S-101PT12-06.13

Paper for Consideration by S-101PT

Alignment of attribute "quality of horizontal measurement" with S-4

Submitted by:	France
Executive Summary:	Attribute "quality of horizontal measurement" needs to be aligned with the
	latest decision taken by the NCWG.
Related Documents:	S-101FC, S-101DCEG.
Related Projects:	S-101, S-4 (includes INT1).

Introduction / Background

- At the S-101PT meeting 6 (VTC, Feb. 2021), it was agreed to reduce the list of allowable attribute values for *quality of horizontal measurement* in S-101 to 4 (approximate) and 5 (position doubtful). Refer to paper S-101PT6-14 (<u>https://iho.int/uploads/user/Services%20and%20Standards/S-100WG/S-101PT6/S-</u> 101PT6 2021 14 EN Quality of Horizontal Measurement.pdf). This decision was effective in S-101 FC 1.0.1.
- 2. At the NCWG meeting 8 (Wollongong, Nov. 2022), it was agreed to merge abbreviations PA and PD on paper charts, in favour of PA. Refer to paper NCWG8-06.7A. Note: although agreed at the NCWG8, this proposal has not yet been officially approved by this WG (Letter to be prepared by the Chair).
- Thus, there are currently 2 allowable values for *quality of horizontal measurement* in S-101, while only one abbreviation (*PA: Position Approximate*) will be used on paper charts. Given the fact that both ENC and paper chart products are issued from a same database, there is no necessity to keep 2 allowable values in S-101.
- 4. It seems useful to anticipate the future changes in S-4 and align S-101 data model for edition 2.0.0 accordingly.

Analysis/Discussion

- 5. To align S-101 with S-4, 2 options are suggested:
 - <u>Option A</u>: Reduce the number of allowable attribute values for *quality of horizontal measurement* to one value (4: Approximate);
 - <u>Option B</u>: Create a new Boolean attribute **position approximate** (or **position unreliable**) in place of **quality of horizontal measurement**.
- 6. Option A has the advantage of not changing the current data model in S-101. The impact would be limited to an limited update of the S-101 FC, PC and DCEG.
- 7. Option B seems to be a more appropriate with the use of a Boolean attribute. The impact is more important as there would need to be a change in the IHO registry. Moreover, other S-1XXPS already use attribute *quality of horizontal measurement* (ex: S-122) and having two different attributes to encode the same concept should be avoided as it could have a negative effect on interoperability. If this option is preferred, a proposal could be made to the S-1xx Project Teams to also adopt this Boolean attribute in place of *quality of horizontal measurement*.
- 8. If option B were retained, the following description is suggested to be added in the DCEG (Clause 28):

28.xx position approximate

<u>IHO Definition</u>: **POSITION APPROXIMATE**. An indication that a position is not reliable at the product scale. <u>Attribute type</u>: Boolean

<u>Indication</u>: A True value is an indication that the position cannot be trusted on at the product scale. <u>Remarks</u>:

• No remarks.

Conclusions

In order to have a data model that is consistent for both ENC and paper chart products, it seems beneficial to anticipate the future version of S-4 and align S-101 2.0.0 accordingly.

Recommendations

It is recommended to change S-101, based on option A or B described above.

Action Required of S-101PT

The S-101PT is invited to:

- a) Discuss this paper;
- b) Agree on the best option;c) Take any further action if needed.