## S-102 PT12 Agenda 13-14 February 2023 VTC (all times UTC)

## https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/863250605

Agenda Item	Subject	Time	Description
		13 Febr	ruary 2023
		Topic A	Incidentals
A.1	Welcome/	1200	
	Housekeeping		
	<u>^</u>	stantive S-10.	2 Product Specification Issues
	Review of changes		
	wrapped into Pull		https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.1	Request 24		Specification/pull/24
	Issue 16: Product-		
	specific attributes in	1300	https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.2	exchange catalogue		Specification/issues/16
	Issue 15: CRS-related		
	attributes in the root		https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.3	group		Specification/issues/15
	Issue 14: ISO metadata		https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.4	files		Specification/issues/14
	Issue 13:		
	QualityOfBathymetricData	1400	
	vs.	1400	https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.5	QualityOfSurveyCoverage		Specification/issues/13
	Update exchange catalogue		
	and external metadata for S-		https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.6	100 5.0.0		Specification/issues/12
	Analysis of "uncertainty"		https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
1.7	for data reduction		Specification/issues/8
	changes not yet		
1.8	accounted for		
			ruary 2023
		Topic B	Incidentals
	Welcome/	1200	
B.1	Housekeeping		
		Topic	2: GitHub
	Issue 23: Inconsistent		
	repository name and		https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-
2.1	issues content		Specification/issues
	Discuss observed		For example, Lawrence would be grateful if more
	practices and offer		experienced users would tell him how he might better
2.2	constructive criticism		serve the PT by doing his GitHub differently.

			When working on the deveoping branch, edits are visible in real time on each Section page, but the link https://iho-ohi.github.io/S-102-Product-Specification/ doesn't update. After committing a change, the edits are visible in the artifacts produced from the build	
	Lawrence has questions		check. However, I doubt this method is the intended	
	about how to practically		wayit's fairly impractical to download the zip,	
	use the doc generation		unzip, untar, and check the relevant document. But	
2.3	functions		perhaps I'm using another element incorrectly.	
2.4	Any other GitHub topics	1300		
		opic 3: Timel	ine and Next Steps	
	Discussion of upcoming			
	deadlines, dependencies,			
3.1	etc.			
Topic 4: Stakeholder Issues				
		Topic 4: Sta	keholder Issues	
	best field	Topic 4: Sta	keholder Issues Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good	
	best field feedback/questions from	Topic 4: Sta 1400	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and	
4.1			Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good	
4.1	feedback/questions from		Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and	
4.1	feedback/questions from stakeholders		Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback?	
	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap	1400	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-	
	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap	1400	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? <u>https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-</u> <u>Specification/issues/19</u>	
	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap Analysis Feedback	1400	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? <u>https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-</u> <u>Specification/issues/19</u>	
4.2	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap Analysis Feedback Placeholder for demos,	1400 Topic 5: Te	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? <u>https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-</u> <u>Specification/issues/19</u>	
4.2	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap Analysis Feedback Placeholder for demos,	1400 Topic 5: Te	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? <u>https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-</u> <u>Specification/issues/19</u> st Bed Reports	
4.2	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap Analysis Feedback Placeholder for demos, etc.	1400 Topic 5: Te Topic 6: Othe	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? <u>https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product-</u> <u>Specification/issues/19</u> st Bed Reports	
4.2	feedback/questions from stakeholders IHO MASS PT Gap Analysis Feedback Placeholder for demos, etc.	1400 Topic 5: Te Topic 6: Othe	Would the GitHub Wiki, for instance, be a good mechanism through which to receive comments and feedback? https://github.com/iho-ohi/S-102-Product- Specification/issues/19 st Bed Reports er New Business	