



# **S-100 Validation Tests sub group**

**5<sup>th</sup> VTC Meeting**

23<sup>rd</sup> October 2023



IHO

# MEETING PROTOCOL

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

Meeting participants are kindly requested to note the following meeting protocols;

- Please keep your camera and microphone turned “**off**” if you are not talking or presenting
- If you want to make an intervention, **please turn your camera and microphone on and, raise your hand** to indicate that you wish to speak
- Don’t forget to turn your microphone “**on**” before speaking, and “**off**” when finished
- Please use the “**Chat**” function to communicate an text information to the meeting
- If you have any problems connecting using Firefox or other browser – please try using Chrome.



- S-101 PT
  - Actions affecting S-100 Validation group
  - Side meeting
- Summary of papers submitted to S-100 WG
  - 8.1 Cross Validation Checks
  - 8.2 Standardised naming convention and structure for Product Specifications
- Initial tests Part1, Part 2, Part 4b, Part 5, Part 6, Part 7(not finished), **Part 10c**, Part 17
- Issues raised
- Status on tasks
- Next meetings



IHO

# S-101 PT MEETING – ACTIONS AFFECTING S-100 VALIDATION

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

- S-100 Validation will take over maintenance for Part 1 of S-101 Validation proposed S-100 checks
  - Primarily 10a checks but others as well
  - Will just insert checks onto list,
    - May need to raise wording to S-100 level (written with ENCs in mind)
    - Need to review 10a as to whether any other checks outstanding
    - Will allocate numbers 153-316
    - Frank has reviewed and appears to be little duplication
- S-100 Validation sub-group to initiate a task for sub-group to determine a standard structure for Validation Checks to be implemented across all S-100 based Product Specifications
- S-101 PT recommended that S-100 Validation Checks should be included as an Annex to S-98



IHO

# S-100 VALIDATION MEETING 4 (SIDE BAR TO S-101 PT)

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

- Discussion over progress to date and explaining process of reviewing Parts
  - Were pleased that we were focusing from S-100 perspective and not from a Product Specification point of view.
  - There are quite few parts remaining but stated prioritising 15, 16, 18, 10 a, b & c and 9
  - Discussed the different types of S-100 Validation
  - Cross-product Validation - this has come up at S-98/S-164 meetings as well
  - Frank & Mikus volunteered to write tests for some parts.

[PowerPoint Presentation \(iho.int\)](http://iho.int)

Will be uploaded to the S-100 Validation IHO webpage



IHO

# PAPERS TO S-100WG 8

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

## 8.1 S-100 Validation Tests and Cross-Product Validation

Joint paper submitted by me and Jonathan (S-98/S-164 lead) <https://portal.iho.int/share/files/491>

### Key points:

What is S-100 Validation?

What is meant by validation?

1. The dataset itself is valid according to the Product Specification Validation Tests
2. The dataset is valid against datasets of the same product type (e.g. S-101 vs S-101)
3. S-100 level Validation Tests (apply to all datasets e.g. Feature Catalogue against Portrayal Catalogue, if a Portrayal Catalogue is present).
4. Tests of individual S-100 components themselves (e.g. whether feature/portrayal catalogues are consistent with each other and conform to the S-100 schemas)

Additionally, there is another type of validation that has not been catered for here, which is whether a dataset is “valid” (or compatible with) another dataset of a different type e.g. S-101 vs S-102, a form of cross-product validation.

### Action Required of S-100 WG:

- Endorse the different types of validation required for S-100



IHO

# PAPERS TO S-100WG 8 CONT.

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

## Cross-Product Validation

- Certain product datasets are designed to be interoperable (WLA) and they need to be safe to use together
- Currently can have S-101 and an S-102 dataset that both pass S-100 validation and their own PS validation but could contain content that could provide misleading or dangerous information.
- ECDIS cannot perform a content check and have no way to know which datasets are safe for **interoperable** use.
- Proposal is to produce a set of validation tests, initially focusing on WLA and user selected safety contours to provide guidance to the producing agencies (possibly RENCs) on when datasets can be considered safe for distribution for **interoperable** use on an ECDIS.
- If there are additional datasets intended for use on ECDIS but **not for interoperable** use then a mechanism needs to be developed to identify them
- Proposal for S-164/S-98 and S-100 Validation sub groups to work on this in collaboration with Product Specification owners

### Action Required of S-100 WG:

- Invite the S-100 Validation subgroup to liaise with the S-98/S-164 subgroup and DQWG to clarify the definitions and scope of the different validation tests.
- Endorse the drafting of an appendix to S-98 to contain Cross-Product Validation Tests
- Task the S-98/S-164 & S-100 Validation Sub Groups to complete initial content of these tests by working with the relevant Product Specification project teams.



IHO

# PAPERS TO S-100WG 8 CONT.

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

## 8.2 Standardised naming convention and structure for S-100 PS

Submitted by me on behalf of S-100 Validation group [493 \(iho.int\)](mailto:493@iho.int)

### Key points:

13 different PS and S-100 Validation tests but there are numerous styles of naming conventions and structure of tests (S-100, NIPWG, TWCWG, WWNWS, IALA)

### Discussion topics:

- Is this a problem? Could be confusing when we have tests with the same name, especially when data producers are making multiple products.
- Is there a need for a standardised structure?
  - Should this be for all PS or limited to WG or Domains?
  - Are there PS that have special requirements for tests that others don't?

### Action Required of S-100 WG:

- Endorse the standardisation of a naming convention with the use of the Product Specification number at the front of the check and the use of only numerical Check IDs
- Invite the S-100 Validation sub group to liaise with the Product Specification sub groups & Project Teams to agree a standardised structure to the validation tests
- Agree how widespread the standardisation is required, whether limited to: Working Groups & Project Teams, IHO Domain or all S-100 Product Specifications
- Endorse the updating of S-97 to include guidance on standardised naming convention and check structure, if endorsed above





IHO

# STATUS ON PARTS REVIEW

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

- Initial tests Part1, Part 2, Part 4b, Part 5, Part 6, Part 7(not finished), Part 8, **Part 10c**, Part 17
  - Parts 15 (Data Protection Scheme) & 16 (Interoperability Catalogue Model) allocated
- Prioritised list remaining
  - 18 (Language Packs)
  - 10b (GML Encoding)
  - 9 (Portrayal)



- Open Issues

- **Issue 14 (Invalid Geometries)** [Invalid geometries · Issue #14 · iho-ohi/S-100-Validation-Checks · GitHub](#)
- Issue 9 (Part 17 checks) – amended wording for items 1, 2 & 4. Item 3 TBD at S-100WG8. Item 5, need S-100 Part Reference link.
- Issue 10 (Checks for organization and individual information in CATALOG.XML) – not completed yet
- Issue 11 (Proposed checks for locale consistency between resource and discovery metadata block) – paper submitted to S-100 WG8. Review after meeting. <http://iho-portal.bluemap.kr/share/files/387>
- Issue 7 (use of “unknown” for feature attribute binding) - closed
- Issue 13 (Input on proposed checks relating to HDF5-format products) – following S-100 WG8 will review, likely moved to Cross-Product Validation
- Issue 6 (naming convention) – temporarily on hold with decision on Dev ID. Will review after S-100 WG8



IHO

# ISSUE 14 INVALID GEOMETRIES

DavidGrant-NIWC commented on Sep 18 • edited

...

Issue originally raised in S-101PT5-25 slide 27.

Invalid geometries (self-intersection, etc.) have been encountered primarily due to use of converted datasets, but also with some test datasets and/or XC discovery metadata. Check(s) should ensure that all geometries are valid.

## Guidance needed for detection of invalid geometries

- How to handle invalid geometries on data import?
- S-52 / IEC-61174 only address data integrity on the application of updates
- Validation checks in S-58 only apply to data producers
- Conversion of NOAA ENC catalogue (~1500 datasets) results in 11 datasets with invalid geometries (less than 1%)
  - Self-intersecting geometries
- #12: Disallow install of datasets with invalid geometries?

The screenshot shows the 'Feature Catalogs' application interface. On the left, there are three panels: 'Feature Catalogs', 'Portrayal Catalogs', and 'Datasets'. The 'Datasets' panel shows a table with 12 rows, each representing a dataset with an ID and Product Number (all 101). The 'Installation Warnings' dialog box is open, displaying a list of errors encountered during installation. The errors are categorized into 'Non database exception encountered in dataset' and 'Invalid geometry encountered in dataset'. The 'Invalid geometry' errors are highlighted in orange. The 'Installation Warnings' dialog box also includes a 'VersionDate' field set to '2019-04-09 00:00:00' and a 'Default' column with checkboxes.

ID	ProductNumber
1	101
2	101
3	101
4	101
5	101
6	101
7	101
8	101
9	101
10	101
11	101
12	101

VersionDate	Default
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



IHO

## NEXT STEPS

- Continue producing a set of tests from Parts of S-100 & incorporate other tests (S-101, IIC etc.)
  - Tabulate and place on GitHub
  - **Then group to comment and raise issues using Check ID in title**
  - 204 tests written from Part1, Part 2, Part 4b, Part 5, Part 6, Part 7 (not finished), Part 10c, Part 17
    - 164 from S-101 Proposed tests (368 in total)
- Categorisation of tests – [not discussed yet, potentially Part name rather than number](#)  
[Will raise in report of group back to S-100WG 8](#)
- Interfaces with DQWG - [need to progress](#)
- Naming conventions across different validation tests – [paper in to S-100 WG8](#)
- Document write up with an initial explanation of ‘what is S-100 level validation’ - [paper in to S-100 WG8](#)
- If agreed at S-100 WG8, coordinate Cross-Product Validation with S-98/S-164 lead
- Draft proposal for standardised validation test structure across all Product Specifications (if agreed at S-100 WG8)



IHO

# DELIVERABLE – OCT 2023

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

- Initial list of high level checks
  - Can be expanded upon afterwards
  - Version 2 of S-100 validation has been uploaded, newer version will be uploaded ahead of meeting
- Document write up with an initial explanation of ‘what is S-100 level validation’
  - Submitted paper with definitions of S-100 validation and looking for agreement at S-100WG 8



**IHO**

# **NEXT MEETINGS**

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

November S-100 WG 8 meeting – Singapore 13-17<sup>th</sup> November

Suggest next meeting is then end January ahead of next S-101 PT meeting

- Monday 22<sup>nd</sup> January or 29<sup>th</sup> January



IHO

International  
Hydrographic  
Organization

Any Questions?