




Working together to
assure navigational safety

ENCWG8-5.5 Multiple Coverage Areas within an ENC


Su Marks, S-100 Manager, IC-ENC

Monday 25th September 2023




Introduction/Background

- ▶ IC-ENC has received S-57 ENC's containing multiple coverage areas with all M_COVR meta objects attributed with the Category of coverage CATCOV = 1 (coverage available). All of these coverage areas share geometry and adjoin to provide a complete coverage of M_COVR meta objects for the ENC cells, with no M_COVR with CATCOV = 2 (no coverage available). The use of adjoining coverage areas with the same CATCOV value appears illogical and an inefficient method of encoding the ENC coverage.




Analysis/Discussion

- ▶ Within S-57 Appendix B.1 ENC Product Specification, Section 2.2 states that the area within the cell which contains data must be indicated by a meta object M_COVR with CATCOV = 1. Any other area not containing data must be indicated by a meta object M_COVR with CATCOV = 2. S-57 Appendix B.1 Annex A, Use of the Object Catalogue for ENC, Section 2.1.8 contains guidance on the creation of seamless ENC coverage and Section 2.8.1 provides guidance on the capture of M_COVR objects.
- ▶ The guidance within the specification does not provide any recommendations for the use of multiple M_COVR objects which are adjoining, aside from the use of different CATCOV areas. It is unclear therefore if it is permissible to capture multiple adjoining M_COVR objects with CATCOV = 1.




Analysis/Discussion

- ▶ As there is no guidance on the use of adjoining M_COVR objects with CATCOV = 1 within S-57, S-58 does not include a check to identify areas of adjoining coverage with the same attribution.
- ▶ During assessment of this issue, IC-ENC reviewed the S-57 ENC within ECDIS systems and has identified that ECDIS could handle this encoding differently. In all tested cases the S-57 ENC appeared to display correctly at the compilation scale, however, when using the pick query tool in some modules and display modes one ECDIS was unable to identify the cell and did not display any objects or attribution within the query window. In the modes where the ENC was identified, viewing the coverage area would only show the portion of the M_COVR queried and not be representative of the whole ENC.



Analysis/Discussion

- ▶ In being unable to perform the query on ENC's captured with this scenario, there is the potential for Mariners to be unable to access safety critical information, such as the depth information of obstruction objects or the additional information contained within RESARE objects.
- ▶ Consequently, IC-ENC would like to propose that guidance is issued for data producers and the UOC updated to provide guidance that adjoining M_COVR features that have the same CATCOV value must be avoided; any adjoining M_COVR objects with the same CATCOV value must be merged into a single feature.
- ▶ IC-ENC would also propose that S-58 is updated to include a new check.




Recommendation/Action Required of ENCWG

- ▶ IC-ENC invites ENCWG to consider that guidance for S57 ENC production is amended so that any M_COVR meta objects that have coincident geometry and an equal CATCOV attribution be captured as a single M_COVR meta object.

- ▶ **Action Required of ENC WG**

The ENC WG is invited to:


- a. Include an update to the UOC at its next update to include guidance to merge M_COVR objects where the geometry of the feature objects is coincident and the CATCOV attribution is equal.
- b. Include an update to S-58 to include a new check to identify adjoining M_COVR objects with the same CATCOV attribution.





Working together to
assure navigational safety

ENCWG8-5.5 Multiple Coverage Areas within an ENC - Update



Outcome from S-58 subWG

- Discussed at the recent S-58 subWG
- Proposed new check in S-58 (ENCWG8-4,2)
 - **Check 548c** – proposed new check to report adjacent M_COVR features with identical attribution that could be merged.

No	Check description	Check message	Check solution	Conformity to:	Cat
548c	For Each M_COVR feature object where the boundary geometry is coincident with the boundary of another M_COVR feature object with identical attribution.	M_COVR object unnecessarily split	M_COVR object unnecessarily split.	2.2	W

