFN35.1-05.4B List of Naming Authorities (Secretary)* |
| **1230-1330** | **End of Session 6 - Lunch break – Session 7**  |
| 1340 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered****4.16 PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.16A Proposals for Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot*Reference: Annex C to SCUFN34 Report.**4.17 From Philippines, NAMRIA** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.17A Proposal (1) from Philippines, NAMRIA - Follow-up SCUFN34/VTC03/33 on Apolaki Caldera -* *Analysis and Recommendation*Note: Spare time for agenda item 4 in the case of decisions or way forward still to be made on remaining naming proposals. |
|  | **9. Any Other Business****9.1 If any…***Doc: SCUFN35.1-09.1A …* |
| **1530 - 1540** | **Coffee break** |
|  |  |
|  | **10. SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions****10.1 SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN35.1***Doc: SCUFN35.1-10.1A Draft SCUFN Programme of Work 2022-23 to be submitted to GEBCO Guiding Committee (Sec.)***10.2 Update on the Seabed 2030 Project**  *SCUFN35.1-10.2A Update on the Seabed 2030 Project (Kevin Mackay)* |
|  | **11. Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business continuity – SCUFN-35.2 countdown** |
|  | **12. Closing address by Mr Julian Barbière (IOC), Head, Marine Policy and Regional Coordination Section – Ocean Decade Focal Point** |
| **1230-1330** | **End of Day 4 and Session 7** |
|  | Non-host basis dinner (*to be confirmed*) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Friday 18 March 2022** | **SCUFN-35 – Day 5** |
| IOC Main Room IX | **SCUFN-35.1 – Day 5 - Session 8 – Closed Session[[7]](#footnote-7)** |
| 0930 | Remaining Naming Proposals if any - Wrapping-up Session – Review of pending issues – Final review of the List of Decisions and Actions – Report to GGC-38 (highlights to be prepared). |
|  | **10. SCUFN Programme of Work – Final Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions****10.1 SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN35.1***Doc: SCUFN35.1-10.1A Draft SCUFN Programme of Work 2022-23 to be submitted to GEBCO Guiding Committee (Sec.)* |
|  | **13. Conclusion by SCUFN Chair** |
| **1200** | **End of Day 5 and Session 8 – End of SCUFN35.1** |

# 34th SCUFN MEETING

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IHO Secretariat, Monaco**  | **In-person** | **Part 2** | **28 November – 2 December 2022** |

# 35th SCUFN MEETING – Part II (SCUFN-35.2)

**IHO Secretariat, Monaco**

**28 November – 2 December 2022**

# PROVISIONAL AGENDA and TIMETABLE (UTC+1, CET)

*(version 25 November 2022)*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Monday 28 November 2022** | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 1** |
| 09:00 – 10:00 | Meeting room setting, layout and IT testing (IHO Secretariat Staff only) |
| 10:00 – 12:00 | Chair’s Preparation Meeting (Chair/Vice-Chair/Secretary only) |
| 12:00 – 13:30 | **Lunch Break** |
| 13:00 – 13:30 | Arrival and welcome of participants (IHO Staff) |
| Main Conference Room | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 1 - Session 1 – Closed Session[[8]](#footnote-8)** |
| 13:30  | **2. Opening – Logistic and Admin Arrangements - Introduction by SCUFN Chair - Approval of Agenda** **2.2 Approval of the agenda and timetable***Doc: SCUFN35.2-02.2A Agenda and Timetable of the closed session.* |
| 13:40  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** **3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Cookbook for Generic Terms – Repository of Typical Cases** *Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.2A Update on the Status of the Cook Book – “Repository of Typical Cases” version 1.2 (Ivaldi / Mackay) - Presentation**Useful References:* * *Cookbook on the “Repository of Typical Cases” v1.0, October 2022*
* *Cookbook for “Generic Terms of undersea feature names”, version 1.2, October 2022*

Reference: Action SCUFN35.1/03.*Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.2B Comments and Recommendations for Generic Terms Cookbook*Reference: Action SCUFN35.1/07.**3.3 Report and Recommendations of the SCUFN Sub Group on Generic Terms***Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.3B Update on the general policy on “Features consisting a part of abyssal hills”* Reference: Decisions SCUFN35.1/253, /254 and Action SCUFN35.1/255. |
| 15:00 – 15:20 | **Coffee break** |
| 15:20  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings (cont.)****3.7 SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** *Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.7A – Outcome of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D including the draft TORs and assessment of the evidence provided by national naming authorities (Li/ Said)*Reference: Action SCUFN35.1/167d. *SCUFN35.2-03.7B Consequences on ACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1, pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer (PENDING status planned to be moved to APPROVED)* Reference: Action SCUFN35.1/168.**3.4 Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy***Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.4A – Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations including Horizontal Strategy on Minor Features (Vice-Chair/ Mackay)*Reference: Actions SCUFN35.1/10 to /12.**3.6 Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names***Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.6A – Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations (Vice-Chair/ Coffin/ Mackay)*Reference: Actions SCUFN35.1/15 to 17 and Decision SCUFN35.1/251. |
| **16:30** | **SCUFN-35.2 – End of Session 1 and Day 1** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tuesday 29 November 2022** | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 2** |
| Main Conference Room | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 2 - Session 2 – Plenary Open Session** |
| 09:00  | **1. Welcome Address (IHO Secretary-General** *to be confirmed***) - Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)***Doc: SCUFN35.2-01A List of Documents (Sec.)**SCUFN35.2-01B List of Participants (Sec.)**SCUFN35.2-01C SCUFN Membership and Observers List*Main Objectives:For in-coming SCUFN Members, recently selected by the IOC and the IHO and for those who are not familiar on SCUFN matters, short brief on the objectives of the meeting, the rules, short summary of the outcome of the closed session arranged on Monday 28 November (Chair, supported by Secretary). |
| 09:30  | **2. Logistic and Admin Arrangements - Approval of Agenda & Timetable** **2.2 Approval of the agenda and Timetable***Doc: SCUFN35.2-02.2A Agenda and Timetable.*Notes: Logistic and Admin Arrangements (IHO Staff and Secretary).Quick fly-through the Agenda and Timetable, and call for AOB if any. Reminder all statements to be provided in written format to Secretary in advance and for the record (adcs@iho.int).  |
| Skipped  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings****3.1 Review of Actions from SCUFN-33, SCUFN-34 and SCUFN-35.1 and transfer to the relevant agenda items (if appropriate)***Doc: SCUFN35.1-03.1A, B, C and D and SCUFN35.2-03.1A  List of Actions from SCUFN-33, -34 and -35.1 and Status (Sec.)*Notes: Members are invited to take note of the progress on the implementation of Decisions and Actions. Pending actions are transferred to the relevant agenda items. |
| 09:40  | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings (cont.)** **3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Cookbook for Generic Terms – Repository of Typical Cases***Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.2A Update on the Status of the Cook Book – “Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature names”, version 2.0 (Vice-Chair/ Mackay)**Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.2B Update on the Status of the Cook Book – “Repository of Typical Cases”, version 1.0 (Ivaldi/ Mackay)*Note: outcomes of the discussion on Monday 28 November afternoon.**3.3 Report and Recommendations of the SCUFN Sub Group on Generic Terms***Doc: SCUFN35.2-03.3B Update on the general policy on “Features consisting a part of abyssal hills”* Note: outcomes of the discussion on Monday 28 November afternoon. |
| 10:30 – 10:50 | **Coffee break** |
| 10:50 | **3. Matters remaining from Previous Meetings (cont.)** **3.7 SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** *Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.7A – Outcome of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D including the draft TORs and assessment of the evidence provided by national naming authorities (Li/ Said)* *SCUFN35.2-03.7B Consequences on ACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1, pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer (status PENDING to be moved to APPROVED)* Note: outcomes of the discussion on Monday 28 November afternoon.**3.4 Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy***Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.4A – Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations including Horizontal Strategy on Minor Features (Vice-Chair/ Mackay)*Note: outcomes of the discussion on Monday 28 November afternoon.**3.6 Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names***Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.6A – Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations (Vice-Chair/ Coffin/ Mackay)*Note: outcomes of the discussion on Monday 28 November afternoon. |
| 12:00 – 13:30 | **End of Session 2 - Lunch break – Session 3** |
| 13:30 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered** Notes: Proposals deferred or kept pending at previous meetings (SCUFN-33, -34 and -35.1) in chronological order, resuming from the proposals from Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office (Agenda 4.8).Reminder: pending names are kept “pending” for 2 years only.Objectives: no backlog at the end of 2022.**4.8 From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.8A Preliminarily Accepted Proposals (48) from Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office*Reference: Action SCUFN35.1/167d, Decisions SCUFN35.1/168, /171 to /177, /180 to /185, /188, /190, /191, /193, /195 to /199, /201, /202, /204 to /208, /211 to /214, /216 to /218, /221 to /225, /227, /229, /230 and /233 to /236.**4.9 From Malaysia, NHC***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.9A Preliminarily Accepted Proposals (8) from Malaysia, NHC*Reference: Action SCUFN35.1/167d, Decisions SCUFN35.1/238 to /244, /247 and /249.**4.10 From Japan, JCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.10A Deferred Proposals (14) from Japan, JCUFN, subject to the result of the Agenda 3.6 “Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names”*Reference: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC02/18, /20 to /32, Actions SCUFN35.1/15 to /17 and Decision SCUFN35.1/251. |
| 15:00 – 15:20 | **Coffee break** |
| 15:20 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.11 From China, CCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.11A Pending Proposal (1) subject to the result of the Agenda 3.6 on the general policy on features consisting a part of abyssal hills and Deferred Proposal (20) from China, CCUFN*Reference: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC02/42, SCUFN35.1/253, /254 and Action SCUFN35.1/255.**4.12 From Philippines, NAMRIA***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.12A Proposals (9) from Philippines, NAMRIA*Reference: Decision SCUFN34/VTC03/19 (Caoayan), /24 (Alaminos), /25 (Bolinao), /26 (Palauig), /27 (Morong), /28 (Tagbanua), /29 (Balabac), /30 (Bancalan), /31 (Canipaan) and SCUFN35.1/237b |
| **17:00** | **SCUFN-35.2 – End of Day 2 – End of Session 3** |
| **17:30 – 19:00** | IHO Secretariat’s reception(*to be confirmed*) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Wednesday 30 November 2022** | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 3** |
| Main Conference Room | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 3 - Session 4 – Plenary Open Session** |
| 09:00  | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.13 From New Zealand, NZGB***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.13A Proposals (7) from New Zealand, NZGB*References: Decisions SCUFN34/VTC01/45 (Mestayer), … and the other deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03. **4.14 From Brazil, DHN***Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.14A Proposals (4) from Brazil, DHN*References: Deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03 (Lupicínio, etc.).  |
| 10:30 – 10:50 | **Coffee break** |
| 10:50 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.15 From China, CCUFN (cont.)** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN*References: Deferred proposals from SCUFN34 VTC03 (Jinyu …to Nanan).  |
| 12:00 – 13:30 | **End of Session 4 - Lunch break – Sessions 5**  |
| 13:30 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.15 From China, CCUFN (cont.)** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN* |
| 15:00 – 15:20 | **Coffee break** |
| 15:20 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.15 From China, CCUFN (cont.)** *Doc: SCUFN35.1-04.15A Proposals (60) from China, CCUFN* |
| **17:00** | **End of Day 3 and Sessions 5** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Thursday 1 December 2022** | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 4** |
| Main Conference Room | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 4 - Session 6 – Plenary Open Session** |
| 09:00 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.16 PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods** *Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.16A Proposals for Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot***4.17 Analysis and Recommendation with regard to Philippines, NAMRIA (Apolaki Caldera)** *Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.17A Proposal for Apolaki Caldera* |
| 10:30 – 10:50 | **Coffee break** |
| 10:50 | **4. Naming Proposals to be considered (cont.)****4.2 From USA, Caladan Oceanic** *Doc: SCUFN35.2-04.2A Proposals* with alternative specific terms proposed *(16) from USA, Caladan Oceanic*Reference: Decision SCUFN35.1/32, 45, 50, 53, 60, 63, 79, 80, 81, 96, 100, 106, 107, 108, 110 and 116. |
|  | **7. Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names****7.2 Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names)***Doc: SCUFN35.2-07.2B Updating Gazetteer from undersea feature naming decisions and actions from SCUFN-34 & 35.1.* *SCUFN35.2-07.2D Monitoring the List of PENDING names (including a proposed new Rule of Procedure for progressing the work intersessionnally (Reference: email SCUFN Secretariat, by Michel Huet on behalf of SCUFN Secretary, dated 12 May 2022).* |
| 12:00 – 13:30 | **End of Session 6 - Lunch break – Session 7**  |
| 13:30 | **5. Liaison with Other Geographical Naming Bodies****5.1 Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographical Names***Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.1A Report of ACUF Activities (Trent, tbc)***5.2 Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)***Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.2A Report of the NZGB Undersea Names Committee (K. Mackay tbc)***5.3 Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN***Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.3A Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN (Britt Lonneville)***5.4 United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UN GEGN)***Doc: SCUFN35.2-05.4A Report from UNGEGN (Trent tbc)* *SCUFN35.2-05.4B List of Naming Authorities (Secretary)* |
|  | **9. Any Other Business****9.1 If any…***Doc: SCUFN35.2-09.1A …* |
| 15:30 – 15:50 | **Coffee break** |
| 15:50 | **10. SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions****10.1 SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN35.2***Doc: SCUFN35.2-10.1A Draft SCUFN Programme of Work 2022-23 to be submitted to GEBCO Guiding Committee (Sec.)***10.2 Update on the Seabed 2030 Project**  *SCUFN35.2-10.2A Update on the Seabed 2030 Project (Kevin Mackay)* |
|  | **11. SCUFN Membership**  |
|  | **12. Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business continuity**  |
|  | **13. Closing address by (tbd)** |
| **17:00** | **End of Day 4 and Session 7** |
|  | Non-host basis dinner (*to be confirmed*) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Friday 2 December 2022** | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 5** |
| Main Conference Room | **SCUFN-35.2 – Day 5 - Session 8 – Open Session** |
| 09:00 | Remaining Naming Proposals if any - Wrapping-up Session – Review of pending issues – Final review of the List of Decisions and Actions – Report to GGC-40 (highlights to be prepared). |
|  | **10. SCUFN Programme of Work – Final Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions****10.1 SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN35.2***Doc: SCUFN35.2-10.1A Draft SCUFN Programme of Work 2023-24 to be submitted to GEBCO Guiding Committee (Sec.)* |
| 10:30 – 10:50 | **Coffee break** |
| 10:50 | Remaining Naming Proposals if any - Wrapping-up Session – Review of pending issues – Final review of the List of Decisions and Actions – Report to GGC-40 (cont.) |
| 12:00 – 13:30 | **End of Session 8 - Lunch break – Session 9 - Closed Session[[9]](#footnote-9)** |
| 13:30 | Closed Session (if necessary only) |
|  | **14. Conclusion by SCUFN Chair** |
| **17:00** | **End of Day 5 and Session 9 – End of SCUFN35.2** |

**LIST OF DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-35, Part 1**

(Status *at the date of xx*)

Note: Rows highlighted in yellow indicate that the topic/naming proposal was postponed/deferred for being considered at SCUFN-35.2 (Nov 2022) (time elapsed during SCUFN35.1).

| **Decision/****Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status & Comments****(March 2022)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **Welcome Address (IHO Director Luigi Sinapi) - Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/01a |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the opening address by **IHO Director Sinapi** who stressed the importance of keeping the GEBCO spirit in SCUFN35.1 discussions to come, as SCUFN achievements clearly contribute to the United Nations Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development. |  |
|  | **2** | **Opening - Introduction by SCUFN Chair – Approval of Agenda** |  |
|  | **2.2** | **Approval of the agenda** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/01b |  | **SCUFN** approved the agenda and timetable. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Quick Review of Actions from SCUFN-33 and SCUFN34-VTC01, VTC02, and VTC03** |  |
|  |  | Skipped – All former actions covered in other agenda items. |  |
|  | **3.2** | **Decision making process in SCUFN – Repository of typical cases** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/02 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Secretary** to upload the “*Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature names*”, version 1.1, May 2021 on SCUFN webpage. | **By end of March 2022** |
| SCUFN35.1/03 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Members** to identify current definitions in B-6 against “*Cookbook for Generic Terms of undersea feature names”, version 1.1, May 2021”* and provide their comments/inputs, provide references, on version 1.1, to **SCUFN Member Mackay, copy SCUFN Member Ohara**.**SCUFN Members Mackay and Ohara** to compile the comments and propose adjudication options……and then prepare a harmonized **draft version 2.0** to be proposed for formal adoption by SCUFN prior to SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 June 2022****15 Sep 2022****15 Oct 2022** (for SCUFN35.2) |
| SCUFN35.1/04 | 3.2 | Once these definitions in the Cookbook have been experimented as reference for a sufficient time (two years?), **SCUFN Generic Term Sub-Group** to submit proposals for amendments to definitions in B-6 for alignment, if appropriate. | **SCUFNxx (2024-2025)** |
| SCUFN35.1/05 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the presentation by **SCUFN Member Ivaldi** on the prototype “*Repository of Typical Cases*”(version 0.1, March 2022) and agreed on the principles. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/06 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Secretary** to upload the “*Repository of Typical Cases*” (version 0.1, March 2022) on SCUFN webpage. | **By end of March 2022** |
| SCUFN35.1/07 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Members** to provide their comments/inputs/complements on version 0.1, to **SCUFN Members Ivaldi, copy to Mackay**.**SCUFN Members Ivaldi** **and Mackay** to compile the comments and proposed adjudication options……and prepare a harmonized **draft version 1.0** to be proposed for formal adoption by SCUFN, prior to SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 June 2022****15 Sep 2022****15 Oct 2022** (for SCUFN35.2) |
| SCUFN35.1/08 | 3.2 | **SCUFN** commended **SCUFN Members Ivaldi and Mackay** for their work in the development of the Repository of Typical Cases and the Cookbook on Generic Terms. |  |
|  | **3.4** | **Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/09 | 3.4 | **SCUFN** noted the **SCUFN Member Ohara’s** recommendation on the minor features. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/10 | 3.4 | **SCUFN Member Ohara** to prepare a new integrated draft version of this recommendation (incl. Horizontal Strategy) for circulation to **SCUFN Members** for comments. | **15 July 2022** |
| SCUFN35.1/11 | 3.4 | **SCUFN Members** to provide comments to **SCUFN Member Ohara** on this draft proposal. | **No later than 15 Sept** |
| SCUFN35.1/12 | 3.4 | **SCUFN Members Ohara and Mackay** to submit a consolidated proposal for approval at SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 Oct 2022** |
|  | **3.5** | **Names of Living Persons** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/13 | 3.5 | **SCUFN** agreed on the **SCUFN Member Ohara’s** recommendation that no change is needed in B-6 for selecting names of living persons for specific term. Decisions to be made on a case-by-case basis. Outcome to be included in the Repository of Typical Cases if appropriate. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/14 | 3.5 | **SCUFN** noted the comment made by **SCUFN Member Palmer** (as ACUF representative) on the names of living persons (Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.5B refers). |  |
|  | **3.6** | **Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names…** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/15 | 3.6 | **SCUFN** noted the **SCUFN Member Ohara’s** initial recommendations on the issue addressed under this agenda item. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/16 | 3.6 | **SCUFN Members** to provide comments to **SCUFN Member Ohara** on this draft proposal (Doc. SCUFN35.1-03.6A). | **No later than 15 July** |
| SCUFN35.1/17 | 3.6 | **SCUFN Members Ohara, Coffin, and Mackay** to submit a consolidated proposal for approval at SCUFN35.2. | **No later than 15 Oct 2022** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/18 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to invite all proposers[[10]](#footnote-10) having ACCEPTED naming proposals to provide associated bathymetric data to the IHO DCDB[[11]](#footnote-11) (See https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/). |  |
|  | **4.1** | **From Indonesia, Pushidrosal** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/19 |  | Proposal for Yudo Sagoro [~~PEAK~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/20 |  | Proposal for Gapuro Sagoro SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/21 |  | Proposal for Aurora TERRACE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/22 |  | Proposal for Gapuro Sagoro VALLEY is NOT ACCEPTED (need for more data, and profiles). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/23 |  | Proposal for Aurora BANK is ACCEPTED (shallowest part to be indicated in the GEBCO Gazetteer). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/24 |  | Proposal for Yiew Vero HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/25 |  | Proposal for Rigel HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/26 |  | Proposal for Spica HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/27 |  | Proposal for Moro Gada [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/28 |  | Proposal for Moro Sagoro SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with polygon to be reduced in the SE. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Caladan Oceanic** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/29 |  | Proposal for Ataroa [SEAMOUNT] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/30 |  | Proposal for Anapa HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/31 |  | Proposal for Marco Polo [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/32 |  | Proposal for Brahma SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, proposer to submit another specific term with reason for choice (concerns raised about using the names of gods in the specific term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/33 |  | Proposal for Carlsberg FRACTURE ZONE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/34 |  | Proposal for Puroto SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term (perhaps to RIDGE) when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/35 |  | Proposal for Kanani HILL is ACCEPTED with proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/36 |  | Proposal for Kiakona CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/37 |  | Proposal for Nani [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice and to delete associated feature. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/38 |  | Proposal for Ahomana HILL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/39 |  | Proposal for Kalei SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/40 |  | Proposal for Gesualdo RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/41 |  | Proposal for Laeli HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/42 |  | Proposal for Poetai [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/43 |  | Proposal for Kiele KNOLL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice and modify Associated Features to read Niaochao. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/44 |  | Proposal for Cook CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/45 |  | Proposal for Peary SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING as specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in the Arctic. Proposer to suggest another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/46 |  | Proposal for Maeata HILL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/47 |  | Proposal for Aukai FRACTURE ZONE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/48 |  | Proposal for Taurua HILL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the Reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/49 |  | Proposal for Anuhea KNOLL is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/50xx |  | Proposal for Leif Erikson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as HILL, and as specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in the Atlantic Ocean. Proposer to suggest another specific term.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/51 |  | Proposal for Aronnax HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term).  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/52 |  | Proposal for Vinson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/53 |  | Proposal for Richard Byrd [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as HILL, and specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in polar regions. Proposer to suggest another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/54 |  | Proposal for Tempo RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/55 |  | Proposal for Vespucci TOR is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, specific and generic terms not appropriate). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/56 |  | Proposal for Keona [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/57 |  | Proposal for Noelani RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/58 |  | Proposal for Ahohaka CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/59 |  | Proposal for Burton SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). Specific term not related to ocean sciences. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/60 |  | Proposal for Vishnu SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, proposer to submit another specific term with reason for choice (concerns raised about using the names of gods in the specific term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/61 |  | Proposal for Battuta SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/62 |  | Proposal for Zapiola HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/63 |  | Proposal for Shiva SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, proposer to submit another specific term with reason for choice (concerns raised about Gods’ names). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/64 |  | Proposal for Schoenberg RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/65 |  | Proposal for Highlander SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/66 |  | Proposal for Jijo KNOLL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/67 |  | Proposal for Aulani SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/68 |  | Proposal for Amundsen SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). Specific term is more appropriate for a feature located in polar regions. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/69 |  | Proposal for Rahiti SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/70 |  | Proposal for Agulhas Winged [~~SPUR~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposer to provide more details on the reason for choice of the specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/71 |  | Proposal for Akki KNOLL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/72 |  | Proposal for Bach SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify the generic term). The specific term should be changed to Johan S. Bach.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/73 |  | Proposal for Beethoven [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/74 |  | Proposal for Berati HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/75 |  | Proposal for Berlioz [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/76 |  | Proposal for Big Roo HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/77 |  | Proposal for Brookida CALDERA is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/78 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to provide the proposer with the list of reserved pending names for the three following names (/79 to /81) for which s/he can choose a specific term or not. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/79 |  | Proposal for Central Agulhas [~~PEAK~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as HILL. New specific term to be submitted by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/80 |  | Proposal for Central Agulhas RISE is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as HILL. New specific term to be submitted by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/81 |  | Proposal for Central Agulhas KNOLL is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as HILL. New specific term to be submitted by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/82 |  | Proposal for Calafia KNOLL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/83 |  | Proposal for Creideiki KNOLL is ACCEPTED (with minimum depth to be corrected). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/84 |  | Proposal for East Agulhas [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/85 |  | Proposal for Falkland Hornburg HILL is kept PENDING, with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. Proposer to submit another specific term or accept SCUFN’s suggestion to be “Hornburg” only. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/86 |  | Proposal for Haoke [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/87 |  | Proposal for Heurkea [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/88 |  | Proposal for Hikahi [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/89 |  | Proposal for Indomed SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/90 |  | Proposal for Kaa’aka HILL is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/91 |  | Proposal for Karma CALDERA is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/92 |  | Proposal for Kawehi CALDERA is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/93 |  | Proposal for Keepiru [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/94 |  | Proposal for Kithrup [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/95 |  | Proposal for Koa [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal to be modified to delete “vicinity” sentence in the reason for choice. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/96 |  | Proposal for Little Roo HILL is kept as PENDING with new specific term to be proposed. Additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/97 |  | Proposal for Makanee [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/98 |  | Proposal for Merahi HILL is ACCEPTED. Additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/99 |  | Proposal for [~~Meteor North Peak~~] HILL is ACCEPTED with specific term modified as Meteor North. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/100 |  | Proposal for Mobidian [~~HILL~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as KNOLL, proposer to submit another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/101 |  | Proposal for Moki [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL |  |
| SCUFN35.1/102 |  | Proposal for Monteverdi [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/103 |  | Proposal for Nika KNOLL is ACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/104 |  | Proposal for Novara [~~ESCARPMENT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/105 |  | Proposal for Olelo [~~MOUND~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/106 |  | Proposal for Reykjanes HILLS is kept as PENDING. Proposer to submit another specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/107 |  | Proposal for Richardson HILL is kept PENDING, proposer to provide a more appropriate specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/108 |  | Proposal for Richardson [~~MOUND~~] is kept PENDING, with generic term modified as KNOLL. Proposer to provide a more appropriate specific term. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/109 |  | Proposal for Richardson SPUR is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/110 |  | Proposal for Roo [~~GUYOT~~] is kept PENDING with generic term modified as HILL. Proposer to submit another specific term (too many “Roo”). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/111 |  | Proposal for Tahia KNOLL is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal form to be modified (~~Dome~~). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/112 |  | Proposal for Vaehira [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. Proposal form to be modified (~~Dome~~). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/113 |  | Proposal for Valerian RIDGE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/114 |  | Proposal for Vivaldi SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/115 |  | Proposal for Walters KNOLL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/116 |  | Proposal for Walters HILLS is kept PENDING. Proposer to submit another specific term (too many “Walters”). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/117 |  | Proposal for Walters SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.3** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/118 |  | Proposal for Kalios [~~RIDGE~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as SPUR. | SCUFN34/VTC03/07 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/119 |  | Proposal for Kalumpit HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/10 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/120 |  | Proposal for Almaciga HILLS is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/14 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/121 |  | Proposal for Talisay HILL is ACCEPTED with extension of polygon (to 2800 m isobath) to be provided by proposer. | SCUFN34/VTC03/15 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/122 |  | Proposal for Hagakhak ~~Twin~~ RIDGE isACCEPTED with a note on the extension of the feature possible in the future when more data become available. | SCUFN34/VTC03/18 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/123 |  | Proposal for Bauang HILLS is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/21 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/124 |  | Proposal for San Fernando HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/22 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/125 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for Betis RIDGE isACCEPTED with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future. | SCUFN34/VTC03/34 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/126 |  | ~~Fast-track~~ Proposal for SantosSEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/36 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/127 |  | Proposal for Kamansi HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/09 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/128 |  | Proposal for Bani SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/23 (completed) |
| SCUFN35.1/129 |  | Proposal for Bagras ESCARPMENT isACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC03/16 (completed). Medium scale map was actually provided by NAMRIA 13 Jan 2022. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.4** | **From Germany, AWI** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/130 |  | **SCUFN** noted the views of the proposer who liaised with NZGB on the specific terms (Doc. SCUFN35.1-04.4B refers). **SCUFN** agreed to consider a resubmission of the proposals when pending issues on specific terms are fixed between AWI and NZGB. Following proposals, from /131 to /145 were not reconsidered at SCUFN35.1. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/131 |  | Proposal for Pare Pekapeka SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/132 |  | Proposal for Tiaki-ā-Tai SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/133 |  | Proposal for Te Honu Houkura SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/134 |  | Proposal for Te-ara-ā-Hina SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/135 |  | Proposal for Pāpāmahau SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/136 |  | Proposal for Tapuwae SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/137 |  | Proposal for Kurupounamu SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/138 |  | Proposal for Mārangaranga SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/139 |  | Proposal for Motu Whakaeke SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/140 |  | Proposal for Riwia SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/141 |  | Proposal for Ringa Raupa SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/142 |  | Proposal for Tama-nui-te-rā SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/143 |  | Proposal for Te Kakaru SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/144 |  | Proposal for Toitū-ā-Tangaroa SEAMOUNT  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/145 |  | Proposal for Te Pū Wharehuna SEAMOUNT  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.5** | **From Republic of Korea, KHOA** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/146 |  | Proposal was withdrawn by proposer. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.6** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/147 |  | Proposal for Xuyou HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/20 |
| SCUFN35.1/148 |  | Proposal for Shuqi HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/21 |
| SCUFN35.1/149 |  | Proposal for Tangbing HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/22 |
| SCUFN35.1/150 |  | Proposal for Cuiguang HILLS is ACCEPTED.Profile to be provided by the proposer. | SCUFN34/VTC01/23 |
| SCUFN35.1/151 |  | Proposal for Ganhu HILL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/24 |
| SCUFN35.1/152 |  | Proposal for Longbei SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/26 |
| SCUFN35.1/153 |  | Proposal for Longnan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/27 |
| SCUFN35.1/154 |  | Proposal for Longtou SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/28 |
| SCUFN35.1/155 |  | Proposal for Beike VALLEY is ACCEPTED. Additional cross profiles to be provided by proposer. Lack of data in the north. | SCUFN34/VTC01/29 |
| SCUFN35.1/156 |  | Proposal for Manao VALLEY is NOT ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/30 |
| SCUFN35.1/157 |  | Proposal for Huangjin RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/31 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.7** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/158 |  | Proposal for Farr KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/33 |
| SCUFN35.1/159 |  | Proposal for Ice Bird [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as PEAK. | SCUFN34/VTC01/35 |
| SCUFN35.1/160 |  | Proposal for Kidson [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILLS. | SCUFN34/VTC01/36 |
| SCUFN35.1/161 |  | Proposal for Leachman [~~RIDGE~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. | SCUFN34/VTC01/38 |
| SCUFN35.1/162 |  | Proposal for Lewis HILL is considered as withdrawn by the proposer for the time being. Resubmission requested if appropriate. | SCUFN34/VTC01/39 |
| SCUFN35.1/163 |  | Proposal for Māhia CANYON is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/40 |
| SCUFN35.1/164 |  | Proposal for Pelorus RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/41 |
| SCUFN35.1/165 |  | Proposal for Solo [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as PEAK. | SCUFN34/VTC01/43 |
| SCUFN35.1/166 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Ruatōria SCARP is ADOPTED. | SCUFN34/VTC01/46 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.8** | **From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/167a |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by China that most of proposals from Viet Nam in the sea area under the jurisdiction of China should not be considered in accordance with ROP 2.10. And voting on political sensitivity of naming proposals is not appropriate. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/167b |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by Viet Nam. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/167c |  | Following a vote (secret ballot) on the application of ROP 2.10, **SCUFN** decided to consider the proposals from Viet Nam. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/167d |  | Noting the information provided by **SCUFN Member Li** by which most of these features have already been named (with reference to B-6, clause I.D), **SCUFN** agreed to create a sub-working group, the **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D,** to assess the evidence provided by national naming authorities on this matter.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** co-led by **SCUFN Members Li and Said** to set up this group.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to submit by correspondence their TORs, objectives, assessment rules, deliverables (still to be defined, to **SCUFN Members** for endorsement.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to provide their review outcome to **SCUFN Secretary** for consideration at SCUFN35.2. | Decision**End of March****15 May****15 June****15 Sept (-60 days before**  |
| SCUFN35.1/168 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to keep the following named features (from /170 to /236), pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer in PENDING status with the intention to move those ACCEPTED to APPROVED status at the close of SCUFN35.2, if appropriate. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/169 |  | **SCUFN** invited Viet Nam to revise their proposals in the future to using associated features already existing in the GEBCO Gazetteer, if appropriate, and to provide more than one cross profile in general. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/170 |  | Proposal for Binhminh BASIN is NOT ACCEPTED. Northern limit is arbitrary. More data needed for basin limits. | SCUFN34/VTC01/47 |
| SCUFN35.1/171 |  | Proposal for Nuithanh HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/172 |  | Proposal for Ducpho HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/173 |  | Proposal for Bongson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/174 |  | Proposal for Anthach [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL with additional note in the GEBCO Gazetteer that SCUFN reserves its rights to modify generic term when more data become available in the future.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/175 |  | Proposal for Tuyhoa SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/176 |  | Proposal for Oloan [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/177 |  | Proposal for Songcau SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/178 |  | Proposal for Nhonhai SLOPE is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, extension to north and south). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/179 |  | Proposal for Xuancanh BASIN is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/180 |  | Proposal for Dailanh SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/181 |  | Proposal for Anphu [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/182 |  | Proposal for Anchan HILL is ACCEPTED with polygon to be expanded to the east. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/183 |  | Proposal for Binnhgoc HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/184 |  | Proposal for Phudong [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/185 |  | Proposal for Phuthanh HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/186 |  | Proposal for Ninhthuy SLOPE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/187 |  | Proposal for Ninhvan CANYONS is kept as PENDING (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, V-shape of canyons). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/188 |  | Proposal for Hongom RIDGE is ACCEPTED with modification of the polygon in NW and S. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/189 |  | Proposal for Phuocdinh [~~SEACHANNELS~~] is kept as PENDING with generic term modified as CANYONS, more data to be provided in the W part (so extension and polygon are better defined). V-shape cross profiles to be provided. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/190 |  | Proposal for Khanhson [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/191 |  | Proposal for Conghai HILL is ACCEPTED with expansion of the polygon. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/192 |  | Proposal for Ninhhai RIDGE is kept as PENDING (more data needed to clearly identify generic term in NE portion). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/193 |  | Proposal for Thanhhai HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/194 |  | Proposal for Phuhai CANYONS is kept as PENDING. More data needed to clearly identify generic term, extension to NE and SW, etc. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/195 |  | Proposal for Nuiong RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/196 |  | Proposal for Hamthuan GUYOT is ACCEPTED with slight modification of the polygon around the feature. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/197 |  | Proposal for Honba VALLEY is ACCEPTED.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/198 |  | Proposal for Hiepphuoc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with extension of polygon (to 2800 m isobath) to be provided by proposer. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/199 |  | Proposal for Langa GUYOT is ACCEPTED with slight modification of the polygon. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/200 |  | Proposal for Hongphong HILL is kept PENDING, more data needed to clearly identify generic term in the extended SW area. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/201 |  | Proposal for Phuocbuu HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/202 |  | Proposal for Bongtrang HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/203 |  | Proposal for Vinhluong SEA CHANNEL is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to clearly identify generic term, and medium scale map to be provided if resubmitted). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/204 |  | Proposal for Phuocthuan HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/205 |  | Proposal for Binhchau [~~HILLS~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/206 |  | Proposal for Vangia [~~GUYOT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/207 |  | Proposal for Vanninh [~~GUYOT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/208 |  | Proposal for Locan [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as RIDGE. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/209 |  | Proposal for Longmy CANYON is kept as PENDING (more data, data quality). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/210 |  | Proposal for Binhba HILL is kept as PENDING (more data to be provided in NE). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/211 |  | Proposal for Camhai [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT, polygon to be modified to be expanded in the S. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/212 |  | Proposal for Vinhtho SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/213 |  | Proposal for Tiendu [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/214 |  | Proposal for Phuoctinh HILL is ACCEPTED with polygon to be extended deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/215 |  | Proposal for Longhai GUYOT is kept as PENDING. More data to be provided in the SW. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/216 |  | Proposal for Phuochoa [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/217 |  | Proposal for Tanhai SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with polygon to be extended deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/218 |  | Proposal for Anngai HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/219 |  | Proposal for Gocong HILL is kept as PENDING. More data to be provided, to consider the possible inclusion of the southern feature (out of the polygon). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/220 |  | Proposal for Longson SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING, until more data is provided in the SW. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/221 |  | Proposal for Donghai HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/222 |  | Proposal for Tamphuoc [~~GUYOT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/223 |  | Proposal for Datdo HILL is ACCEPTED with slight modification of the polygon deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/224 |  | Proposal for Duyenhai [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/225 |  | Proposal for Lynhon HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/226 |  | Proposal for Thoithuan TERRACE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/227 |  | Proposal for Camduc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be modified deeper. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/228 |  | Proposal for Batri TERRACE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/229 |  | Proposal for Anthuy HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/230 |  | Proposal for Phuoctan [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as GUYOT |  |
| SCUFN35.1/231 |  | Proposal for Chogao HILL is NOT ACCEPTED (part of a larger feature). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/232 |  | Proposal for Longdien SLOPE is NOT ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/233 |  | Proposal for Vinhchau HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/234 |  | Proposal for Ganhhao GUYOT is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/235 |  | Proposal for Longphu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/236 |  | Proposal for Datmui [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From Malaysia, NHC** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/237a |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by China that proposals from Malaysia (and Philippines) in the sea area under the jurisdiction of China should not be considered in accordance with ROP 2.10. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/237b |  | Following a vote (secret ballot) on the application of ROP 2.10, **SCUFN** decided to consider the proposals from Malaysia (and Philippines). | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/238 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to keep the following named features (from /239 to /249), pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer in PENDING status with the intention to move those ACCEPTED to APPROVED status at the close of SCUFN35.2, if appropriate. | Decision(See also SCUFN35.1/167d) |
| SCUFN35.1/239 |  | Proposal for Kedayan [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as KNOLL. | SCUFN34/VTC01/51 |
| SCUFN35.1/240 |  | Proposal for Bisaya HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/241 |  | Proposal for Lundayeh [~~RIDGE~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/242 |  | Proposal for Rungus HILL is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/243 |  | Proposal for Chengal [~~SEA CHANNEL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as CANYON. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/244 |  | Proposal for Meranti SEA CHANNEL is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as CANYON. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/245 |  | Proposal for Mawar VALLEY is kept as PENDING (more data needed to clearly identify generic term).  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/246 |  | Proposal for Senoi HILL is kept as PENDING (same feature proposed for naming by Philippines as Balabac Hill). | SCFUN Secretary informed that discussion is in progress between interested parties. |
| SCUFN35.1/247 |  | Proposal for Kimaragang RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/248 |  | Proposal for Anggerik [~~VALLEY~~] is kept as PENDING, with generic term modified as CANYON (new data to be provided). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/249 |  | Proposal for Bajau RIDGE is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/250 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement made by the Philippines. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.10** | **From Japan, JCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/251 |  | Following proposals made by JCUFN were deferred to SCUFN35.2, waiting for the outcome of Actions SCUFN35.1/15 to /17 (See agenda item 3.6). |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hat RIDGE is kept asPENDING for further discussions atSCUFN34 plenary due to **SCUFN Member** **Li**’scomment related to naming proposalfor Shennong Seamount made for thesame feature by CCUFN at SCUFN31(Action SCUFN31/168 refers). | SCUFN34/VTC02/18 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Head PEAK is | SCUFN34/VTC02/20 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Shoulder RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/21 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Neck PEAK is | SCUFN34/VTC02/22 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Shoulder RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/23 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Arm RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/24 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Backbone RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/25 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Arm RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/26 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Hipbone RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/27 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for North Tail RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/28 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Hipbone RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/29 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for West Leg RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/30 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for South Tail RISE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/31 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for East Leg RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC02/32 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.11** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/252 |  | Proposal for Denglong [~~KNOLL~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term modified as HILL. | SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.1/253 |  | Proposal for Jiangtun RIDGE is kept as PENDING until a general policy on these types of features is developed and agreed. There was a discussion that this feature can be a part of numerous abyssal hills.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/254 |  | Proposal for Nanyu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. It was noted that this feature is a complex that consisted of abyssal hills partly overlain by a voluminous bathymetric high.  |  |
| SCUFN35.1/255 |  | **SCUFN Generic Term Sub-Group** to develop a general policy related to the Jiangtun RIDGE and Nanyu SEAMOUNT cases (see above). | **15 Sept** |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xianhou SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiannü SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tianqin BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Haitun SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qilin BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Liehu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tianhe SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tiange HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yuanbao SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qiongju KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qiongyao KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qiongjiu KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Wushi HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhoushu KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Huangshigong HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Heichawei KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Huichawei KNOLLS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Wuchawei HILLS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yudie KNOLL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Paixiao SEAMOUNT is |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.12** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/237b |  | Following a vote (secret ballot) on the application of ROP 2.10, **SCUFN** decided to consider the proposals from (Malaysia) and Philippines. | Decision(See SCUFN35.1/237a) |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Caoayan SEAMOUNT is | SCUFN34/VTC03/19 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Alaminos HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/24 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bolinao SEAMOUNT is | SCUFN34/VTC03/25 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Palauig HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/26 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Morong RIDGE is | SCUFN34/VTC03/27 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tagbanua HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/28 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Balabac HILL is (See also SCUFN35.1/246) | SCUFN34/VTC03/29 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bancalan HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/30 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Canipaan HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/31 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.13** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Mestayer SEAMOUNT is | SCUFN34/VTC03/45 |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Benjamin Bowring SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Puka GUYOT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Mahetau SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for William Benham SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Helen ES Clark SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Fast-track Proposal for Tūranganui VALLEY is ... |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.14** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lupicínio HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/ |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Moraes Moreira SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Vital de Oliveira SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Santos SEA CHANNEL iskept as PENDING. Possible extensionof the geometry NE and SW to beconsidered. | SCUFN34/VTC03/56 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.15** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Jinyu HILL is | SCUFN34/VTC03/ |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Huapengu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Tanggu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Paigu HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Daimao SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meibin RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meibin SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lifa RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xianbei SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei CANYONS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiannan SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Jinyin RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhongjianxi CANYONS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lingyang SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Langong BASIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lingyangnan SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Chenhangdong SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Chenhang SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Guidian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Feishi HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhangxian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Lanxi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Mingcheng HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hualuo RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Menghaoran HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zidian SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Changfeng VALLEY is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Chunfeng VALLEY is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Changlong SEAMOUNT CHAIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Feizhu RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Longzhu SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Feilong SEAMOUNT CHAIN is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Qifeng HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhaojun HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hualin SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Hongyu RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Longmen SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiaozhenzhu SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Dazhenzhu SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Manao SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiongnan SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Xiongnan CANYON is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Dayuanxi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Dayuan CANYON is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yongdeng SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bianyu RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yulou RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Yudai RIDGE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Pianzhou HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Sixian HILL is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Zhubi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Antang CANYON is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meiji SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Meijixi SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Bisheng SEAMOUNT is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Guangya CHANNELS is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Guangya RISE is |  |
| SCUFN35.1/xx |  | Proposal for Nanan SEAMOUNT is |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.16** | **PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods** |   |
| SCUFN35.1/256 |  | With Reference to Annex C t SCUFN-34 (Soga vs Saga Guyot, Alba vs Albo Guyot, and Del Cano Guyot), **SCUFN** agreed that a proposal needs to be prepared on this matter.**SCUFN Member Coffin** to circulate analysis and recommendations to SCUFN Members for comments.SCUFN Members to provide their comments to **SCUFN Member Coffin**.Consolidated submission proposal prepared by **SCUFN Member Coffin** to be circulated by Secretary for endorsement by correspondence. | Decision**15 May****30 June****15 Sept** |
|  | **4.17** | **Analysis and Recommendation with regard to Philippines, NAMRIA (Apolaki Caldera)** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/257 |  | With Reference to SCUFN34/VTC03/33, **SCUFN Members** to provide their comments on Doc. SCUFN35.1-04.17A to **SCUFN Member Lalancette**.**SCUFN Member Lalancette** supported by **SCUFN Member Coffin**, to prepare consolidated proposal based on **SCUFN Members**’ comments.**SCUFN** to make a decision at the next meeting. | **30 June****15 Sep****SCUFN35.2** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5** | **SCUFN Operational Webservices** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographic Names** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/258 |  | **SCUFN Members** to take note of the update reports available online. |  |
|  | **5.2** | **Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **5.3** | **Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/259 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the report from Marine Regions and noted the call for other Gazetteers if any. |  |
|  | **5.4** | **United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN) – List of Naming Authorities** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/260 | 5.4 | Due to lack of time, **SCUFN** invited **SCUFN Members** to take note of the reports uploaded on the SCUFN webpage. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1** | **Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database – SCUFN Operational Webservices** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/261 |  | **SCUFN** thanked **NOAA’s** and **KHOA’s developers** for being present in this face-to-face meeting in UNESCO, Paris, for their participation in the technical working sessions along the meeting, and their reports. **SCUFN** also commended the **US** and the **Republic of Korea** for their sustained funding support for SCUFN activities, for the corrective maintenance and upgrades of the GEBCO Gazetteer, and the development of the interoperability phase with SCUFN Operational Webservices (KHOA’s Beta-Gazetteer Integration in particular).  |  |
|  | **7.2** | **Development of the IHO SCUFNArchive/Repository/Submission as a component of SCUFN Operational Webservices** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/262 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the report made by KHOA. |  |
|  | **9** | **Any Other Business** |  |
|  | **9.1** | **If any** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **10** | **Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions** |  |
|  | **10.1** | **SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions andActions from SCUFN35.1** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **10.2** | **Update on the Seabed 2030 Project** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/263 |  | **SCUFN** commended the presentation made by **SCUFN Member Mackay**. |  |
| SCUFN35.1/264 |  | **SCUFN** noted that calls for data sharing sent to proposers by **Secretary** after SCUFN meetings, should be directed to IHO DCDB (preferably than to Seabed 2030), noting that the two organizations have direct links anyway. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **11** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business continuity – SCUFN-35.2 countdown** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/265 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the proposal by **SCUFN Secretary** to hold the next meeting in Monaco (28 Nov – 2 Dec 2022) *(to be confirmed)*……and agreed that the format used at SCUFN35.1 (closed sessions, working group sessions, plenary open sessions, in-person as priority but hybrid possible) was efficient. | Decision |
| SCUFN35.1/266 |  | **SCUFN Members** to consider hosting SCUFN36 in 2023 and to inform **SCFUN Secretary** on possible dates and venue. | **No later than 15 July** (for 2023 budget preparation) |
| SCUFN35.1/267 |  | Noting the backlog, **SCUFN** agreed that new submission proposals received by the **SCUFN Secretary** from the end of SCUFN35.1 should be considered at SCUFN36 (2023) only. | Decision |
|  | **12** | **Closing address by Mr. Julian Barbière (IOC), Head, MarinePolicy and Regional Coordination Section – Ocean Decade Focal Point** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/268 |  | **SCUFN** noted the kind appreciation from Mr. Julian Barbière on the outstanding achievements of SCUFN as well as the impressive in-kind contributions (sustained funding) of the supporting organizations (NOAA, KHOA) to GEBCO Gazetteer and SCUFN Operational Webservices. |  |
|  | **13** | **Conclusion** |  |
| SCUFN35.1/269 |  | **SCUFN Chair** thanked the IOC and IHO staff for their support, SCUFN Members and all observers for their active participation. He confirmed his intention to step down from his position at the end of SCUFN35.2. |  |

**LIST OF DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-35, Part 2**

(Status *at the date of xx December 2022*)

| **Decision/****Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status & Comments****(December 2022)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **Welcome Address (IHO Secretary-General) - Opening and Introduction (SCUFN Chair)** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/01 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the opening address by the **IHO Secretary-General** stressing the importance of undersea feature naming activities for mankind and appealing for balanced positions and mutual understanding in the work to come during the week. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/02 |  | After careful considerations of the effective participation of **SCUFN Members** in SCUFN meetings since 2020, concerning their involvement in the intersessional work, recognizing the benefit of commitments for some in research campaigns at sea, noting the pandemic situation in 2020 and 2021 that led to several sub-meetings in VTC format, **SCUFN** agreed that there was no need to apply ROP 2.5 to anyone yet.**SCUFN Membership** to be reconsidered at the next meeting, so all **Members** are kindly invited to secure their physical participation in 2023. | DecisionSCUFN-36 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **2** | **Logistic and Admin Arrangements - Approval of Agenda & Timetable** |  |
|  | **2.2** | **Approval of the Agenda and Timetable** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/03 |  | **SCUFN** approved the agenda and timetable with a minor change in order to consider sequentially, for consistency and efficiency purposes, all agenda items involving naming proposals located in the South China Sea. The sequence approved is as follows: agenda items 4.8, 4.9, 4.12, 4.15, 4.10, 4.11, 4.13, 4.14, 4.16, 4.17, 4.2, 7.2, etc. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Review of Actions from SCUFN-33, SCUFN-34 and SCUFN-35.1 and transfer to the relevant agenda items (if appropriate)** |  |
|  |  | Skipped – All former and pending actions covered in other agenda items. |  |
|  | **3.2** | **3.2 Decision making process in SCUFN – Cookbook for Generic Terms – Repository of Typical Cases** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/04 | 3.2 | **SCUFN** notedthe version 1.0, October 2022, “*Repository of Typical Cases*”, and decided to start using it, as a living document, at every SCUFN meeting and update it after each session as appropriate (maintenance: **All**, versions in force managed by **SCUFN Members Ivaldi/Mackay**). **SCUFN Secretary** to upload it to the SCUFN webpage as an experimental living experimental document “for SCUFN Members only”, noting that various cases can be reviewed already by SCUFN Members prior to SCUFN-36. Proposed amendments to be submitted to managers **Ivaldi/Mackay** for their consideration. | DecisionPermanent**SCUFN-36 (- 2 months)** |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCUFN35.2/05 | 3.2 | While recognizing that the last version of the *Cookbook on Generic terms* is a very useful tool for SCUFN routine activities, **SCUFN** agreed that it was necessary to clarify the future of this *Cookbook* in relation to other similar initiatives by the scientific community (such as Harris et al’s *Geomorphology of the oceans,* Marine Geology, 2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.01.011) and B-6. This decision was also made in light of SCUFN being made aware of the work accomplished by the Norway (MAREANO), Ireland (INFOMAR), UK (MAREMAP), and Australia (Geoscience Australia) Geomorphology Working Group known as MIM-GA (see Dove et al., 2020, 10.5281/ZENODO.4075248). Noting the various comments and concerns raised by **SCUFN Members**, **SCUFN** agreed to put on hold version 1.2 October 2022 of the *Cookbook for generic terms* and decided not to make it available on the main SCUFN webpage for the moment (could create confusion to the proposers between formal definitions in B-6 and Cookbook).**SCUFN** invited **SCUFN Member Mackay** to make a proposal at the next meeting on the way forward for this Cookbook, on the existing relationship and possible coordination with multi-initiatives by the scientific community and the national geological surveys for developing a “standard” on generic terms that should be aligned with B-6 definitions (incremental development, eventually through a new Edition) and the SCUFN Cookbook for generic terms. | DecisionDecision**SCUFN-36** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3.3** | **Report and Recommendations of the SCUFN Sub-group on Generic Terms** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/06 | 3.3 | On the issue “*Features consisting a part of abyssal hills*” **SCUFN** agreed with **SCUFN Member Ohara**’s recommendation (case-by-case assessment for the time being, use of neutral colour scale, eventually rainbow colour scale, and multiple cross-profiles to be provided in proposals).To be considered in future edition of B-6. | Decisions(Decisions SCUFN35.1/253, /254 and Action SCUFN35.1/255 refer) |
|  | **3.4** | **Minor Features and Horizontal Strategy** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/07 | 3.4 | **SCUFN** noted **SCUFN Member Ohara**’s Consolidated Proposal of integrated version of recommendations including Horizontal Strategy on Minor Features.**SCUFN** agreed on the recommendation related to Minor Features to be included in a future Edition of B-6 (Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.4A refers) (“*SCUFN does not have any minimum size for features to be named. However as with terrestrial features, undersea features generally less than a few hundred meters across are usually not named except in special case that are usually based on historical and/or scientific significance. In these special circumstances, the proposer of the name of a minor undersea feature would need to describe the reason for proposing a minor feature in a proposal*”.) | Decision**SCUFN-36** |
| SCUFN35.2/08 | 3.4 | On the issue related to the definition of an optimal horizontal resolution strategy between features, noting the development of automated feature detection tools in progress, knowing the GEBCO grid resolution (target Seabed 2030), **SCUFN** agreed that a Project Team (lead: **SCUFN Member Coffin**, supported by **Ms Anna Hendi (UFN PT Chair)**, **Ms Britt Lonneville (Marine Regions),** and in liaison with **NOAA (Ms Jennifer Jencks/Mr Chris Slater, as GEBCO Gazetteer Operator**) to propose some recommendations at the next meeting (Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.4 refers). | **SCUFN-36** |
|  | **3.6** | **Features named in scientific publications that do not align with current definitions of generic feature terms, do not conform to current principles for specific names** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/09 | 3.6 | **SCUFN** noted the **SCUFN Member Ohara**’s consolidated proposal of integrated version of recommendations, in particular proposals for variant or alternate names.However, **SCUFN** considered that more operational criteria need to be defined to improve the robustness of the decision-making process in the future. Based on Doc. SCUFN35.2-03.6A considered as version 1.0, an enhanced version (led by **SCUFN Member Kimeli**) to be provided prior to the next meeting. Meanwhile decisions to be made on a case-by-case basis. | **SCUFN-36 (- 2 months)** |
|  | **3.7** | **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/10 | 3.7A | **SCUFN** noted **SCUFN Member Li**’s presentation on the progress of the work of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D in drafting the TORs related to the assessment of evidence provided by national naming authorities and agreed that more work was needed to reach consensus on a final operational version. | DecisionRef: SCUFN35.1/167d |
| SCUFN35.2/11 | 3.7A | The **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** welcomed two new Members. The Sub-group is now composed of **SCUFN Members Li, Said (co-leaders), Coffin, and Palmer**.  | Decision |
| SCUFN35.2/12 | 3.7A | **SCUFN** instructed the **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to include in the TORs:**-** the criteria by which priority should be given to internationally recognized peer-review publications; - the practical operational implementation procedure to make this assessment (who does what, when, and how?). | Decision |
| SCUFN35.2/13 | 3.7A | Once SCUFN35.2/12 is complete, **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to circulate by correspondence the new version of consolidated TORs: objectives, assessment rules, operational procedure, deliverables to **all SCUFN Members**, for input/comments.**All SCUFN Members** to provide input/comments **to SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D.** | **End of February 2023****End of March 2023** |
| SCUFN35.2/14 | 3.7A | Once comments/input are adjudicated by **SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D**, **SCUFN Secretary** to submit them to **SCUFN Members** by correspondence for final approval.**SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D** to be disbanded, procedure enters into force and can be implemented for proposals on the agenda of SCUFN-36. | **End of May 2023 (deadline to vote by correspondence: no later than end of June 2023)****SCUFN-36 (- 2 months)** |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCUFN35.2/15 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** noted the statement by **China** by which all proposals related to the rationale for the creation of Sub-group B-6 I.D should be kept as pending until a conclusion is reached by the sub-group. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/16 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** noted the statement by **Viet Nam** by which the names had already been ACCEPTED at SCUFN35.1. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/17 | 3.7B | After fruitful discussions, noting the objectives of the meeting clearly announced at the opening (no backlog at the end), considering that it was not realistic to wait for the outcome of SCUFN Sub-group B-6 I.D, **SCUFN** agreed to approve the change of status of the technically preliminaryACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1 from **Malaysia,** the **Philippines,** and **Viet Nam**, and, already pre-loaded in the GEBCO Gazetteer (i.e. status PENDING to be moved to APPROVED). |  |
| SCUFN35.2/18 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** noted the following statement by **China** by which all remaining proposals (deferred from SCUFN35.1), having similar characteristics (location) to the preliminary ACCEPTED names, should be reviewed on an equal basis according to SCUFN's mandate and B-6 Guidelines, Article I.A in particular (features located outside the external limits of the territorial sea).**SCUFN** noted the following statement by **Viet Nam** by which priority for naming features should be given to coastal States within their EEZ and ECS.**SCUFN** closed the discussion afterwards and confirmed its decision on the way forward. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/19 | 3.7B | **SCUFN** accepted the provision proposed by the **Secretary** that all ACCEPTED proposals at SCUFN35.2 will be uploaded into the GEBCO Gazetteer with APPROVED status. If it appears that duplicate names were ACCEPTED for the same feature (by mistake), this will be reported at the next meeting for consideration; meanwhile, these duplicate names will remain in the GEBCO Gazetteer with PENDING status. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/20 |  | **SCUFN Secretary** to invite all proposers[[12]](#footnote-12) having ACCEPTED naming proposals to provide associated bathymetric data to the IHO DCDB[[13]](#footnote-13) (See https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Caladan Oceanic** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/21 |  | Proposal for Robert Dill HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/41 and SCUFN35.1/79 |
| SCUFN35.2/22 |  | Proposal for du Fresne KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/41 and SCUFN35.1/100 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.8** | **From Viet Nam, Viet Nam Hydrographic Office** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/23 |  | Proposal for Nuithanh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/171 |
| SCUFN35.2/24 |  | Proposal for Ducpho HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/172 |
| SCUFN35.2/25 |  | Proposal for Bongson HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/173 |
| SCUFN35.2/26 |  | Proposal for Anthach HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN35.1/174 |
| SCUFN35.2/27 |  | Proposal for Tuyhoa SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/175 |
| SCUFN35.2/28 |  | Proposal for Oloan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/176 |
| SCUFN35.2/29 |  | Proposal for Songcau SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/177 |
| SCUFN35.2/30 |  | Proposal for Dailanh SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING (conflict with other feature Qifeng HILL submitted by **CCUFN**), recommending a joint submission preferably using a neutral specific term. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/180 |
| SCUFN35.2/31 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statements by **China** and **Viet Nam** on the issues raised in the discussion about Qifeng HILLl and Dailanh SEAMOUNT (anteriority of one proposal over another). |  |
| SCUFN35.2/32 |  | Proposal for Anphu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/181 |
| SCUFN35.2/33 |  | Proposal for Anchan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/182 |
| SCUFN35.2/34 |  | Proposal for Binhngoc HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/183 |
| SCUFN35.2/35 |  | Proposal for Phudong HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/184 |
| SCUFN35.2/36 |  | Proposal for Phuthanh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/185 |
| SCUFN35.2/37 |  | Proposal for Hongom RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/188 |
| SCUFN35.2/38 |  | Proposal for Khanhson HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/190 |
| SCUFN35.2/39 |  | Proposal for Conghai HILL is ACCEPTED | Ref: SCUFN35.1/191 |
| SCUFN35.2/40 |  | Proposal for Thanhhai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/193 |
| SCUFN35.2/41 |  | Proposal for Nuiong RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/195 |
| SCUFN35.2/42 |  | Proposal for Hamthuan GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/196 |
| SCUFN35.2/43 |  | Proposal for Honba VALLEY is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN35.1/197 |
| SCUFN35.2/44 |  | Proposal for Hiepphuoc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/198 |
| SCUFN35.2/45 |  | Proposal for Langa GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/199 |
| SCUFN35.2/46 |  | Proposal for Phuocbuu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/201 |
| SCUFN35.2/47 |  | Proposal for Bongtrang HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/202 |
| SCUFN35.2/48 |  | Proposal for Phuocthuan HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/204 |
| SCUFN35.2/49 |  | Proposal for Binhchau HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/205 |
| SCUFN35.2/50 |  | Proposal for Vangia HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/206 |
| SCUFN35.2/51 |  | Proposal for Vanninh RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/207 |
| SCUFN35.2/52 |  | Proposal for Locan RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/208 |
| SCUFN35.2/53 |  | Proposal for Camhai GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/211 |
| SCUFN35.2/54 |  | Proposal for Vinhtho SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/212 |
| SCUFN35.2/55 |  | Proposal for Tiendu HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/213 |
| SCUFN35.2/56 |  | Proposal for Phuoctinh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/214 |
| SCUFN35.2/57 |  | Proposal for Phuochoa HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/216 |
| SCUFN35.2/58 |  | Proposal for Tanhai SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/217 |
| SCUFN35.2/59 |  | Proposal for Anngai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/218 |
| SCUFN35.2/60 |  | Proposal for Donghai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/221 |
| SCUFN35.2/61 |  | Proposal for Tamphuoc HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/222 |
| SCUFN35.2/62 |  | Proposal for Datdo HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/223 |
| SCUFN35.2/63 |  | Proposal for Duyenhai HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/224 |
| SCUFN35.2/64 |  | Proposal for Lynhon HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/225 |
| SCUFN35.2/65 |  | Proposal for Camduc SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/227 |
| SCUFN35.2/66 |  | Proposal for Anthuy HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/229 |
| SCUFN35.2/67 |  | Proposal for Phuoctan GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/230 |
| SCUFN35.2/68 |  | Proposal for Vinhchau HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/233 |
| SCUFN35.2/69 |  | Proposal for Ganhhao GUYOT is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/234 |
| SCUFN35.2/70 |  | Proposal for Longphu RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/235 |
| SCUFN35.2/71 |  | Proposal for Datmui HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/236 |
| SCUFN35.2/72 |  | Proposal for Ninh Vân CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED (recommendation to submit a new proposal for a single CANYON PROVINCE with possible extension to the North, and including Phước Dinh CANYONS). | Ref: SCUFN35.1/187 |
| SCUFN35.2/73 |  | Proposal for Phước Dinh CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/189 |
| SCUFN35.2/74 |  | Proposal for Ninh Hải RIDGE is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be extended to the NE. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/192 |
| SCUFN35.2/75 |  | Proposal for Phú Hài CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/194 |
| SCUFN35.2/76 |  | Proposal for Hồng Phong HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/200 |
| SCUFN35.2/77 |  | Proposal for Long Mỹ CANYONS is kept PENDING requesting mutual consultation or joint proposal with **China** (Features identical to Guangya SEA CHANNELS). | Ref: SCUFN35.1/209 |
| SCUFN35.2/78 |  | Proposal for Bình Ba [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILLS. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/210 |
| SCUFN35.2/79 |  | Proposal for Long Hải [GUYOT] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILL, and polygon to be extended to greater depths. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/215 |
| SCUFN35.2/80 |  | Proposal for Gò Công [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILLS, with the polygon to be extended to the NE to include the last feature. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/219 |
| SCUFN35.2/81 |  | Proposal for Long Sơn HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/220 |
| SCUFN35.2/82 |  | **SCUFN** noted the confirmation made by **Viet Nam** by which specific terms should be written using Vietnamese orthography – diacritical marks and word segmentation - (to be confirmed by **Viet Nam** **no later than 31 December 2022**).**Viet Nam** to provide their final list of ACCEPTED names with the preferred spelling (diacritics or no diacritics) to the **Secretary**. | Decision**31 December 2022** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From Malaysia, NHC** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/83 |  | **SCUFN** approved eight technically preliminary ACCEPTED names at SCUFN35.1, to be published in the GEBCO Gazetteer (status PENDING to be moved to APPROVED). | Ref: SCUFN35.1/238 |
| SCUFN35.2/84 |  | Proposal for Kedayan KNOLL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/239 |
| SCUFN35.2/85 |  | Proposal for Bisaya HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/240 |
| SCUFN35.2/86 |  | Proposal for Lundayeh HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/241 |
| SCUFN35.2/87 |  | Proposal for Rungus HILL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/242 |
| SCUFN35.2/88 |  | Proposal for Chengal CANYON is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/243 |
| SCUFN35.2/89 |  | Proposal for Meranti CANYON is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/244 |
| SCUFN35.2/90 |  | Proposal for Kimaragang RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/247 |
| SCUFN35.2/91 |  | Proposal for Bajau RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/249 |
| SCUFN35.2/92 |  | Proposal for Senoi HILL is kept PENDING. (same feature proposed for naming by the **Philippines** as Balabac HILL). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.10** | **From Japan, JCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/93 |  | **SCUFN** reviewed the following proposals made by JCUFN deferred from SCUFN35.1 with reference to the recommendations in the agenda item 3.6.**SCUFN Member Ohara** introduced the rationale for specific terms making reference to Godzilla Megamullion published in Elsevier and other international peer-reviewed scientific articles in international journals (number of citations, 1st publication in 2001, etc). | See agenda item 3.6 |
| SCUFN35.2/94 |  | Proposal for Hat RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/18 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/95 |  | Proposal for Head PEAK is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/20 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/96 |  | Proposal for West Shoulder RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/21 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/97 |  | Proposal for Neck PEAK is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/22 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/98 |  | Proposal for East Shoulder RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/23 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/99 |  | Proposal for West Arm RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/24 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/100 |  | Proposal for Backbone RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/25 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/101 |  | Proposal for East Arm RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/26 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/102 |  | Proposal for West Hipbone RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/27 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/103 |  | Proposal for North Tail RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/28 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/104 |  | Proposal for East Hipbone RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/29 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/105 |  | Proposal for West Leg RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/30 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/106 |  | Proposal for South Tail RISE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/31 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
| SCUFN35.2/107 |  | Proposal for East Leg RIDGE is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN31/168, SCUFN34/VTC02/32 and SCUFN35.1/251 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.11** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/108 |  | **SCUFN** reviewed proposal for Jiangtun RIDGE made by CCUFN deferred from SCUFN35.1 in application of the general policy adopted under agenda item 3.3. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/255See agenda item 3.3 |
| SCUFN35.2/109 |  | Proposal for Jiangtun RIDGE is ACCEPTED with an extension of the polygon to the West. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 and SCUFN35.1/253 |
| SCUFN35.2/110 |  | Proposal for Xianhou SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING, more data to be provided by the proposer (extension SE, two cross-profiles).  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/111 |  | Proposal for Xiannü SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/112 |  | Proposal for Tianqin BASIN is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/113 |  | Proposal for Haitun SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/114 |  | Proposal for Qilin BASIN is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/115 |  | Proposal for Liehu HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/116 |  | Proposal for Tianhe SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/117 |  | Proposal for Tiange HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/118 |  | Proposal for Yuanbao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be reduced in the NW.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/119 |  | Proposal for Qiongju KNOLL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/120 |  | Proposal for Qiongyao KNOLL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/121 |  | Proposal for Qiongjiu KNOLL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/122 |  | Proposal for Wushi HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/123 |  | Proposal for Zhoushu KNOLL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/124 |  | Proposal for Huangshigong HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/125 |  | Proposal for Heichawei KNOLL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/126 |  | Proposal for Huichawei KNOLLS is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/127 |  | Proposal for Wuchawei HILLS is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/128 |  | Proposal for Yudie KNOLL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
| SCUFN35.2/129 |  | Proposal for Paixiao SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed to the NNE).  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC02/42 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.12** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/130 |  | Proposal for Caoayan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/19 |
| SCUFN35.2/131 |  | Proposal for Alaminos HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/24 |
| SCUFN35.2/132 |  | Proposal for Bolinao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with a modification the polygon.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/25 |
| SCUFN35.2/133 |  | Proposal for Palauig HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/26 |
| SCUFN35.2/134 |  | Proposal for Morong RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/27 |
| SCUFN35.2/135 |  | Proposal for Tagbanua HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/28 |
| SCUFN35.2/136 |  | Proposal for Balabac HILL is kept PENDING. (same feature proposed for naming by **Malaysia** as Senoi HILL). | **SCUFN-36**Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/29 and SCUFN35.1/246 |
| SCUFN35.2/137 |  | Proposal for Bancalan HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/30 |
| SCUFN35.2/138 |  | Proposal for Canipaan HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/31 |
| SCUFN35.2/139 |  | **SCUFN** noted the statement by the **Philippines** (priority for naming features should be given to coastal States within their EEZ and ECS). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.13** | **From New Zealand, NZGB** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/140 |  | Proposal for Mestayer SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING (more detailed explanations needed, missing data in the South for decision making, different maps).  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/45 |
| SCUFN35.2/141 |  | Proposal for Benjamin Bowring SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed).  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/142 |  | Proposal for Puka GUYOT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data needed). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/143 |  | Proposal for Mahetau SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data coverage needed).  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/144 |  | Proposal for William Benham SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/145 |  | Proposal for Helen ES Clark SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED (more data coverage needed, specific term to be changed as already existing Clark SEAMOUNT (2).  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/146 |  | Fast-track Proposal for Tūranganui VALLEY is ADOPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.14** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/147 |  | Proposal for Lupicínio HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/148 |  | Proposal for Moraes Moreira SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/149 |  | Proposal for Vital de Oliveira SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/150 |  | Proposal for Santos SEA CHANNEL is ACCEPTED. | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/56 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.15** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/151 |  | Proposal for Jinyu HILL is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be modified.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/152 |  | Proposal for Huapengu HILL is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be reduced in the SW and new shp file to be provided.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/153 |  | Proposal for Tanggu HILL is ACCEPTED with a corrected shp file to be provided.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/154 |  | Proposal for Paigu HILL is ACCEPTED, proposal form to be corrected (change from the West to the East of Mauritius, use Central Indian Ridge), polygon to be reduced to avoid overlap in the NE.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/155 |  | Proposal for Daimao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/156 |  | Proposal for Meibin ~~RIDGE~~ is kept PENDING with the generic term modified as [SPUR] until a more consistent proposal is made for the entire feature, including Meibin SEAMOUNT eventually.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/157 |  | Proposal for Meibin SEAMOUNT is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/158 |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei BASIN is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/159 |  | Proposal for Lifa RIDGE is ACCEPTED with the polygon to be extended to the SE to include the second feature.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/160 |  | Proposal for Xianbei SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/161 |  | Proposal for Zhongshabei CANYONS is NOT ACCEPTED as such (due to the existing associated main feature Macclesfield Bank). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/162 |  | Proposal for [~~Zhongshabei CANYONS~~] is ACCEPTED with the name modified to [Macclesfield CANYON PROVINCE] and an additional information to be noted in the Gazetteer: Also known as Zhongshabei CANYON PROVINCE in some publications.**SCUFN Secretary** to add the polygon to be provided by **CCUFN** for Zhongshabei PLATEAU (proposal initially planned by CCUFN, not appropriate anymore) around the existing feature Macclesfield BANK.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/163 |  | Proposal for Xiannan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/164 |  | Proposal for Jinyin RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/165 |  | Proposal for Zhongjianxi [~~CANYONS~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as CANYON PROVINCE and the polygon to be extended.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/166 |  | Proposal for Lingyang SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/167 |  | Proposal for Langong BASIN is ACCEPTED with the polygon extended to the North and the aligned proposal form (new map) to be provided to the **Secretary** by the proposer. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/168 |  | Proposal for Lingyangnan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/169 |  | Proposal for Chenhangdong SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/170 |  | Proposal for Chenhang SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with a reduction of the polygon in the NW part.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/171 |  | Proposal for Guidian SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon extended to the NE and the aligned proposal form (new map) to be provided to the **Secretary** by the proposer. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/172 |  | Proposal for Feishi [~~HILL~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as HILLS.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/173 |  | Proposal for Zhangxian SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/174 |  | Proposal for Lanxi SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/175 |  | Proposal for Mingcheng HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/176 |  | Proposal for Yian SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/177 |  | Proposal for Hualuo RIDGE is ACCEPTED with the polygon extended to the SE to include the feature.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/178 |  | Proposal for Menghaoran [~~HILL]~~ is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as RIDGE. Data, map and polygon extension to the South to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/179 |  | Proposal for Zidian [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as SPUR.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/180 |  | Proposal for Changfeng [~~VALLEY~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as TROUGH.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/181 |  | Proposal for Chunfeng VALLEY is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as TROUGH.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/182 |  | Proposal for Changlong [~~SEAMOUNT CHAIN~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as RIDGES.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/183 |  | Proposal for Feizhu RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/184 |  | Proposal for Longzhu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with a revised shp file of the polygon, consistent with the maps, to be provided.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/185 |  | Proposal for Feilong [~~SEAMOUNT CHAIN~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as RIDGES.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/186 |  | Proposal for Qifeng HILL is kept PENDING (conflict with other feature Dailanh SEAMOUNT submitted by **VHO**), recommending a joint submission preferably using a neutral specific term.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/187 |  | Proposal for Zhaojun HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/188 |  | Proposal for Hualin SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED. This case to be captured and included in the *Repository of Typical Cases* (more than 50% of the feature has more than 1000 m elevation) (**Ivaldi/Mackay**). See also Yongdeng SEAMOUNT case below. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/189 |  | Proposal for Hongyu RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/190 |  | Proposal for Longmen SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/191 |  | Proposal for Xiaozhenzhu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. Every reference to Nanhai Basin should be omitted. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/192 |  | Proposal for Dazhenzhu SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the polygon reduced in the North and the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/193 |  | Proposal for Manao SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. Every reference to Nanhai Basin should be omitted.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/194 |  | Proposal for Xiongnan SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED with the reference to the Associated Feature to be removed from the GEBCO Gazetteer. Every reference to Nanhai Basin should be omitted.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/195 |  | Proposal for Xiongnan CANYON is kept PENDING, more cross-profiles to be provided. Recommendation valid for most of the **CCUFN** proposals (**CCUFN** to take action for new proposals to come)). | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/196 |  | Proposal for Dayuanxi [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term modified as SPUR. New shp file aligned with the map to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/197 |  | Proposal for Dayuan CANYON is kept PENDING, more cross-profiles to be provided. Recommendation applicable to most proposals (**CCUFN** to take action for new proposals to come). **Proposer** should also consider the use of specific term Nares from the associated major feature Nares BANK.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/198 |  | Proposal for Yongdeng SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/199 |  | Proposal for Bianyu RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/200 |  | Proposal for Yulou RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/201 |  | Proposal for Yudai RIDGE is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/202 |  | Proposal for Pianzhou HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/203 |  | Proposal for Sixian HILL is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/204 |  | Proposal for Zhubi SEAMOUNT is ACCEPTED.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/205 |  | Proposal for Antang CANYON is kept as PENDING, more cross-profiles to be provided. Recommendation applicable to most proposals (**CCUFN** to take action for new proposals to come). **Proposer** should also consider the use of specific term Reed from the associated major feature Reed BANK. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/206 |  | Proposal for Meiji SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING, with the specific term to be modified (Existing feature Meiji SEAMOUNT in the GEBCO Gazetteer).  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/207 |  | Proposal for Meijixi SEAMOUNT is kept PENDING, with the specific term to changed and reason for choice to be aligned with it.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/208 |  | Proposal for Bisheng [~~SEAMOUNT~~] is kept PENDING, with the specific term to be modified, and the generic term to be modified as RIDGE. More data to be provided. | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/209 |  | Proposal for Guangya SEA CHANNELS is kept PENDING requesting for mutual consultation or joint proposal with **Viet Nam** (Features identical to Long Mỹ CANYONS).  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/210 |  | Proposal for Guangya [~~RISE~~] is ACCEPTED with the specific term to be modified with the generic term modified as SPUR.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
| SCUFN35.2/211 |  | Proposal for Nanan SEAMOUNT is kept as PENDING with the specific term to be modified.  | Deferred from SCUFN34/VTC03 and SCUFN35.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.16** | **PENDING names waiting for decisions after corrections proposed during Intersessional Periods** |   |
| SCUFN35.2/212 |  | With Reference to Annex C to SCUFN-34 (Soga vs Saga GUYOT Alba vs Albo GUYOT, and Del Cano GUYOT), **SCUFN** noted recommendations by **SCUFN Member Coffin**. | Ref: SCUFN35.1/256 |
| SCUFN35.2/213 |  | The Soga GUYOT is replaced by Saga GUYOT while specific term Soga remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in the GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/214 |  | The Alba GUYOT is replaced by Albo GUYOT while specific term Alba remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/215 |  | The Del Cano GUYOT is replaced by Elcano GUYOT while specific term Del Cano remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/216 |  | The Del Cano RISE is replaced by Elcano RISE while specific term Del Cano remains in a field of ‘variant’ name in GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.17** | **Analysis and Recommendation with regard to Philippines, NAMRIA (Apolaki Caldera)** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/217 |  | **SCUFN** noted analysis and recommendation by **SCUFN Member Lalancette** with regard to Apolaki CALDERA.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
| SCUFN35.2/218 |  | Proposal for Apolaki CALDERA is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
| SCUFN35.2/219 |  | Proposal for Loro SPUR is ACCEPTED.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.18** | **Report of the work made during the inter-sessional period** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/220 |  | **SCUFN** noted the report on preloading in the Gazetteer of all names from the proposals submitted to SCUFN35.1 & 35.2 by **SCUFN Secretary**.  | Ref: SCUFN34/VTC03/33 and SCUFN35.1/257 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5** | **Liaison with Other Geographical Naming Bodies** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographic Names** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/221 |  | **SCUFN Members** took note of the update report available online. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.2** | **Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **5.3** | **Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/222 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the report from Marine Regions. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.4** | **United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN) – List of Naming Authorities** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/223 |  | **SCUFN** took note of the short verbal report made by **SCUFN Member Palmer**, who acts as liaison officer with UNGEGN on behalf of the IHO.  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1** | **Monitoring the relationships with NCEI and KHOA to maintain and/or improve the Gazetteer** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/224 |  | **SCUFN** noted report on monitoring the relationships with NCEI and KHOA to maintain and/or improve the Gazetteer by **SCUFN Secretary**.  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7.2** | **Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names)** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/225 |  | **SCUFN** noted report on updating Gazetteer from undersea feature naming decisions and actions from SCUFN-34 & 35.1 by **SCUFN Secretary**.  |  |
| SCUFN35.2/226 |  | **SCUFN** noted report on monitoring the list of PENDING names by **SCUFN Secretary**.  |  |
| SCUFN35.2/227 |  | **SCUFN** noted the report for regulating SCUFN work by correspondence and with reference to Doc. SCUFN35.2-07.2E agreed on the modalities suggested by **SCUFN Secretary** with no need to amend the SCUFN Rules of Procedure since SCUFN considers that ROP 2.9 still applies for work by correspondence.**SCUFN Secretary** to upload the modalities on the SCUFN webpage in addition to SCUFN TORs and ROPs. | DecisionJanuary 2023 |
| SCUFN35.2/228 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed report on training on GEBCO Gazetteer of IHO Secretariat’s personnel by **SCUFN Secretary**.  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **9** | **Any Other Business** |  |
|  | **9.1** | **If any** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/229 |  | **SCUFN** invited **CCUFN (China), JCUFN (Japan), NHC (Malaysia)** to consider the possibility of testing the SCUFN OWS / Submission interface operated by KHOA (**Republic of Korea**) for a few naming proposals in 2023 and report back on the testing and recommendations at the next meeting. | June 2023SCUFN-36 |
|  | **10** | **Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions** |  |
|  | **10.1** | **SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions andActions from SCUFN35.2** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
|  | **10.2** | **Update on the Seabed 2030 Project** |  |
|  |  | *Left intentionally blank.* |  |
| SCUFN35.2/230 |  | **SCUFN** noted that calls for data sharing sent to proposers by **SCUFN Secretary** after SCUFN meetings, should be directed to IHO DCDB (preferable to Seabed 2030), noting that the two organizations have direct links anyway. | Permanent |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **11** | **SCUFN Membership** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/231 |  | **SCUFN** thanked outgoing **SCUFN Chair Han** and **SCUFN** **Member** **Lalancette** and welcomed **Lee** and **Dwiyana** as new SCUFN Members. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/232 |  | In accordance with the ROP, **SCUFN Member Ohara** in his position of Vice-Chair, will act as Chair until the election of Chair and Vice-Chair at SCUFN36. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/233 |  | **SCUFN** noted that nominations for SCUFN Chair and Vice-Chair positions will have to be provided to **SCUFN Secretary** no later than two months prior to SCUFN36. Election planned at the end of SCUFN36. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **12** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings – Business continuity** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/234 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the offer from **Australia (Australian Hydrographic Office)** to hold the next meeting in 2023 (6 – 10 November 2023) in Australia, with Sydney preferred.…and agreed that the format used at SCUFN35.2 (closed opening and closing sessions, working group sessions, open plenary sessions, in-person) was efficient. |  |
| SCUFN35.2/235 |  | **SCUFN** welcome the offers and noted the possible options for future SCUFN meetings from 2024 onwards (**Republic of Korea**, **Indonesia**, and **Kenya** –*to be confirmed*). |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **13** | **Conclusion** |  |
| SCUFN35.2/236 |  | **SCUFN Chair** thanked the IHO staff for their support, SCUFN Members and all observers for their active participation. |  |

1. For one day in Paris. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. How to facilitate the work of SCUFN and the decision-making process depending on the position of undersea features? Towards a decision-tree. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. As declared by the NZGB, sensitive area to deal with due to the current Treaty of Waitangi negotiations being undertaken. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Used in the closed session only. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. In particular with regard to the South China Sea. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. For SCUFN Members only. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. For SCUFN Members only. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. For SCUFN Members only. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. For SCUFN Members only. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. As reported by SCUFN Member Mackay under agenda item 10.2, data already provided to Seabed 2030 for AWI, NZGB and Caladan Oceanic. Work in progress for Brazil. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/SubmittingMarineGeophysicalData.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. As reported by SCUFN Member Mackay under agenda item 10.2, data already provided to Seabed 2030 for OOO, NZGB and Caladan Oceanic. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/iho/SubmittingMarineGeophysicalData.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-13)