AICCWG REGION N Report to WENDWG-14



WENDWG-14, Norfolk, USA





Region N: Status of ENC Coverage



ARHC Region N – Total 1057 ENCs







Region N: ENC Overlaps

IC-ENC REGIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC COMMISSION OVERLAP REPORT - ARCTIC RHC - JANUARY 2024

ID	STATUS	RENC Membership	RHC	ENC 1	ENC 2	Usage Band	ENC 1 Scale	ENC 2 Scale	ENC 1 Edn	ENC 2 Edn	Impact Assessment	Action requested
200	RESOLVED	IC-ENC - PRIMAR	ARHC	DE110000	NO1A3000	1	1500000	1500000	6	14	RESOLVED	No Action Required
1	RESOLVED	IC-ENC - PRIMAR	ARHC	DK1GEAST	NO1A3000	1	3500000	1500000	4	16	RESOLVED	No Action Required
2	RESOLVED	IC-ENC - PRIMAR	ARHC	DK1GNORT	NO1A3000	1	3500000	1500000	5	16	RESOLVED	No Action Required
240	RESOLVED	IC-ENC	ARHC	RU205Z00	US2AK95M	2	700000	1534076	1	4	RESOLVED	No Action Required
329	RESOLVED	IC-ENC - PRIMAR	ARHC	RU2O9091	RU205Z00	2					RESOLVED	No Action Required
273	RESOLVED	IC-ENC - PRIMAR	ARHC	RU2OQ0T1	US2AK92M	2	700000	700000	1	11	RESOLVED	No Action Required
274	RESOLVED	IC-ENC	ARHC/EAHC	RU4OH1S0	US4AK8DM	4	22000	100000	1	7	RESOLVED	No Action Required
275	RESOLVED	IC-ENC - PRIMAR	ARHC/EAHC	US3AK89M	RU3OH0B0	3	315350	180000	10	1	RESOLVED	No Action Required

All instances of overlapping ENCs that may impact on safe navigation in the Region have been successfully resolved.





Status of S-1xx production readiness

AllCWG report regarding status of S-1xx production readiness level of the region (Summary)

Focusing on the standards S101, S102 it shows that 4 out of 5 ARHC MS have a plan of progress toward 2026. The status of implementation of the other prioritized standards is showing bigger span in readiness.

Currently none of the average scores for prioritized S-1XX implementation status in the Arctic is above 50% of readiness, so there is still work to do in ARHC before reaching the IHO Strategic Plan 1.3.1:

'Ability and capability of Member States to meet the requirements and delivery phases of the S100 implementation plan (2026: 50%).'

Comment: There were some confusions regarding the inclusion of MSDI-related questions in the survey. The relevance of MSDI to the production level of S1xx standards is unclear, given that MSDI typically serves as a display platform rather than a production system. Clarification on this aspect would be beneficial.





The AICCWG representatives:

- Norway: Siri Reimers <u>siri.reimers@kartverket.no</u>
- Canada: Laura Colombe <u>Laura.Colombe@dfo-mpo.qv.ca</u>
- Denmark: Kell Torp Jensen <u>ketje@gst.dk</u>
 Nikolaj Møller <u>nikmn@gst.dk</u>
- United States: Drusilla Morgan (NGA) <u>Drusilla.A.Morgan@nga.mil</u> Jennifer Walden (NOAA) <u>jennifer.walden@noaa.gov</u>
- Russian Federation



Arctic Gridding – Approaching Polar Cap Region

- In 2022 Canada commissioned IIC report to consider gridding in Arctic
 - Upper Arctic and Polar Cap of particular interest due to impact of skew on gridding (~6x with rectangular/square cells)
 - New proposed grids where cells are broadened to account for skew
 - Each Zone has different (related dimensions)
- Currently Canada/US broaden width of cells as latitude increases
- Bulk of migration effort is in ensuring common boundaries between subdivided cells
- Coverage sparse and so high Arctic currently in unique position to adopt a new common grid framework



Image courtesy IIC Technologies

Two Proposed Rectilinear Grid Options

C			Dimensions			
U ₁	Zone	Subdivision				
			Width	Height	Cols	Rows
	Сар	1	72	5	5	1
		2	14.4	2.5	2	2
_						
	Zone 1	1	20	5	3	2
		2	6.666667	2.5	3	4
		3	2.222222	0.625	4	4
		4	0.555556	0.15625		



Reduced skew to Skew = 1.2x



Images courtesy of IIC Technologies

Next Steps

- ARHC has asked AICCWG/Canada to start a process to implement a common rectilinear grid in the high Arctic/Polar cap region and ID a test pilot area in an Arctic boarder region
- Canada is considering options to action this request
- Canada is considering possible tools to help manage gridding in Arctic transboundary areas; perhaps modeled off of the <u>USCHC</u> <u>Transboundary Web App</u> (discussed further in USCHC report)





