

MARITIME SAFETY COMMITTEE  
108th session  
Agenda item 12

MSC 108/12/5  
22 March 2024  
Original: ENGLISH  
Pre-session public release:

## NAVIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS AND SEARCH AND RESCUE

### Comments on the report of the tenth session of the Sub-Committee Real-time exchange of S-100 products

Submitted by New Zealand

#### SUMMARY

*Executive summary:* This document seeks to understand how the Organization envisages the real-time exchange of all S-100 product specifications, including S-124 navigational warnings, noting that the exchange of an S-421 route plan should use a standard service interface and information security protection. With the impending timeline for development of S-1xx products to support route monitoring, this matter should be urgently addressed.

*Strategic direction,  
if applicable:* 7

*Output:* 7.46

*Action to be taken:* Paragraph 14

*Related documents:* MSC 108/12; NCSR 10/9, NCSR 10/22 and NCSR 10/22/Add.1

#### Introduction

1 This document is submitted in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 6.12.5 of the *Organization and method of work of the Maritime Safety Committee and the Marine Environment Protection Committee and their subsidiary bodies* (MSC-MEPC.1/Circ.5/Rev.5) and contains comments on document MSC 108/12.

2 The International Hydrographic Organization's (IHO) implementation timeline<sup>1</sup> for the S-100 *electronic chart display and information systems* (ECDIS), supporting the recommendations in resolution MSC.530(106), prioritizes development of S-1xx product specification layers used in route monitoring,<sup>2</sup> which includes S-124 navigational warnings. These layers are intended to be operational in 2026.

<sup>1</sup> *Roadmap for the S-100 Implementation Decade (2020-2030)* <https://iho.int/en/s-100-implementation-strategy>.

<sup>2</sup> Phase 1 (route monitoring) includes: S-101 Electronic Navigational Chart (ENC), S-102 Bathymetric Surface, S-104 Water Level Information for Surface Navigation, S-111 Surface Currents, S-124 Navigational Warnings, and S-129 Under Keel Clearance Management.

3 New Zealand is of the view that the additional S-1xx product specifications, such as S-124 navigational warnings, are critical to the success of an S-100 ECDIS. This view was also highlighted at an S-100 stakeholders session held during the fifteenth session of the IHO Hydrographic Services and Standards Committee (HSSC). Stakeholders were of the opinion that S-101 alone adds little benefit, without the availability of the additional S-100 layers.

#### **S-421 route plan**

4 In document MSC 108/12, paragraph 3.5, the Committee is invited to adopt the draft resolution MSC.530(106)/Rev.1 on *Performance standards for electronic chart display and information systems (ECDIS)* contained in document NCSR 10/22/Add.1, annex 4. New Zealand supports the adoption of this resolution by the Committee at its 108th session.

5 The draft resolution states that route plan (S-421) exchange between ship and shore-based maritime service providers "should use standard service interfaces including information security protection to allow for secure machine-machine communication" (paragraph 11.3.4). The standard for information security protection is IEC 63173-2 – Secure communication between ship and shore (SECOM) (footnote 9).

#### **IEC 63173-2 – Secure communication between ship and shore (SECOM)**

6 The SECOM standard (IEC 63173-2) is designed to enable shore-to-ship and ship-to-shore exchange of all S-100 product specifications.

7 It contains a technical service interface design that is in accordance with guidelines and templates from IALA and S-100. Its goal is to facilitate interoperability and service discovery and reduce the need to support many different proprietary service designs for the delivery of S-100 products.

8 The SECOM standard is not designed to provide a communication bearer from a shore-side S-100 service interface to end-user equipment (ECDIS) on board a ship (and vice versa). However, to practically implement a standard service interface like SECOM for shore-to-ship and ship-to-shore connectivity, a suitable communication bearer/system needs to be determined. This was highlighted as a dependency when defining a standard service interface (NCSR 10/9 (Austria et al.), paragraph 8).

#### **Shore-to-ship exchange of S-100 product specifications**

9 New Zealand would like to understand how the Organization envisages the real-time shore-to-ship and ship-to-shore exchange of S-100 products will occur, including S-124 navigational warnings.

10 New Zealand is also of the view that the Organization should determine whether:

- .1 a standard service interface, including information security protection, is required for all S-100 products;
- .2 if existing shore-to-ship communication systems are intended to exchange S-100 products; and
- .3 if these existing shore-to-ship communication systems have the capability to do so.

11 Paragraph 8 of document NCSR 10/9 (Austria et al.), noted that adding the functionality of a standardized and cyber-secure method for route exchange from ship-to-shore and from shore-to-ship to support ECDIS, would require the availability of a radio link, which has not been defined.

12 Amendments to SOLAS, performance standards and guidance may need to be developed, and if so, this needs to be addressed in a timely manner.

13 New Zealand notes draft resolution MSC.530(106)/Rev.1 states in operative paragraph 4 the need to develop appropriate operational guidance to be adopted by the Organization for the purpose of exchange of route plans.

**Action requested of the Committee**

14 The Committee is invited to note the information provided and, instruct NCSR 11 to consider any necessary actions by IMO to support the implementation of S-100 products, including such issues as the dissemination and real-time exchange of information in S-100 format, and advise MSC 109, as appropriate.

---